Fifth Int. Conf. on IFSs, Sofia, 22-23 Sept. 2001 NIFS 7 (2001), 4, 58-64

Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets in Some Medical Applications

Eulalia Szmidt and Janusz Kacprzyk Systems Research Institute, Polish Academy of Sciences ul. Newelska 6, 01-447 Warsaw, Poland E-mail: {szmidt, kacprzyk}@ibspan.waw.pl

Abstract

We propose a new approach for medical diagnosis by employing intuitionistic fuzzy sets [cf. Atanassov [1, 2]]. Solution is obtained by looking for the smallest distance [cf. Szmidt and Kacprzyk [7, 8]] between symptoms

1 Introduction

Intuitionistic fuzzy sets as a generalization of fuzzy sets can be useful in situations when description of a problem by a (fuzzy) linguistic variable, given in terms of a membership function only, seems too rough. For example, in decision making problems, particularly in the case of medial diagnosis, sales analysis, new product marketing, financial services, etc. there is a fair chance of the existence of a non-null hesitation part at each moment of evaluation of an unknown object. To be more precise - intuitionistic fuzzy sets let us express e.g., the fact that the temperature of a patient changes, and other symptoms are not quite clear.

In this article we will present intuitionistic fuzzy sets as a tool for reasoning in the presence of imperfect facts and imprecise knowledge. An example of medical diagnosis will be presented assuming there is a database, i.e. description of a set of symptoms S, and a set of diagnoses D. We will describe a state of a patient knowing results of his/her medical tests. Description of the problem uses the notion of an intuitionistic fuzzy set. The proposed method of diagnosis involves intuitionistic fuzzy distances as introduced in (Szmidt and Kacprzyk [7, 8]). Advantages of such an approach are pointed out in comparison with the method presented in (De, Biswas and Roy [4]) in which the max-min-max composition rule was applied.

The material in the article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we briefly overview intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Section 3 presents De, Biswas and Roy's [4] approach for medical diagnosis via intuitionistic fuzzy relation

2 Brief introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy sets

As opposed to a fuzzy set (Zadeh [9]) in X = x, given by

$$A' = \{ < x, \mu_{A'}(x) > | x \in X \}$$
(1)

where $\mu_{A'}: X \to [0,1]$ is the membership function of the fuzzy set $A': \mu_{A'}(x) \in [0,1]$; is the membership of $x \in X$ in A', an intuitionistic fuzzy set (Atanassov [2]) $A \in X$ is given by

$$A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle | x \in X \}$$
(2)

where: $\mu_A : X \to [0, 1]$ and $\nu_A : X \to [0, 1]$ such that

$$0 \le \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \le 1 \tag{3}$$

and $\mu_A(x)$, $\nu_A(x) \in [0, 1]$ denote the degree of membership and non-membership of $x \in A$, respectively.

Obviously, each fuzzy set corresponds to the following intuitionistic fuzzy set

$$A = \{ \langle x, \mu_{A'}(x), 1 - \mu_{A'}(x) \rangle | x \in X \}$$
(4)

For each intuitionistic fuzzy set in X, we will call

$$\pi_A(x) = 1 - \mu_A(x) - \nu_A(x) \tag{5}$$

a hesitation margin (or intuitionistic fuzzy index) of $x \in A$, and it is a hesitation degree of whether x belongs to A or not [cf. Atanassov [2]]. It is obvious that $0 \le \pi_A(x) \le 1$, for each $x \in X$.

On the other hand, for each fuzzy set A' in X, we evidently have

$$\pi_{A'}(x) = 1 - \mu_{A'}(x) - [1 - \mu_{A'}(x)] = 0, \text{ for each } x \in X$$
(6)

Therefore, we can state that if we want to fully describe an intuitionistic fuzzy set, we must use any two functions from the triplet:

- membership function,
- non-membership function, and
- hesitation margin.

In other words, the application of intuitionistic fuzzy sets instead of fuzzy sets means the introduction of another degree of freedom into a set description (i.e. in addition to μ_A we also have ν_A or π_A).

3 An intuitionistic fuzzy sets approach to medical diagnosis due to De, Biswas and Roy

By following the reasoning of De, Biswas and Roy [4] (which is an extension of Sanchez's approach [5, 6]), we will now consecutively recall their approach to medical diagnosis via intuitionistic fuzzy sets, or to be more precise – via intuitionistic fuzzy relations that in effect boils down to applying the max-min-max composition [3].

The approach presented by De, Biswas and Roy [4] involves the following three steps:

• determination of symptoms,

Table 1:

Q	Temperature	Headache	Stomach	Cough	Chest
			pain		pain
Al	(0.8, 0.1)	(0.6, 0.1)	(0.2, 0.8)	(0.6, 0.1)	(0.1, 0.6)
Bob	(0.0, 0.8)	(0.4, 0.4)	(0.6, 0.1)	(0.1, 0.7)	(0.1, 0.8)
Joe	(0.8, 0.1)	(0.8, 0.1)	(0.0, 0.6)	(0.2, 0.7)	(0.0, 0.5)
Ted	(0.6, 0.1)	(0.5, 0.4)	(0.3, 0.4)	(0.7, 0.2)	(0.3, 0.4)

Table 2:

R	Viral	Malaria	Typhoid	Stomach	Chest
	fever			problem	problem
Temperature	(0.4, 0.0)	(0.7, 0.0)	(0.3, 0.3)	(0.1, 0.7)	(0.1, 0.8)
Headache	(0.3, 0.5)	(0.2, 0.6)	(0.6, 0.1)	(0.2, 0.4)	(0.0, 0.8)
Stomach pain	(0.1, 0.7)	(0.0, 0.9)	(0.2, 0.7)	(0.8, 0.0)	(0.2, 0.8)
Cough	(0.4, 0.3)	(0.7, 0.0)	(0.2, 0.6)	(0.2, 0.7)	(0.2, 0.8)
Chest pain	(0.1, 0.7)	(0.1, 0.8)	(0.1, 0.9)	(0.2, 0.7)	(0.8, 0.1)

- formulation of medical knowledge based on intuitionistic fuzzy relations, and
- determination of diagnosis on the basis of composition of intuitionistic fuzzy relations.

A set of n patients is considered. For each patient p_i , i = 1, ..., n, a set of symptoms S is given. As a result, an intuitionistic fuzzy relation Q is given from the set of patients to the set of symptoms S.

Next, it is assumed that another intuitionistic fuzzy relation R is given – from a set of symptoms S to the set of diagnoses D. The composition T of intuitionistic fuzzy relations R and Q describes the state of a patient given in terms of

The functions are calculated in the following way [4]:

$$\mu_T(p_i, d_k) = \bigvee_{s \in S} [\mu_Q(p_i, s) \land \mu_R(s, d_k)]$$
(7)

and

$$\nu_T(p_i, d_k) = \bigwedge_{s \in S} [\nu_Q(p_i, s) \lor \nu_R(s, d_k)]$$
(8)

where $\bigvee = \max$ and $\bigwedge = \min$.

Example 1 [4] Let there be four patients: Al, Bob, Joe and Ted, i.e. $P = \{Al, Bob, Joe, Ted\}$. The set of symptoms considered is

 $S = \{temperature, headache, stomach pain, cough, chest-pain\}$. The intuitionistic fuzzy relation $Q(P \rightarrow S)$ is given in Table 1.

Let the set of diagnoses be $D = \{ Viral fever, Malaria, Typhoid, Stomach problem, Chest problem \}$. The intuitionistic fuzzy relation $R(S \rightarrow D)$ is given in Table 2.

Therefore, the composition T(7)–(8) is given in Table 3.

Tabl	le	3:
		~ .

Т	Viral fever	Malaria	Typhoid	Stomach problem	Chest problem
Al	(0.4, 0.1)	(0.7, 0.1)	(0.6, 0.1)	(0.2, 0.4)	(0.2, 0.6)
Bob	(0.3, 0.5)	(0.2, 0.6)	(0.4, 0.4)	(0.6, 0.1)	(0.1, 0.7)
Joe	(0.4, 0.1)	(0.7, 0.1)	(0.6, 0.1)	(0.2, 0.4)	(0.2, 0.5)
Ted	(0.4, 0.1)	(0.7, 0.1)	(0.5, 0.3)	(0.3, 0.4)	(0.3, 0.4)

Table 4:

	Viral fever	Malaria	Typhoid	Stomach problem	Chest problem
Al	0.35	0.68	0.57	0.04	0.08
Bob	0.20	0.08	0.32	0.57	0.04
Joe	0.35	0.68	0.57	0.04	0.05
Ted	0.32	0.68	0.44	0.18	0.18

But as the max-min-max composition was used when looking for T, "dominating" symptoms were in fact only taken into account. So, in the next step an improved version of R is calculated for which the following holds [4]:

- $S_R = \mu_R \nu_R \pi_R$ is the greatest, and
- equations (7)–(8) are retained.

Effects of the presented improvements [4] are given in Table 4.

It seems that the approach proposed in [4] has some drawbacks. First, the max-min-max rule alone (Table 3) does not give a solution. To obtain a solution, the authors [4] propose some changes in medical knowledge.

Next, the type of changes: $S_R = \mu_R - \nu_R \pi_R$ means that the membership function describing relation R (medical knowledge) is weakened. But, in the idea of intuitionistic fuzzy sets there is nowhere assumed that the membership function ca

4 Medical diagnosis via distances for intuitionistic fuzzy sets

To solve the same problem as in [4], but without manipulations in medical knowledge base, and with taking into account all the symptoms characteristic for each patient, we propose a new method based on calculating distances between diagnoses a

As in [4], to make a proper diagnosis D for a patient with given values of tested symptoms S, a medical knowledge base is necessary. In our case a knowledge base is formulated in terms of intuitionistic fuzzy sets.

To compare the approach proposed in this article with the method of De, Biswas and Roy [4], and described shortly in Section 3, we consider just the same data. Let the set of

Ta	\mathbf{b}	le	5:

	Viral	Malaria	Typhoid	Stomach	Chest
	fever			problem	problem
Temperature	(0.4, 0.0, 0.6)	(0.7, 0.0, 0.3)	(0.3, 0.3, 0.4)	(0.1, 0.7, 0.2)	(0.1, 0.8, 0.1)
Headache	(0.3, 0.5, 0.2)	(0.2, 0.6, 0.2)	(0.6, 0.1, 0.3)	(0.2, 0.4, 0.4)	(0.0, 0.8, 0.2)
Stomach pain	(0.1, 0.7, 0.2)	(0.0, 0.9, 0.1)	(0.2, 0.7, 0.1)	(0.8, 0.0, 0.2)	(0.2, 0.8, 0.0)
Cough	(0.4, 0.3, 0.3)	(0.7, 0.0, 0.3)	(0.2, 0.6, 0.2)	(0.2, 0.7, 0.1)	(0.2, 0.8, 0.0)
Chest pain	(0.1, 0.7, 0.2)	(0.1, 0.8, 0.1)	(0.1, 0.9, 0.0)	(0.2, 0.7, 0.1)	(0.8, 0.1, 0.1)

Table 6:

	Temperature	Headache	Stomach	Cough	Chest
			pain		pain
Al	(0.8, 0.1, 0.1)	(0.6, 0.1, 0.3)	(0.2, 0.8, 0.0)	$\left(0.6, 0.1, 0.3 ight)$	(0.1, 0.6, 0.3)
Bob	(0.0, 0.8, 0.2)	(0.4, 0.4, 0.2)	$\left(0.6, 0.1, 0.3\right)$	(0.1, 0.7, 0.2)	(0.1, 0.8, 0.1)
Joe	(0.8, 0.1, 0.1)	(0.8, 0.1, 0.1)	(0.0, 0.6, 0.4)	$\left(0.2, 0.7, 0.1\right)$	$\left(0.0, 0.5, 0.5\right)$
Ted	$\left(0.6, 0.1, 0.3\right)$	$\left(0.5, 0.4, 0.1\right)$	$\left(0.3, 0.4, 0.3\right)$	$\left(0.7, 0.2, 0.1\right)$	$\left(0.3,0.4,0.3\right)$

diagnoses be $D = \{Viral fever, Malaria, Typhoid, Stomach problem, Chest problem\}$. The considered set of symptoms is $S = \{temperature, headache, stomach pain, cough, chest-pain\}$.

The data are given in Table 5 – each symptom is described by three numbers: membership μ , non-membership ν , hesition margin π . For example, for malaria: the temperature is high $(\mu = 0.7, \nu = 0, \pi = 0.3)$, whereas for the chest problem: temperature is low $(\mu = 0.1, \nu = 0.8, \pi = 0.1)$. In fact data in Table 2 and Table 5 are exactly the same (due to (5)) but by involving in an explicit way the hesitation margin too, we want to stress that the values of all three parameters are necessary in our approach.

The considered set of patients is $P = \{Al, Bob, Joe, Ted\}$. The symptoms characteristic for the patients are given in Table 6 – as before, we need all three parameters (μ, ν, π) describing each symptom but the data are the same (due to (5)) as in Table 1.

Our task is to make a proper diagnosis for each patient p_i , i = 1, ..., 4. To fulfill the task we propose to calculate for each patient p_i a distance of his symptoms (Table 6) from a set of symptoms s_j , j = 1, ..., 5 characteristic for each diagnosis d_k , k = 1, ..., 5 (Table 5). The lowest obtained distance points out a proper diagnosis.

In (Szmidt and Kacprzyk [7, 8]) we proved that the only proper way of calculating the most widely used distances for intuitionistic fuzzy sets is to take into account all three parameters: the membership function, the non-membership function, and the hesitation margin. To be more precise, the normalised Hamming distance for all the symptoms of the *i*-th patient from the *k*-th diagnosis is equal to

$$l(s(p_i), d_k) = \frac{1}{10} \sum_{j=1}^{5} (|\mu_j(p_i) - \mu_j(d_k)| + |\nu_j(p_i) - \nu_j(d_k)| + |\pi_j(p_i) - \pi_j(d_k)|)$$

$$(9)$$

The distances (9) for each patient from the considered set of possible diagnoses are given in Table 7. The lowest distance points out a proper diagnosis: Al suffers from malaria, Bob from stomach problem, Joe from typhoid, whereas Ted from fever.

Table 7:

	Viral fever	Malaria	Typhoid	Stomach problem	Chest problem
Al	0.28	0.24	0.28	0.54	0.56
Bob	0.40	0.50	0.31	0.14	0.42
Joe	0.38	0.44	0.32	0.50	0.55
Ted	0.28	0.30	0.38	0.44	0.54

Table 8:

	Viral fever	Malaria	Typhoid	Stomach problem	Chest problem
Al	0.29	0.25	0.32	0.53	0.58
Bob	0.43	0.56	0.33	0.14	0.46
Joe	0.36	0.41	0.32	0.52	0.57
Ted	0.25	0.29	0.35	0.43	0.50

We obtained the same results, i.e. the same quality diagnosis for each patient when looking for the solution while applying the normalized Euclidean distance [cf. Szmidt and Kacprzyk [7, 8]]:

$$q(s(p_i), d_k) = \left(\frac{1}{10} \sum_{j=1}^{10} (\mu_j(p_i) - \mu_j(d_k))^2 + (\nu_j(p_i) - \nu_j(d_k))^2 + (\pi_j(p_i) - \pi_j(d_k))^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(10)

The results are given in Table 8 – the lowest distance for each patient p_i from possible diagnosis D points out a solution. As before, Al suffers from malaria, Bob from stomach problem, Joe from typhoid, whereas Ted from fever.

5 Conclusions

By employing intuitionistic fuzzy sets in databases we can express a hesitation concerning examined objects. The method proposed in this article, performing diagnosis on the basis of the calculation of distances from a considered case to all considered illnesses, takes into account values of all symptoms. As a result, our approach makes it possible to introduce weights for all symptoms (for some illnesses some symptoms can be more important). Such an approach is impossible in the method described in (De, Biswas and Roy [4]) because the max-min-max rule "neglects" in fact most values except for extreme ones.

References

- [1] Atanassov K. (1986) Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20 (1986) 87-96.
- [2] Atanassov K. (1999) Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets: Theory and Applications. Physica-Verlag.

- Biswas R. (1997) Intuitionistic fuzzy relations. Bull. Sous. Ens. Flous. Appl. (BUSEFAL), Vol. 70, pp. 22–29.
- [4] De S.K., Biswas R. and Roy A.R. (2001) An application of intuitionistic fuzzy sets in medical diagnosis. Fuzzy Sets and Systems, Vol. 117, No.2, pp. 209 – 213.
- [5] Sanchez E. (1977) Solutions in composite fuzzy relation equation. Application to medical diagnosis Brouwerian Logic. In: M.M. Gupta, G.N. Saridis, B.R. Gaines (Eds.), Fuzzy Automata and Decision Process, Elsevier, North-Holland.
- [6] Sanchez E. (1976) Resolution of composition fuzzy relation equations. Inform. Control, Vol. 30, pp. 38–48.
- [7] Szmidt E. and Kacprzyk J. (1997) On measuring distances between intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Notes on IFS, Vol.3, No.4, pp.1 13.
- [8] Szmidt E. and J. Kacprzyk J. (2000) Distances between intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, Vol.114, No.3, pp.505 - 518.
- [9] Zadeh L.A. (1965) Fuzzy sets. Information and Control 8, pp. 338 353.