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#### Abstract

In this paper, we propose the notion of comlex intuitionistic fuzzy sets defined by complex-valued membership and non-membership functions in order to make extension the result presented in [5]. We first give cartesian representation then we discuss the polar representation.
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## 1 Introduction

The concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets is introduced by K. Atanasov (1984) ([1, 2]). This concept is a generalization of fuzzy theory introduced by L. Zadeh [3].

The concept of complex fuzzy sets as sets with complex membership functions was first introduced by Ramot et al., who in [7] demonstrated the increased expressive power gained by endowing a set $S$ with a complex membership function $\mu_{S}(x)=r_{S}(x) e^{i \phi_{S}(x)}$, where $r_{S}(x)$ and $\phi_{S}(x)$ are real-valued functions with $r_{S}$ solely responsible for the fuzzy information and $\phi_{S}$ functioning as a phase term containing additional crisp information.

In this work we will be working on the same idea, but this time in the intuitionistic fuzzy theory, we can write the representation of complex membership function $\mu$ as $\mu_{S}(x)=r_{S}(x) e^{i \phi_{S}(x)}$
and the non-membership function $\nu$ as $\nu_{S}(x)=r_{S}^{\prime}(x) e^{i \phi_{S}^{\prime}(x)}$, where $\left(r_{S}(x), r_{S}^{\prime}(x)\right)$ and $\left(\phi_{S}(x), \phi_{S}^{\prime}(x)\right)$ are real-valued functions with $\left(r_{S}, r_{S}^{\prime}\right)$ solely responsible for the intuitionistic fuzzy information and ( $\phi_{S}, \phi_{S}^{\prime}$ ) functioning.

We attract the readers attention to the difference between complex intuitionistic fuzzy sets and intuitionistic fuzzy complex numbers.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce notations, definitions, and preliminary facts which are used throughout this paper.
Let us denote by $P_{k}(\mathbb{R})$ the set of all nonempty compact convex subsets of $\mathbb{R}$.
Definition 1. We denote

$$
\boldsymbol{I F}=\left\{(u, v): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,1]^{2} \mid \forall x \in \mathbb{R} / 0 \leq u(x)+v(x) \leq 1\right\}
$$

where

1. $(u, v)$ is normal i.e there exists $x_{0}, x_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $u\left(x_{0}\right)=1$ and $v\left(x_{1}\right)=1$.
2. $u$ is fuzzy convex and $v$ is fuzzy concave.
3. $u$ is upper semicontinuous and $v$ is lower semicontinuous
4. $\operatorname{supp}(u, v)=\operatorname{cl}(\{x \in \mathbb{R}: v(x)<1\})$ is bounded.

For $\alpha \in[0,1]$ and $(u, v) \in \mathbb{F}$, we define

$$
[(u, v)]^{\alpha}=\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid v(x) \leq 1-\alpha\}
$$

and

$$
[(u, v)]_{\alpha}=\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid u(x) \geq \alpha\}
$$

Remark 1. We can consider $[(u, v)]_{\alpha}$ as $[u]^{\alpha}$ and $[(u, v)]^{\alpha}$ as $[1-v]^{\alpha}$ in the fuzzy case.
Definition 2. The intuitionistic fuzzy zero is intuitionistic fuzzy set defined by

$$
0_{(1,0)}(x)= \begin{cases}(1,0) & , x=0 \\ (0,1) & , x \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

Definition 3. Let $(u, v),\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{F}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the addition by:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left((u, v) \oplus\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)\right)(z)=\left(\sup _{z=x+y} \min \left(u(x), u^{\prime}(y)\right) ; \inf _{z=x+y} \max \left(v(x), v^{\prime}(y)\right)\right) \\
\lambda(u, v)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
(\lambda u, \lambda v) & \text { if } \lambda \neq 0 \\
0_{(0,1)} & \text { if } \lambda=0
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

According to Zadeh's extension principle, we have addition and scalar multiplication in intuitionistic fuzzy number space $\mathbb{F}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{[(u, v) \oplus(z, w)]^{\alpha}=[(u, v)]^{\alpha}+[(z, w)]^{\alpha}}  \tag{1}\\
{[\lambda(u, v)]^{\alpha}=\lambda[(u, v)]^{\alpha}}  \tag{2}\\
{[(u, v) \oplus(z, w)]_{\alpha}=[(u, v)]_{\alpha}+[(z, w)]_{\alpha}}  \tag{3}\\
{[\lambda(u, v)]_{\alpha}=\lambda[(u, v)]_{\alpha}} \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $(u, v),(z, w) \in \mathbb{F}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.
We denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[(u, v)]_{l}^{+}(\alpha)=\inf \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid u(x) \geq \alpha\}} \\
{[(u, v)]_{r}^{+}(\alpha)=\sup \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid u(x) \geq \alpha\}} \\
{[(u, v)]_{l}^{-}(\alpha)=\inf \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid v(x) \leq 1-\alpha\}} \\
{[(u, v)]_{r}^{-}(\alpha)=\sup \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid v(x) \leq 1-\alpha\}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Remark 2.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[(u, v)]_{\alpha}=\left[[(u, v)]_{l}^{+}(\alpha),[(u, v)]_{r}^{+}(\alpha)\right]} \\
& {[(u, v)]^{\alpha}=\left[[(u, v)]_{l}^{-}(\alpha),[(u, v)]_{r}^{-}(\alpha)\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 1. let $\mathcal{M}=\left\{M_{\alpha}, M^{\alpha}: \alpha \in[0,1]\right\}$ be a family of subsets in $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying Conditions (i) - (iv)
i) $\alpha \leq \beta \Rightarrow M_{\beta} \subset M_{\alpha}$ and $M^{\beta} \subset M^{\alpha}$
ii) $M_{\alpha}$ and $M^{\alpha}$ are nonempty compact convex sets in $\mathbb{R}$ for each $\alpha \in[0,1]$.
iii) for any nondecreasing sequence $\alpha_{i} \rightarrow \alpha$ on $[0,1]$, we have $M_{\alpha}=\bigcap_{i} M_{\alpha_{i}}$ and $M^{\alpha}=$ $\bigcap_{i} M^{\alpha_{i}}$.
iv) For each $\alpha \in[0,1], M_{\alpha} \subset M^{\alpha}$ and define $u$ and $v$, by

$$
\begin{gathered}
u(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \text { if } x \notin M_{0} \\
\sup \left\{\alpha \in[0,1]: x \in M_{\alpha}\right\} & \text { if } x \in M_{0}
\end{array}\right. \\
v(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \text { if } x \notin M^{0} \\
1-\sup \left\{\alpha \in[0,1]: x \in M^{\alpha}\right\} & \text { if } x \in M^{0}
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $(u, v) \in \mathbb{F}$.
Proof. See [6].

The space $I F$ is metrizable by the distance of the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\infty}((u, v), & (z, w))=\frac{1}{4} \sup _{0<\alpha \leq 1}\left|[(u, v)]_{r}^{+}(\alpha)-[(z, w)]_{r}^{+}(\alpha)\right| \\
& +\frac{1}{4} \sup _{0<\alpha \leq 1}\left|[(u, v)]_{l}^{+}(\alpha)-[(z, w)]_{l}^{+}(\alpha)\right| \\
& +\frac{1}{4} \sup _{0<\alpha \leq 1}\left|[(u, v)]_{r}^{-}(\alpha)-[(z, w)]_{r}^{-}(\alpha)\right| \\
& +\frac{1}{4} \sup _{0<\alpha \leq 1}\left|[(u, v)]_{l}^{-}(\alpha)-[(z, w)]_{l}^{-}(\alpha)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

where |.| denotes the usual Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}$.
Theorem 2. ( $\left.\mathbb{F}, d_{\infty}\right)$ is a complete metric space.
Proof. See [6].
$\left(\mathbb{F}, d_{\infty}\right)$ is a complete metric space which can be embedded isomorphically as a cone in a Banach space (see theorem 3.1 in [4]).

We recall the definition of a complex fuzzy set:
Definition 4. A Complex Fuzzy Set A, defined on a universe of discourse $X$, is characterized by a membership function $\mu_{A}(x)$ that assigns any element $x \in X$ a complex-valued grade of membership in $A$. By definition $\mu_{A}(x)$ a value in the unit circle in the complex plane in the polar case. And a value in the unit square in $\mathbb{C}$ in the cartesian case.

## 3 Main results

### 3.1 Complex intuitionistic fuzzy set

As the defintion of complex fuzzy set, we give here a defition of complex intuitionistic fuzzy set:
Definition 5. A Complex intuitionistic fuzzy Set $A$, defined on a universe of discourse $X$, is characterized by a membership function $\mu_{A}(x)$ and non-membership function $\nu_{A}(x)$ that assigns any element $x \in X$ a complex-valued grade of membership and non-membership in $A$. By definition, the values $\mu_{A}(x), \nu_{A}(x)$ and $\mu_{A}(x)+\nu_{A}(x)$ may receive all lie within the unit circle in the complex plane in the polar case. And $\mu_{A}, \nu_{A}$ and $\mu_{A}(x)+\nu_{A}(x)$ a value in the unit square in $\mathbb{C}$ in the cartesian case.

### 3.2 Cartesian representation of complex grades of membership and non-membership

The complex membership function in [8], $\mu$, is defined as

$$
\mu(V, z)=\mu_{R}(V)+i \mu_{I}(z),
$$

likewise, we can define the complex non-membership function as

$$
\nu(V, z)=\nu_{R}(V)+i \nu_{I}(z),
$$

where $V$ is to be interpreted as a set in a intuitionistic fuzzy set of sets and $z$ as an element of $V$. This definition can be easily extended to $\mathbb{R}$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$
f_{1}(x)=u(x)+i v(x) \quad \text { and } \quad f_{2}(x)=u^{\prime}(x)+i v^{\prime}(x),
$$

where $f=\left(u, u^{\prime}\right): \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow[0,1]^{2}$ and $g=\left(v, v^{\prime}\right): \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow[0,1]^{2}$. For ease of notation, denote $\mathcal{F}$ by $(f, g)$. Thus, $f_{1}, f_{2}$ assigns to each $x \in \mathbb{R}$ a value in the unit square in $\mathbb{C}$, representing a complex grade of membership and non-membership. Note that $u, v, u^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime}$ considered individually define non-complex fuzzy sets in $\mathbb{R}$.

Now, for $f=\left(u, u^{\prime}\right), g=\left(v, v^{\prime}\right): \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow[0,1]^{2}, \alpha$-level sets are classically defined as follows:

$$
[f]^{\alpha}=\left[\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)\right]^{\alpha}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid u^{\prime}(x) \leq 1-\alpha\right\} ; \quad[f]_{\alpha}=\left[\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)\right]_{\alpha}=\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid u(x) \geq \alpha\}
$$

and

$$
[f]^{0}=\left[\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)\right]^{0}=\overline{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid u^{\prime}(x)<1\right\}} ; \quad[f]_{0}=\left[\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)\right]_{0}=\overline{\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid u(x)>0\}} .
$$

We use the above to define $(\alpha, \beta)$-level sets for $\mathcal{F}=(f, g), 0<\alpha, \beta \leq 1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathcal{F}]^{(\alpha, \beta)}=[(f, g)]^{(\alpha, \beta)}=[f]^{\alpha} \cap[g]^{\beta}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathcal{F}]_{(\alpha, \beta)}=[(f, g)]_{(\alpha, \beta)}=[f]_{\alpha} \cap[g]_{\beta}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the following set of conditions as an alternative definition of $[\mathcal{F}]^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ and $[\mathcal{F}]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
{[\mathcal{F}]^{(\alpha, \beta)}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid u^{\prime}(x) \leq 1-\alpha, v^{\prime}(x) \leq 1-\beta\right\},}  \tag{7}\\
{[\mathcal{F}]^{(\alpha, 0)}=\overline{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid u^{\prime}(x) \leq 1-\alpha, v^{\prime}(x)<1\right\}},}  \tag{8}\\
{[\mathcal{F}]^{(0, \beta)}=\overline{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid u^{\prime}(x)<1, v^{\prime}(x) \leq 1-\beta\right\},}}  \tag{9}\\
{[\mathcal{F}]^{(0,0)}=\overline{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid u^{\prime}(x)<1, v^{\prime}(x)<1\right\}},} \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
{[\mathcal{F}]_{(\alpha, \beta)} } & =\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid u(x) \geq \alpha, v(x) \geq \beta\},  \tag{11}\\
{[\mathcal{F}]_{(\alpha, 0)} } & =\overline{\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid u(x) \geq \alpha, v(x)>0\}},  \tag{12}\\
{[\mathcal{F}]_{(0, \beta)} } & =\overline{\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid u(x)>0, v(x) \geq \beta\}},  \tag{13}\\
{[\mathcal{F}]_{(0,0)} } & =\overline{\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid u(x)>0, v(x)>0\}}, \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that (7) and (10) are equivalent to definition (5), likewise (11) and (14) are equivalent to definition (6) for the corresponding $\alpha, \beta$, but (8), (9) and (12), (13) are not: (5) and (6) may
not yield closed sets in the case when exactly one of $\alpha, \beta$ is equal to 0 , but (8), (9) and (12), (13) would yield the respective closures of those sets.

For $f, g \in \mathbb{F}$, we have $[f]^{\alpha} \cap[g]^{\beta},[f]_{\alpha} \cap[g]_{\beta}$ are always compact and $[f]^{1} \cap[g]^{1} \subset[f]^{\alpha} \cap[g]^{\beta} \subset$ $[f]^{0} \cap[g]^{0}$ and $[f]_{1} \cap[g]_{1} \subset[f]_{\alpha} \cap[g]_{\beta} \subset[f]_{0} \cap[g]_{0}$ are nonempty as in order to ensure this, it is sufficient that $[f]^{1} \cap[g]^{1}$ and $[f]_{1} \cap[g]_{1}$ be nonempty, meaning that there should exist some $x_{0}, x_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x_{0} \in[f]^{1}$, i.e., $u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0, x_{0} \in[g]^{1}$, i.e., $v^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$ and $x_{1} \in[f]_{1}$, i.e., $u\left(x_{1}\right)=1, x_{1} \in[g]_{1}$, i.e. $v\left(x_{1}\right)=1$. With that in mind, we define the following set:

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{\mathbb{F}}^{2} & =\left\{\left(\left(u, u^{\prime}\right),\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)\right) \in \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F} \mid\right. \\
& \left.\exists x_{0}, x_{1} \in \mathbb{R}, \text { s.t } u\left(x_{1}\right)=v\left(x_{1}\right)=1, u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=v^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0\right\} . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Then for $(f, g) \in \hat{\mathbb{F}}^{2},[\mathcal{F}]^{(\alpha, \beta)}=[f]^{\alpha} \cap[g]^{\beta},[\mathcal{F}]_{(\alpha, \beta)}=[f]_{\alpha} \cap[g]_{\beta} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\mathbb{R})$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in[0,1]$. And the compactness of the $[\mathcal{F}]^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ sets guarantees the complete equivalence of definition (5) and the set of definitions (7)-(10), and the complete equivalence of definition (6) and the set of definitions (11)-(14).

We recall that $I F$ is closed under addition and scalar multiplication, to establish a similar result for $\hat{I F}{ }^{2}$. For functions $f=\left(u, u^{\prime}\right), g=\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{F}$, addition and scalar multiplication can be defined via level sets as (1)-(4).
For $\mathcal{F}=(f, g)=\left(\left(u, u^{\prime}\right),\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)\right), \mathcal{G}=\left(f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)=\left(\left(x, x^{\prime}\right),\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)\right) \in \hat{\mathbb{F}}^{2}$ and $\lambda$ is a scalar, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}+\mathcal{G}=(f, g)+\left(f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)=\left(f+f^{\prime}, g+g^{\prime}\right), \lambda \mathcal{F}=\lambda(f, g)=(\lambda f, \lambda g) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that for $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in I F, \lambda \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}+\mathcal{G} \in \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F}$. We need to show that there exists $c_{0}, c_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
(u+x)\left(c_{0}\right)=(v+y)\left(c_{0}\right)=1, \text { and }\left(u^{\prime}+x^{\prime}\right)\left(c_{1}\right)=\left(v^{\prime}+y^{\prime}\right)\left(c_{1}\right)=0 .
$$

That is to say, $[\mathcal{F}+\mathcal{G}]^{(1,1)}$ and $[\mathcal{F}+\mathcal{G}]_{(1,1)}$ are nonempty, and also that $[\lambda \mathcal{F}]^{(1,1)}$ and $[\lambda \mathcal{F}]_{(1,1)}$ are likewise nonempty.

We know that there exist $a_{0}, a_{1}, b_{0}, b_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $u\left(a_{1}\right)=v\left(a_{1}\right)=1, u^{\prime}\left(a_{0}\right)=v^{\prime}\left(a_{0}\right)=0$ and $x\left(b_{1}\right)=y\left(b_{1}\right)=1, x^{\prime}\left(b_{0}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(b_{0}\right)=0$,then

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\lambda \mathcal{F}]^{(1,1)} } & =[\lambda f]^{1} \cap[\lambda g]^{1} \\
& =\left\{\lambda z \mid z \in[f]^{1}\right\} \cap\left\{\lambda z \mid z \in[g]^{1}\right\} \\
& =\left\{\lambda z \mid u^{\prime}(z)=0\right\} \cap\left\{\lambda z \mid v^{\prime}(z)=0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly $\lambda a_{0} \in[\lambda \mathcal{F}]^{(1,1)}$. And

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\lambda \mathcal{F}]_{(1,1)} } & =[\lambda f]_{1} \cap[\lambda g]_{1} \\
& =\left\{\lambda z \mid z \in[f]_{1}\right\} \cap\left\{\lambda z \mid z \in[g]_{1}\right\} \\
& =\{\lambda z \mid u(z)=1\} \cap\{\lambda z \mid v(z)=1\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly $\lambda a_{1} \in[\lambda \mathcal{F}]_{(1,1)}$. Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\mathcal{F}+\mathcal{G}]^{(1,1)} } & =\left[\left(f+f^{\prime}, g+g^{\prime}\right)\right]^{(1,1)} \\
& =\left[f+f^{\prime}\right]^{1} \cap\left[g+g^{\prime}\right]^{1} \\
& =\left([f]^{1}+\left[f^{\prime}\right]^{1}\right) \cap\left([g]^{1}+\left[g^{\prime}\right]^{1}\right) \\
& =\left\{z_{0}+z_{1} \mid z_{0} \in[f]^{1}, z_{1} \in\left[f^{\prime}\right]^{1}\right\} \cap\left\{z_{0}+z_{1} \mid z_{0} \in[g]^{1}, z_{1} \in\left[g^{\prime}\right]^{1}\right\} \\
& =\left\{z_{0}+z_{1} \mid u^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=x^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)=0\right\} \cap\left\{z_{0}+z_{1} \mid v^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)=0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly $a_{0}+b_{0} \in[\mathcal{F}+\mathcal{G}]^{(1,1)}$. And

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\mathcal{F}+\mathcal{G}]_{(1,1)} } & =\left[\left(f+f^{\prime}, g+g^{\prime}\right)\right]_{(1,1)} \\
& =\left[f+f^{\prime}\right]_{1} \cap\left[g+g^{\prime}\right]_{1} \\
& =\left([f]_{1}+[f]_{1}\right) \cap\left([g]_{1}+\left[g^{\prime}\right]_{1}\right) \\
& =\left\{z_{0}+z_{1} \mid z_{0} \in[f]_{1}, z_{1} \in\left[f^{\prime}\right]_{1}\right\} \cap\left\{z_{0}+z_{1} \mid z_{0} \in[g]_{1}, z_{1} \in\left[g^{\prime}\right]_{1}\right\} \\
& =\left\{z_{0}+z_{1} \mid u\left(z_{0}\right)=x\left(z_{1}\right)=1\right\} \cap\left\{z_{0}+z_{1} \mid v\left(z_{0}\right)=y\left(z_{1}\right)=1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly $a_{1}+b_{1} \in[\mathcal{F}+\mathcal{G}]_{(1,1)}$.
We have thus shown that $\hat{\mathbb{F}}^{2}$ is closed under addition and scalar multiplication. consider the product metric on $\mathbb{F}^{2}=\mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F}, \hat{d}_{\infty}: \mathbb{F}^{2} \times \mathbb{F}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{d}_{\infty}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})=\max \left\{d_{\infty}\left(f, f^{\prime}\right), d_{\infty}\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)\right\}, \quad \mathcal{F}=(f, g), \mathcal{G}=\left(f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right) \in \hat{I F}^{2} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\hat{\mathbb{F}}^{2} \subset \mathbb{F}^{2}, \hat{d}_{\infty}$ is also a metric for $\hat{\mathbb{F}}^{2}$. Hence, $\left(\hat{\mathbb{F}}^{2}, \hat{d}_{\infty}\right)$ is a complete metric space.
It will also prove useful to define a zero element in $\hat{\mathbb{F}}^{2}$. Recall that on $\mathbb{F}$ we define zero element $0_{(1,0)} \in \mathbb{F}$ by

$$
0_{(1,0)}(x)= \begin{cases}(1,0) & , x=0 \\ (0,1) & , x \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

The zero element on $\hat{\mathbb{F}}^{2}$ then reads

$$
\hat{0}=\left(0_{(1,0)}, 0_{(1,0)}\right) \in I F^{2} .
$$

We have $\hat{0}(0)=((1,0),(1,0))$, verifying that $\hat{0} \in \hat{\mathbb{F}}^{2}$.
From [4], there exists a Banach space $\mathcal{B}$ and an embedding $j: \mathbb{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$.
Thus, $\hat{\mathbb{F}}^{2} \subset \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F}$ is embeddable into a Banach space.
Remark 3. In the same manner can be defined $\hat{\mathbb{F}}^{n}, n \geq 3$ and it is shown that is embeddable into a Banach space.

### 3.3 Polar representation of complex grades of membership and non-membership

The polar representation of the membership function as presented in [8], $\mu$, is defined as

$$
\mu(V, z)=r(V) e^{i \sigma \phi(z)}
$$

likewise, we can define the polar representation of complex non-membership function as

$$
\nu(V, z)=r^{\prime}(V) e^{i \sigma \phi^{\prime}(z)},
$$

where $\sigma$ is a scaling factor, does not translate directly to and from the respective Cartesian representation. Therefore the two representations of the corresponding extension to $\mathbb{R}$ are not equivalent as defined, which will be seen below. Thus, depending on the application, one may be more appropriate to use than the other.

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the polar form of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ is defined as follows:

$$
f_{1}(x)=r(x) e^{2 \pi \phi(x) i}, \quad f_{2}(x)=r^{\prime}(x) e^{2 \pi \phi^{\prime}(x) i}
$$

where $f=\left(r, r^{\prime}\right), g=\left(\phi, \phi^{\prime}\right): \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow[0,1]^{2}$.
We denote $f_{1}=(r, \phi)$ and $f_{2}=\left(r^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)$. The scaling factor is taken to be $2 \pi$, allowing the range of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ to be the entire unit circle. Because $e^{2 \pi i \phi}$ is periodic, we take the value of $\phi$ giving the maximum distance from $e^{0}, \phi=0.5$, to be the "maximum" membership or nonmembership value.

The level sets for $f=\left(r, r^{\prime}\right),[f]^{\alpha}$ and $[f]_{\alpha}$ is defined just as

$$
[f]^{\alpha}=\left[\left(r, r^{\prime}\right)\right]^{\alpha}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid r^{\prime}(x) \leq 1-\alpha\right\},
$$

and

$$
[f]_{\alpha}=\left[\left(r, r^{\prime}\right)\right]_{\alpha}=\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid r(x) \geq \alpha\} .
$$

And we define the level sets for $g=\left(\phi, \phi^{\prime}\right)$, denoted $[g]^{<\alpha>}$ and $[g]_{<\alpha\rangle}$, must be defined differently to account for the periodicity:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[g]^{<\alpha>}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \phi^{\prime}(x) \in[\alpha, 1-\alpha], \alpha \in(0,0.5]\right\}, }  \tag{18}\\
& {[g]_{<\alpha>}=\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \phi(x) \in[\alpha, 1-\alpha], \alpha \in(0,0.5]\}, }  \tag{19}\\
& {[g]^{<0>} }=\overline{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0<\phi^{\prime}(x)<1\right\}},  \tag{20}\\
& {[g]_{<0>} }=\overline{\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0<\phi(x)<1\}},  \tag{21}\\
& {[g]^{<\alpha>}=\left[\left(\phi, \phi^{\prime}\right)\right]^{<1-\alpha>} }, \quad[g]_{<\alpha>}=\left[\left(\phi, \phi^{\prime}\right)\right]_{<1-\alpha>},  \tag{22}\\
& \text { for all } \alpha \in[0,1] .
\end{align*}
$$

For $\mathcal{F}=(f, g)$. We can then define the level sets $[\mathcal{F}]^{<\alpha, \beta>}$ and $[\mathcal{F}]_{<\alpha, \beta>}$ as

$$
[\mathcal{F}]^{<\alpha, \beta>}=[(f, g)]^{<\alpha, \beta>}=[f]^{<\alpha>} \cap[g]^{<\beta>},[\mathcal{F}]_{<\alpha, \beta\rangle}=[(f, g)]_{<\alpha, \beta\rangle}=[f]_{<\alpha\rangle} \cap[g]_{<\beta>},
$$

or by the relations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{[\mathcal{F}]^{<\alpha, \beta>}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid r^{\prime}(x) \leq 1-\alpha, \phi^{\prime}(x) \in[\beta, 1-\beta]\right\},}  \tag{23}\\
{[\mathcal{F}]_{<\alpha, \beta>}=\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid r(x) \geq \alpha, \phi(x) \in[\beta, 1-\beta]\},}  \tag{24}\\
{[\mathcal{F}]^{<\alpha, 0>}=\overline{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid r^{\prime}(x) \leq 1-\alpha, 0<\phi^{\prime}(x)<1\right\}},}  \tag{25}\\
{[\mathcal{F}]_{<\alpha, 0>}=\overline{\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid r(x) \geq \alpha, 0<\phi(x)<1\}},} \tag{26}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
{[\mathcal{F}]^{<0, \beta>} } & =\overline{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid r^{\prime}(x)<1, \phi^{\prime}(x) \in[\beta, 1-\beta]\right\}},  \tag{27}\\
{[\mathcal{F}]_{<0, \beta>} } & =\overline{\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid r(x)>0, \phi(x) \in[\beta, 1-\beta]\}},  \tag{28}\\
{[\mathcal{F}]^{<0,0>} } & =\overline{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid r^{\prime}(x)<1,0<\phi^{\prime}(x)<1\right\}},  \tag{29}\\
{[\mathcal{F}]_{<0,0>} } & =\overline{\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid r(x)>0,0<\phi(x)<1\}}, \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

together with

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathcal{F}]^{\langle\alpha, \beta>}=[\mathcal{F}]^{<\alpha, 1-\beta>}, \quad \text { and } \quad[\mathcal{F}]_{<\alpha, \beta>}=[\mathcal{F}]_{<\alpha, 1-\beta>}, \quad \text { for all } \alpha, \beta \in[0,1] . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that, for $g=\left(\phi, \phi^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{F},[g]^{<\alpha>} \subset[g]^{\alpha}$ and $[g]_{\langle\alpha\rangle} \subset[g]_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in[0,0.5]$. However, $[g]^{\langle\alpha\rangle},[g]_{\langle\alpha\rangle}$ need not be compact or convex. In order to address this issue, we define

$$
\hat{\mathcal{G}}=\left\{(u, v): \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow[0,1]^{2} \text { satisfying all of the following conditions }\right\},
$$

1. There exists $x_{0}, x_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $u\left(x_{0}\right)=v\left(x_{1}\right)=0.5$.
2. $u$ and $v$ are monotone.
3. $u$ is upper semi-continuous on $K_{1}$ and lower semi-continuous on $K_{2}$, with

$$
K_{1}=\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0<u(x) \leq 0.5\}, \quad \text { and } \quad K_{2}=\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0.5 \leq u(x)<1\} .
$$

4. $v$ is lower semi-continuous on $K_{1}^{\prime}$ and upper semi-continuous on $K_{2}^{\prime}$, with

$$
K_{1}^{\prime}=\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0<v(x) \leq 0.5\}, \quad \text { and } \quad K_{2}^{\prime}=\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0.5 \leq v(x)<1\} .
$$

5. $\overline{K_{1} \cup K_{2}}$ and $\overline{K_{1}^{\prime} \cup K_{2}^{\prime}}$ are compact.

Now, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{I F}_{*}^{2}=\left\{\left(\left(r, r^{\prime}\right),\left(\phi, \phi^{\prime}\right)\right) \in I F \times \hat{\mathcal{G}} \mid\right. \\
& \left.\quad \exists x_{0}, x_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \text { s.t } r\left(x_{0}\right)=1, r^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)=0, \phi\left(x_{0}\right)=\phi^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)=0.5\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that, for $\mathcal{F} \in \hat{\mathbb{F}}_{*}^{2}$, definition (23) is equivalent to the set of definitions (23)-(31). For $f=(u, v) \in \hat{\mathcal{G}}$, we may write

$$
f(x)=(u, v)(x)= \begin{cases}\left(u_{1}(x), v_{1}(x)\right), & x \in K_{1} \cap K_{1}^{\prime}, \\ \left(u_{2}(x), v_{2}(x)\right), & x \in K_{1} \cap K_{2}^{\prime}, \\ \left(u_{3}(x), v_{3}(x)\right), & x \in K_{2} \cap K_{1}^{\prime}, \\ \left(u_{4}(x), v_{4}(x)\right), & x \in K_{2} \cap K_{2}^{\prime},\end{cases}
$$

where for some $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right),\left(z_{3}, z_{4}\right) \in \mathbb{F}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}=\frac{1}{2} z_{1}, \quad v_{1}=\frac{1}{2} z_{2} \quad u_{2}=\frac{1}{2} z_{1} \quad v_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(2-z_{2}\right), \\
& u_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(2-z_{3}\right), \quad v_{3}=\frac{1}{2} z_{4} \quad u_{4}=\frac{1}{2}\left(2-z_{3}\right) \quad \text { and } v_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(2-z_{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, there exists an embedding $l$ such that $l: \hat{\mathcal{G}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F}$ by:

$$
f=(u, v) \longrightarrow\left(\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right),\left(z_{3}, z_{4}\right)\right)
$$

which implies there exists an embedding $k \equiv(i d, l): \mathbb{F} \times \hat{\mathcal{G}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F}$, where $i d$ is the canonical identity map.

Now, if $u\left(x_{0}\right)=v\left(x_{1}\right)=0.5$, we can choose $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right),\left(z_{3}, z_{4}\right)$ so that $z_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)=z_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)=$ $z_{3}\left(x_{0}\right)=z_{4}\left(x_{1}\right)=1$, hence, $\hat{\mathbb{F}}_{*}^{2}$ is embeddable into $\hat{\mathbb{F}}^{3}$.

Since, as shown in the remark (3), $\hat{\mathbb{F}}^{3}$ is embeddable into a Banach space, then so is $\hat{\mathbb{F}}_{*}^{2}$.
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