

On intuitionistic fuzzy norms and distances generated by the intuitionistic fuzzy subtractions

$$-{}'_{11} \text{ and } -{}''_{11}$$

**Diana Tisheva¹, Beloslav Riečan²
and Krassimir Atanassov³**

¹ Faculty of Economics and Business Administration
Sofia University “St. Kliment Ohridski”, Bulgaria
e-mail: dianaht@feb.uni-sofia.bg

² Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Matej Bel University
Tajovského 40, 974 01 Banská Bystrica, Slovakia
Mathematical Institute of Slovak Acad. of Sciences
Štefánikova 49, SK–81473 Bratislava, Slovakia
e-mails: riecan@mat.savba.sk, riecan@fpv.umb.sk

³ Department of Bioinformatics and Mathematical Modelling
Institute of Biophysics and Biomedical Engineering
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Acad. G. Bonchev Str., Bl. 105, Sofia-1113, Bulgaria
Intelligent Systems Laboratory
Prof. Asen Zlatarov University, Bourgas-8000, Bulgaria
e-mail: krat@bas.bg

Received: 11 October 2011

Revised: 15 December 2015

Accepted: 17 March 2016

Abstract: Two of the interesting intuitionistic fuzzy subtractions are $-{}'_{11}$ and $-{}''_{11}$. They are used for introduction of 5 new intuitionistic fuzzy norms and 10 new intuitionistic fuzzy distances. For them it is proved that they are intuitionistic fuzzy pairs.

Keywords: Intuitionistic fuzzy distance, Intuitionistic fuzzy norm, Intuitionistic fuzzy subtraction.

AMS Classification: 03E72.

1 On intuitionistic fuzzy subtractions $-'_{11}$ and $-''_{11}$

Different versions of operations “implication”, “negation” and “subtraction” were introduced over the Intuitionistic fuzzy sets (IFS, see [3]). Here, following [3, 5], we will give the definitions of two of the “subtraction” operations: $-'_{11}$ and $-''_{11}$.

First, we shall give some definitions.

In the definitions we shall use functions sg and $\overline{\text{sg}}$:

$$\text{sg}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \leq 0 \end{cases},$$

$$\overline{\text{sg}}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x > 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } x \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

Let the IFSs

$$A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle | x \in E\}$$

and

$$B = \{\langle x, \mu_B(x), \nu_B(x) \rangle | x \in E\}$$

be given (for the description of their components see [1, 3]). Then

$$A \cap B = \{\langle x, \min(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)), \max(\nu_A(x), \nu_B(x)) \rangle | x \in E\}.$$

The negation \neg_{11} , that generates the definitions of “subtraction” operations $-'_{11}$ and $-''_{11}$ has the form (see, e.g., [3, 4]):

$$\neg_{11}A = \{\langle x, \text{sg}(\nu_A(x)), \overline{\text{sg}}(\mu_A(x)) \rangle | x \in E\},$$

The definitions of operation “subtraction” use the well-known formula from set theory:

$$A - B = A \cap \neg B.$$

In the IFS-case, we also can define the operation “subtraction” by:

$$A -'_i B = A \cap \neg_i B, \tag{1}$$

where \neg_i is one of the IF-negations, but, as we discussed in [2], the Law for Excluded Middle is not always valid in IFS theory. By this reason, we can introduce a new series of “subtraction” operations, that have the form:

$$A -''_i B = \neg_i \neg_i A \cap \neg_i B, \tag{2}$$

where $i = 1, 2, \dots, 34$.

In [3, 5], the properties of negation \neg_{11} and both IF-subtractions generated by it are studied.

Below, we will make the subsequent step of our research.

2 Norms and distances, generated by operation $-'_{11}$

Using (1) and (2), we obtain the following forms of “subtraction” operations:

$$A -'_{11} B = \{\langle x, \min(\mu_A(x), \text{sg}(\nu_B(x))), \max(\nu_A(x), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_B(x))) \rangle | x \in E\}$$

and

$$A -''_{11} B = \{\langle x, \min(\overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x)), \text{sg}(\nu_B(x))), \max(\text{sg}(\nu_A(x)), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_B(x))) \rangle | x \in E\}.$$

Now, following [3], two norms of element $x \in E$ will be defined about set $A \subseteq E$ by:

$$\|x\|'_{11} = \langle \min(\mu_A(x), \text{sg}(\nu_A(x))), \max(\nu_A(x), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x))) \rangle$$

and

$$\|x\|''_{11} = \langle \min(\overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x)), \text{sg}(\nu_A(x))), \max(\text{sg}(\nu_A(x)), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x))) \rangle.$$

We must check that both pairs are intuitionistic fuzzy pairs, i.e., the sum of their components is smaller or equal to 1.

Really, for a given IFS A and for each $x \in E$ we obtain that if $\nu_A(x) = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \min(\mu_A(x), \text{sg}(\nu_A(x))) + \max(\nu_A(x), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x))) \\ &= \min(\mu_A(x), 0) + \max(\nu_A(x), 1) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \min(\overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x)), \text{sg}(\nu_A(x))) + \max(\text{sg}(\nu_A(x)), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x))) \\ &= \min(\overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x)), 0) + \max(\text{sg}(\nu_A(x)), 1) = 1; \end{aligned}$$

if $\nu_A(x) > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \min(\mu_A(x), \text{sg}(\nu_B(x))) + \max(\nu_A(x), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_B(x))) \\ &= \min(\mu_A(x), 1) + \max(\nu_A(x), 0) = \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \min(\overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x)), \text{sg}(\nu_A(x))) + \max(\text{sg}(\nu_A(x)), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x))) \\ &= \min(\overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x)), 1) + \max(\text{sg}(\nu_A(x)), 0) \\ &= \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x)) + \text{sg}(\nu_A(x)) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we see that

$$\|x\|''_{11} = \langle 0, 1 \rangle,$$

i.e., this norm is not interesting. By this reason, we will discuss only the first one.

All norms, defined over IFSs up to now, excluding these from [3], are real numbers, and eventually, belong to the interval $[0, 1]$.

Let $e^*, o^*, u^* \in E$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_A(e^*) &= 1, \nu_A(e^*) = 0, \\ \mu_A(o^*) &= 0, \nu_A(o^*) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\mu_A(u^*) = 0, \nu_A(u^*) = 0.$$

Then,

$$\|e^*\|'_{11} = \|o^*\|'_{11} = \|u^*\|'_{11} = \langle 0, 1 \rangle.$$

Now, similarly to [3], we will introduce the following five distances between the values of two elements $x, y \in E$ about the IFS A , by analogy with the first norm:

$$\begin{aligned} d'_{11, str_opt}(A)(x, y) &= \langle \min(\mu_A(x), \text{sg}(\nu_A(y))) + \min(\mu_A(y), \text{sg}(\nu_A(x))) \\ &\quad - \min(\mu_A(x), \text{sg}(\nu_A(y))) \cdot \min(\mu_A(y), \text{sg}(\nu_A(x))), \\ &\quad \max(\nu_A(x), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(y))) \cdot \max(\nu_A(y), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x))) \rangle, \\ d'_{11, opt}(A)(x, y) &= \langle \max(\min(\mu_A(x), \text{sg}(\nu_A(y))), \min(\mu_A(y), \text{sg}(\nu_A(x)))) \\ &\quad \min(\max(\nu_A(x), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(y))), \max(\nu_A(y), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x)))) \rangle, \\ d'_{11, aver}(A)(x, y) &= \langle \frac{\min(\mu_A(x), \text{sg}(\nu_A(y))) + \min(\mu_A(y), \text{sg}(\nu_A(x)))}{2}, \\ &\quad \frac{\max(\nu_A(x), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(y))) + \max(\nu_A(y), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x)))}{2} \rangle, \\ d'_{11, pes}(A)(x, y) &= \langle \min(\mu_A(x), \text{sg}(\nu_A(y)), \mu_A(y), \text{sg}(\nu_A(x))), \\ &\quad \max(\nu_A(x), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(y)), \nu_A(y), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x))) \rangle, \\ d'_{11, str_pes}(A)(x, y) &= \langle \min(\mu_A(x), \text{sg}(\nu_A(y))) \cdot \min(\mu_A(y), \text{sg}(\nu_A(x))), \\ &\quad \max(\nu_A(x), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(y))) + \max(\nu_A(y), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x))) \\ &\quad - \max(\nu_A(x), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(y))) \cdot \max(\nu_A(y), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x))) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1. The five distances are intuitionistic fuzzy pairs.

Proof: We will check the fifth case. Let

$$\begin{aligned} X &\equiv \min(\mu_A(x), \text{sg}(\nu_A(y))) \cdot \min(\mu_A(y), \text{sg}(\nu_A(x))) \\ &\quad + \max(\nu_A(x), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(y))) + \max(\nu_A(y), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x))) \\ &\quad - \max(\nu_A(x), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(y))) \cdot \max(\nu_A(y), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x))). \end{aligned}$$

If $\nu_A(y) = 0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \min(\mu_A(x), 0) \cdot \min(\mu_A(y), \text{sg}(\nu_A(x))) \\ &\quad + \max(\nu_A(x), 1) + \max(\nu_A(y), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x))) \\ &\quad - \max(\nu_A(x), 1) \cdot \max(\nu_A(y), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x))) \\ &= 0 + 1 + \max(\nu_A(y), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x))) - \max(\nu_A(y), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x))) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

If $\nu_A(y) > 0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
X &= \min(\mu_A(x), 1) \cdot \min(\mu_A(y), \text{sg}(\nu_A(x))) \\
&+ \max(\nu_A(x), 0) + \max(\nu_A(y), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x))) \\
&- \max(\nu_A(x), 0) \cdot \max(\nu_A(y), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x))) \\
&= \mu_A(x) \cdot \min(\mu_A(y), \text{sg}(\nu_A(x))) \\
&+ \nu_A(x) + \max(\nu_A(y), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x))) \\
&- \nu_A(x) \cdot \max(\nu_A(y), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x))).
\end{aligned}$$

If $\nu_A(x) = 0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
X &= \mu_A(x) \cdot \min(\mu_A(y), 0) + \nu_A(x) + \max(\nu_A(y), 1) - \nu_A(x) \cdot \max(\nu_A(y), 1) \\
&= 0 + 0 + 1 - 0 = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

If $\nu_A(x) > 0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
X &= \mu_A(x) \cdot \min(\mu_A(y), 1) + \nu_A(x) + \max(\nu_A(y), 0) - \nu_A(x) \cdot \max(\nu_A(y), 0) \\
&= \mu_A(x) \cdot \mu_A(y) + \nu_A(x) + \nu_A(y) - \nu_A(x) \cdot \nu_A(y) \\
&\leq (1 - \nu_A(x)) \cdot (1 - \nu_A(y)) + \nu_A(x) + \nu_A(y) - \nu_A(x) \cdot \nu_A(y) = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $d'_{11, \text{str_pes}}(A)(x, y)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy pair. □

Now, we must mention the validity of the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
d'_{11, \text{str_opt}}(A)(e^*, o^*) &= \langle 1, 0 \rangle, \\
d'_{11, \text{str_opt}}(A)(e^*, u^*) &= \langle 0, 1 \rangle, \\
d'_{11, \text{str_opt}}(A)(o^*, u^*) &= \langle 0, 0 \rangle, \\
d'_{11, \text{opt}}(A)(e^*, o^*) &= \langle 1, 0 \rangle, \\
d'_{11, \text{opt}}(A)(e^*, u^*) &= \langle 0, 1 \rangle, \\
d'_{11, \text{opt}}(A)(o^*, u^*) &= \langle 0, 0 \rangle, \\
d'_{11, \text{aver}}(A)(e^*, o^*) &= \langle \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \rangle, \\
d'_{11, \text{aver}}(A)(e^*, u^*) &= \langle 0, 1 \rangle, \\
d'_{11, \text{aver}}(A)(o^*, u^*) &= \langle 0, \frac{1}{2} \rangle, \\
d'_{11, \text{pes}}(A)(e^*, o^*) &= \langle 0, 1 \rangle, \\
d'_{11, \text{pes}}(A)(e^*, u^*) &= \langle 0, 1 \rangle, \\
d'_{11, \text{pes}}(A)(o^*, u^*) &= \langle 0, 1 \rangle, \\
d'_{11, \text{str_pes}}(A)(e^*, o^*) &= \langle 0, 1 \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

$$d'_{11, str_pes}(A)(e^*, u^*) = \langle 0, 1 \rangle,$$

$$d'_{11, str_pes}(A)(o^*, u^*) = \langle 0, 1 \rangle.$$

Finally, similarly to [3], we will introduce the following five distances between the values of element $x \in E$ about two IFSs A and B , by analogy with the first norm:

$$d'_{11, str_opt}(A, B)(x) = \langle \min(\mu_A(x), \text{sg}(\nu_B(x))) + \min(\mu_B(x), \text{sg}(\nu_A(x))) \\ - \min(\mu_A(x), \text{sg}(\nu_B(x))). \min(\mu_B(x), \text{sg}(\nu_A(x))), \\ \max(\nu_A(x), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_B(x))). \max(\nu_B(x), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x))) \rangle,$$

$$d'_{11, opt}(A, B)(x) = \langle \max(\min(\mu_A(x), \text{sg}(\nu_B(x))), \min(\mu_B(x), \text{sg}(\nu_A(x)))) \\ \min(\max(\nu_A(x), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_B(x))), \max(\nu_B(x), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x)))) \rangle,$$

$$d'_{11, aver}(A, B)(x) = \langle \frac{\min(\mu_A(x), \text{sg}(\nu_B(x))) + \min(\mu_B(x), \text{sg}(\nu_A(x)))}{2}, \\ \frac{\max(\nu_A(x), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_B(x))) + \max(\nu_B(x), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x)))}{2} \rangle,$$

$$d'_{11, pes}(A, B)(x) = \langle \min(\mu_A(x), \text{sg}(\nu_B(x)), \mu_B(x), \text{sg}(\nu_A(x))), \\ \max(\nu_A(x), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_B(x)), \nu_B(x), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x))) \rangle,$$

$$d'_{11, str_pes}(A, B)(x) = \langle \min(\mu_A(x), \text{sg}(\nu_B(x))). \min(\mu_B(x), \text{sg}(\nu_A(x))), \\ \max(\nu_A(x), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_B(x))) + \max(\nu_B(x), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x))) \\ - \max(\nu_A(x), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_B(x))). \max(\nu_B(x), \overline{\text{sg}}(\nu_A(x))) \rangle.$$

Theorem 2. The five last distances are intuitionistic fuzzy pairs.

3 Conclusion

In a next authors' research, some applications of the new norm and distances in the area of data bases will be discussed.

References

- [1] Atanassov, K. (1999) *Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*. Springer, Heidelberg.
- [2] Atanassov, K. (2006) On intuitionistic fuzzy negations and De Morgan Laws. In: *Proc. of Eleventh International Conf. IPMU*, Paris, July 2–7, 2006, 2399–2404.
- [3] Atanassov K. (2012) *On Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets Theory*. Springer, Berlin.
- [4] Atanassov K. & Dimitrov, D. (2008) On the negations over intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Part 1. *Annual of "Informatics" Section Union of Scientists in Bulgaria*, 1, 49–58.
- [5] Riečan, B., Boyadzhieva, D. & Atanassov, K. (2009) On intuitionistic fuzzy subtraction related to intuitionistic fuzzy negation \neg_{11} . *Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*, 15(4), 9–14.