

Some ways and means to define addition and multiplication operations between intuitionistic fuzzy sets

Radoslav Tzvetkov

Centre for Biomedical Engineering - Bulgarian Academy of Sciences,
 Bl. 105 Acad. G. Bonchev Str., Sofia 1113, Bulgaria
 e-mail: *rado_tzv@clbme.bas.bg*

In this paper we introduce some operations on IFS [1]. P. Burillo and H. Bustince introduced T- and S- norms as follows:

$$P(A, B) = \{ \langle x, T(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)), S(\nu_A(x), \nu_B(x)) \rangle / x \in E \}$$

where

$$0 \leq T(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)) + S(\nu_B(x), \nu_A(x)) \leq 1$$

We shall define:

$$\bar{P}(A, B) = \{ \langle x, S(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)), T(\nu_A(x), \nu_B(x)) \rangle / x \in E \}$$

Therefore $\bar{\bar{P}} \equiv P$, where \equiv is the "equivalence" relation between operations. For example, from De Morgan's law we have (see [1]):

$$\cap \equiv \bar{\cup}$$

$$+ \equiv \bar{\cdot}$$

When $T \equiv S$, then $P \equiv \bar{P}$.

For example ([1]):

$$\textcircled{\cap} \equiv \bar{\textcircled{\cup}}$$

$$\textcircled{+} \equiv \bar{\textcircled{\cdot}}$$

$$* \equiv \bar{*}$$

If $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle / x \in E \}$, then (see[1]):

$$\neg A = \{ \langle x, \nu_A(x), \mu_A(x) \rangle / x \in E \}$$

We have that [1]

$$\bar{\bar{P}}(\neg A, \neg B) = P(A, B)$$

We see that T and S define P . Thus, it is very important to study the forms of T and S . Below we demonstrate the conditions that T and S must satisfy in order for the operation $P(A, B)$ to have some desired properties (associativity or commutativity).

It is commutative when

$$P(A, B) = P(B, A)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} T(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)) = T(\mu_B(x), \mu_A(x)) \\ S(\nu_A(x), \nu_B(x)) = S(\nu_B(x), \nu_A(x)) \end{cases}$$

It is associative when

$$P(P(A, B), C) = P(A, P(B, C)) \quad (1)$$

where

$$P(P(A, B), C) = \{ \langle x, T(T(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)), \mu_C(x)), S(S(\nu_A(x), \nu_B(x)), \nu_C(x))) \rangle | x \in E \} \quad (2)$$

$$P(A, P(B, C)) = \{ \langle x, T(\mu_A(x), T(\mu_B(x), \mu_C(x))), S(\nu_A(x), S(\nu_B(x), \nu_C(x))) \rangle | x \in E \} \quad (3)$$

Replacing (2), (3) in (1), we get the equalities

$$T(T(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)), \mu_C(x)) = T(\mu_A(x), T(\mu_B(x), \mu_C(x)))$$

$$\text{and } S(S(\nu_A(x), \nu_B(x)), \nu_C(x)) = S(\nu_A(x), S(\nu_B(x), \nu_C(x)))$$

where

$$0 \leq T(T(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)), \mu_C(x)) + S(S(\nu_A(x), \nu_B(x)), \nu_C(x)) \leq 1$$

$$0 \leq T(\mu_A(x), T(\mu_B(x), \mu_C(x))) + S(\nu_A(x), S(\nu_B(x), \nu_C(x))) \leq 1$$

It is possible for $P(A, B)$ to be both commutative and associative only if T and S are solutions of the two systems

$$\begin{cases} T(T(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)), \mu_C(x)) = T(\mu_A(x), T(\mu_B(x), \mu_C(x))) \\ T(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)) = T(\mu_B(x), \mu_A(x)) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} S(S(\nu_A(x), \nu_B(x)), \nu_C(x)) = S(\nu_A(x), S(\nu_B(x), \nu_C(x))) \\ S(\nu_A(x), \nu_B(x)) = S(\nu_B(x), \nu_A(x)) \end{cases}$$

We will look for a solution of the above systems of the kind

$$\begin{cases} P(P(x, y), z) = P(x, P(y, z)) \\ P(x, y) = P(y, x) \end{cases}$$

where P is a polynomial with real coefficients and $\langle x, y, z \rangle \in I^3$, $\langle p, q, l \rangle \in I^3$ and $I = [0, 1]$. For this purpose we will prove the next lemma.

Lemma 1. If $R(x, y, z)$ and $Q(x, y, z)$ are two polynomials with real coefficients for which $R(x, y, z) = Q(x, y, z)$ for all $(x, y, z) \in I^3$ (where $I = [0, 1]$) then $R(x, y, z) \equiv Q(x, y, z)$.

Proof: In the proof of the above statement, we will use the following well-known result from algebra (see [3]).

(*) If k is a field and T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n are infinite sets from k and $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a polynomial of n variables over k , then whenever $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$ for all $a_i \in T_i (i = 1, \dots, n)$, we have that $f = 0$.

Let $R(x, y, z) \not\equiv Q(x, y, z)$. From $R(x, y, z) = Q(x, y, z)$ there follows

$$W(x, y, z) = R(x, y, z) - Q(x, y, z) = 0$$

for $(x, y, z) \in I^3$ (where $I = [0, 1]$) where $W(x, y, z)$ is not a zero polynomial.

Therefore, when $n = 3$, (*) contradicts (**).

Lemma 2. If $P(x, y)$ is a polynomial with real coefficients which is a solution of the system

$$\begin{cases} P(x, y) = P(y, x) \\ P(P(x, y), z) = P(x, P(y, z)) \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

then $P(x, y) = a(x + y) + b(xy)$, where $a = 1$ or $a = 0$

Proof: From (4) it follows that $\Rightarrow P(x, y) = ax^n y^k + Q(x, y) + ax^k y^n$ where n is the maximal degree of x and y in $P(x, y)$ and the maximal degree of x and y is less than n in $Q(x, y)$.

Let $n > 1$. Then we have two possibilities

$$P(x, y) = ax^n y^k + Q(x, y) + ax^k y^n \text{ when } n \neq k \quad (5)$$

$$P(x, y) = ax^n y^n + Q(x, y) \text{ when } n = k \quad (6)$$

From (5) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} P(P(x, y), z) &= a(ax^n y^k + Q(x, y) + ax^k y^n)^n z^k \\ &+ Q(ax^n y^k + Q(x, y) + ax^k y^n, z) + a(ax^n y^k + Q(x, y) + ax^k y^n)^k z^n \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(x, P(y, z)) &= ax^n (ay^n z^k + Q(y, z) + ay^k z^n)^k \\ &+ Q(x, ay^n z^k + Q(y, z) + ay^k z^n) + ax^k (ay^n z^k + Q(y, z) + ay^k z^n)^n \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

If we want the second equation of (4) to hold, then by Lemma 1, $P(P(x, y), z)$ should coincide with $P(x, P(y, z))$. The maximal degree of x on the right side on (7) is n^2 , but in (8) it is n . Therefore case (5) is impossible.

$$P(P(x, y), z) = a(ax^n y^n + Q(x, y))^n z^n + Q(ax^n y^n + Q(x, y), z) \quad (9)$$

$$P(x, P(y, z)) = ax^n (ay^n z^n + Q(y, z))^n + Q(x, ay^n z^n + Q(y, z)) \quad (10)$$

Again, if we want the second equation of (4) to hold, then by Lemma 1, $P(P(x, y), z)$ should coincide with $P(x, P(y, z))$.

On the right side on (6) the addend with maximal degree of x is $a^2x^{n^2}y^{n^2}z^n$, but in (10), it is $a^2x^ny^{n^2}z^{n^2}$.

Therefore case (6) is impossible.

Thus $n = 1$. We have therefore that $P(x, y) = a(x + y) + bxy$. It is also true that $P(x, y) = P(y, x)$.

$$\begin{aligned} P(P(x, y), z) &= a(a(x + y) + bxy + z) + b(a(x + y) + bxy)z = \\ &= a^2x + a^2y + abxy + az + baxz + bayz + b^2xyz \\ P(x, P(y, z)) &= a(x + a(y + z) + byz) + bx(a(y + z) + byz) = \\ &= ax + a^2y + a^2z + abyz + abxy + abxz + b^2xyz \end{aligned}$$

We want system (4) to hold, but this is only possible when $a^2x + a^2y + abxy + az + baxz + bayz + b^2xyz = ax + a^2y + a^2z + abyz + abxy + abxz + b^2xyz$

$$a^2x + a^2y + az = ax + a^2y + a^2z \quad (x, y, z) \in I^3$$

$$\Leftrightarrow a^2 = a \Leftrightarrow a(a - 1) = 0 \Leftrightarrow a = 0 \text{ and } a = 1$$

Theorem. From all polynomials with real coefficients that can participate in the construction of the operation

$$P(A, B) = \{ \langle x, T(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)), S(\nu_A(x), \nu_B(x)) \rangle \mid x \in E \}$$

(where A, B are IFS)

in place of T and S , so that P is commutative and associative, only $a(x + y) + bxy$ are such that

$$a = \begin{cases} 1; b \in [-2, -1] \\ 0; b \in [-1, -1] \end{cases}$$

Proof: We have from (7) and Lemma 2 that every such polynomial have the form $a(x + y) + bxy$ where $a = 1$ or $a = 0$. Let us consider cases 1) $a = 1$ and 2) $a = 0$

1) $a = 1$ from Lemma 2 and $0 \leq P(P(x, y), z) \leq 1$ we have $x + y + z + b(xy + xz + yz) + b^2xyz \leq 1$ but $\langle x, y, z \rangle \in I^3$ then $3 + 3b + b^2 \leq 1$. We solve this inequality and obtain that $b \in [-2, -1]$

2) $a = 0$ from Lemma 2 and $0 \leq P(P(x, y), z) \leq 1$ we have $b^2xyz \leq 1$ where $\langle x, y, z \rangle \in I^3$ then $b^2 \leq 1$. We solve this inequality and obtain that $b \in [-1, 1]$.

References

- [1] Atanassov, K., Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Physica Verlag, 1999.
- [2] Lang, S., Algebra, Mir, Moscow, 1968 (Russian translation).