

Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets

Print ISSN 1310–4926, Online ISSN 2367–8283

2024, Volume 30, Number 4, 285–296

DOI: 10.7546/nifs.2024.30.4.285-296

Intuitionistic fuzzy temporal operators and temporal topological structures

Krassimir Atanassov

Dept. of Bioinformatics and Mathematical Modelling, Institute of Biophysics and Biomedical
Engineering, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences

Acad. G. Bonchev Str., Block 105, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria

e-mails: krat@bas.bg, k.t.atanassov@gmail.com

Received: 12 October 2024

Revised: 7 December 2024

Accepted: 9 December 2024

Online first: 13 December 2024

Abstract: A new, general approach to introducing the concept of a Temporal Topological Structure (TTS) is given. The basic properties of the TTS are studied. The TTSs are illustrated by intuitionistic fuzzy objects – temporal intuitionistic fuzzy sets, intuitionistic fuzzy operations (standard and temporal ones), intuitionistic fuzzy relations and intuitionistic fuzzy operators (topological and temporal ones). In total, four different intuitionistic fuzzy TTSs and twelve intuitionistic fuzzy feeble TTSs are described in this paper.

Keywords Intuitionistic fuzzy set, Intuitionistic fuzzy temporal structure, Temporal intuitionistic fuzzy set.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 03E72.

1 Introduction

Some years after introducing of the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets (IFSs, see [1]), the Temporal IFSs (TIFSs, see [2–4]) were also introduced and over them, the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Temporal Operators (IFTS) were proposed.

After introducing the concept of the Modal Topological Structure (MTS, see [5]), these operators also found place in the MTSs structures, see [7].



Copyright © 2024 by the Author. This is an Open Access paper distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0). <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

In the present paper, we will continue the research over the TIFSs, IFTOs and the MTS based over them.

In the present paper, all notations and symbols, related to the IFSs are used from [4] as follows.

Let a (crisp) set E be fixed and let $A \subset E$ be a fixed set. An IFS A^* in E is an object of the following form

$$A^* = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in E\},$$

where functions $\mu_A : E \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\nu_A : E \rightarrow [0, 1]$ define the *degree of membership* and the *degree of non-membership* of the element $x \in E$ to the set A , respectively. For every two IFSs A and B the following relations, operations and operators will be used:

$$A \subseteq B \text{ if and only if } (\forall x \in E)(\mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x) \ \& \ \nu_A(x) \geq \nu_B(x));$$

$$A \supseteq B \text{ if and only if } B \subseteq A;$$

$$A = B \text{ if and only if } (\forall x \in E)(\mu_A(x) = \mu_B(x) \ \& \ \nu_A(x) = \nu_B(x));$$

$$A \cap B = \{\langle x, \min(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)), \max(\nu_A(x), \nu_B(x)) \rangle \mid x \in E\};$$

$$A \cup B = \{\langle x, \max(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)), \min(\nu_A(x), \nu_B(x)) \rangle \mid x \in E\};$$

$$\square A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), 1 - \mu_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in E\};$$

$$\diamond A = \{\langle x, 1 - \nu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in E\};$$

$$\mathcal{C}(A) = \{\langle x, \sup_{y \in E} \mu_A(y), \inf_{y \in E} \nu_A(y) \rangle \mid x \in E\};$$

$$\mathcal{I}(A) = \{\langle x, \inf_{y \in E} \mu_A(y), \sup_{y \in E} \nu_A(y) \rangle \mid x \in E\}.$$

The *Temporal IFS (TIFS)* has the following form:

$$A(T) = \{\langle \langle x, t \rangle, \mu_A(x, t), \nu_A(x, t) \rangle \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\},$$

where $A \subset E$ is a fixed set, $\mu_A(x, t) + \nu_A(x, t) \leq 1$ for every $\langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T$, $\mu_A(x, t)$ and $\nu_A(x, t)$ are the degrees of membership and non-membership, respectively, of the element $x \in E$ at the time-moment $t \in T$.

All above operations, relations and operators keep their sense over TIFSs. The two intuitionistic fuzzy temporal operators are:

$$\mathcal{C}^*(A(T)) = \{\langle \langle x, t \rangle, \sup_{u \in T} \mu_{A(T)}(x, u), \inf_{u \in T} \nu_{A(T)}(x, u) \rangle \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\},$$

$$\mathcal{I}^*(A(T)) = \{\langle \langle x, t \rangle, \inf_{u \in T} \mu_{A(T)}(x, u), \sup_{u \in T} \nu_{A(T)}(x, u) \rangle \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\}.$$

2 Intuitionistic fuzzy topological structures containing intuitionistic fuzzy temporal operators

Here, following, yet modifying and extending the definition from [5, 7, 8], we will define the concept of a Temporal Topological Structure (TTS).

Let us have a fixed set X and let everywhere below

$$\mathcal{P}(X) = \{Y | Y \subseteq X\}.$$

Let T be a fixed temporal scale.

Let O be the minimal element of $\mathcal{P}(X)$. Obviously, X is its maximal element.

Let us have two operations $\Delta, \nabla : \mathcal{P}(X) \times \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ defined in such a way that for every two sets $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(X)$:

$$A \nabla B = \neg(\neg A \Delta \neg B),$$

$$A \Delta B = \neg(\neg A \nabla \neg B),$$

where $\neg P$ is the negation of set P .

Let the operation Δ generate the topological operator \mathcal{O} and the operation ∇ generate the topological operator \mathcal{Q} .

Now, following [10], we will say that the operator \mathcal{O} is a closure (*cl*)-topological operator if for each $A, B \in \mathcal{P}$:

$$\text{C1 } \mathcal{O}(A \Delta B) = \mathcal{O}(A) \Delta \mathcal{O}(B),$$

$$\text{C2 } A \subseteq \mathcal{O}(A),$$

$$\text{C3 } \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(A)) = \mathcal{O}(A),$$

$$\text{C4 } \mathcal{O}(O) = O,$$

and that the operator \mathcal{Q} is an interior (*in*)-topological operator if for each $A, B \in \mathcal{P}$:

$$\text{I1 } \mathcal{Q}(A \nabla B) = \mathcal{Q}(A) \nabla \mathcal{Q}(B),$$

$$\text{I2 } \mathcal{Q}(A) \subseteq A,$$

$$\text{I3 } \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{Q}(A)) = \mathcal{Q}(A),$$

$$\text{I4 } \mathcal{Q}(X) = X.$$

We will assume that for every set $A \in \mathcal{P}(X)$:

$$\mathcal{O}(A) = \neg(\mathcal{Q}(\neg A)),$$

$$\mathcal{Q}(A) = \neg(\mathcal{O}(\neg A)).$$

For example, in the intuitionistic fuzzy case, if operation Δ is the operation "union" (\cup), then operator \mathcal{O} will be the *cl*-topological operator \mathcal{C} , and if operation ∇ is the operation "intersection" (\cap), then operator \mathcal{Q} will be *in*-topological operator \mathcal{I} (cf. [9, 10]). Having in mind that the intuitionistic fuzzy topological operators (IFTOs) \mathcal{C}^* and \mathcal{I}^* satisfy conditions C1–C4 and I1–I4, respectively, we can see that operator \mathcal{C}^* is from a *cl*-type and operation \mathcal{I}^* is from an *in*-type.

Now, following the definition of a topological structure from [9] and the definition from [8], we say that the object $\langle \mathcal{P}(X), \mathcal{E}, \zeta, *, \eta \rangle$ is a τ -Temporal φ -Topological Structure (τ -T φ -TS) over the set X and the temporal scale T , where $\mathcal{E} \in \{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{Q}\}$ is a topological operator from φ -type generated by operation $\zeta \in \{\Delta, \nabla\}$ and $* \in \{\circ, \bullet\}$ is a temporal operator from τ -type related to operation $\eta \in \{\Delta, \nabla\}$, where $\varphi, \tau \in \{cl, in\}$. Therefore, each one of the both operators (the topological and the temporal) must satisfy the respective C- or the respective I-conditions.

In addition, the topological and the temporal operators must satisfy the following additional condition (*) for each $A \in \mathcal{P}(X)$:

$$*\mathcal{E}(A) = \mathcal{E}(*A) \quad (*)$$

that it will be used below.

In the present paper, we illustrate the TTS with examples of the area of intuitionistic fuzziness, constructing different Intuitionistic Fuzzy TTSs (IFTTSs).

We must mention that the four theorems, formulated and proved in [7] are weak about the present definition for a TTS. We will discuss them below.

Theorem 1. For each universe E and each time-scale T , $\langle \mathcal{P}(E^*(T)), \mathcal{C}, \cup, \mathcal{C}^*, \cup \rangle$ is an IFcl-Tcl-TS.

Proof. Let the IFSs $A(T), B(T) \in \mathcal{P}(E^*(T))$ be given. We will sequentially prove the validity of the nine conditions: C1–C4 for the topological operator \mathcal{C} , C1–C4 for the temporal operator \mathcal{C}^* , and the condition (*) for the temporal and topological operators.

C1.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(A(T) \cup B(T)) &= \mathcal{C}(\{\langle x, t \rangle, \mu_{A(T)}(x, t), \nu_{A(T)}(x, t) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\ &\quad \cup \{\langle x, t \rangle, \mu_{B(T)}(x, t), \nu_{B(T)}(x, t) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\}) \\ &= \mathcal{C}(\{\langle x, t \rangle, \max(\mu_{A(T)}(x, t), \mu_{B(T)}(x, t)), \\ &\quad \min(\nu_{A(T)}(x, t), \nu_{B(T)}(x, t)) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\}) \\ &= \{\langle x, t \rangle, \sup_{y \in E} \max(\mu_{A(T)}(y, t), \mu_{B(T)}(y, t)), \\ &\quad \inf_{y \in E} \min(\nu_{A(T)}(x, t), \nu_{B(T)}(x, t)) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\ &= \{\langle x, t \rangle, \max(\sup_{y \in E} \mu_{A(T)}(y, t), \sup_{y \in E} \mu_{B(T)}(y, t)), \\ &\quad \min(\inf_{y \in E} \nu_{A(T)}(y, t), \inf_{y \in E} \nu_{B(T)}(y, t)) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\ &= \mathcal{C}(A(T)) \cup \mathcal{C}(B(T)); \end{aligned}$$

C2.

$$\begin{aligned} A(T) &= \{\langle x, t \rangle, \mu_{A(T)}(x, t), \nu_{A(T)}(x, t) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\ &\subseteq \{\langle x, t \rangle, \sup_{y \in E} \mu_{A(T)}(y, t), \inf_{y \in E} \nu_{A(T)}(y, t) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\ &= \mathcal{C}(A(T)); \end{aligned}$$

C3. Having in mind that for each fixed $t \in T$: $\sup_{y \in E} \mu_{A(T)}(y, t)$ and $\inf_{y \in E} \nu_{A(T)}(y, t)$ are constants, we obtain that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C}(A(T))) &= \mathcal{C}(\{\langle x, t \rangle, \sup_{y \in E} \mu_{A(T)}(y, t), \inf_{y \in E} \nu_{A(T)}(y, t) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\}) \\ &= \{\langle x, t \rangle, \sup_{z \in E} \sup_{y \in E} \mu_{A(T)}(y, t), \inf_{z \in E} \inf_{y \in E} \nu_{A(T)}(y, t) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\ &= \{\langle x, t \rangle, \sup_{y \in E} \mu_{A(T)}(y, t), \inf_{y \in E} \nu_{A(T)}(y, t) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\ &= \mathcal{C}(A(T)). \end{aligned}$$

C4.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}(O^*(T)) &= \mathcal{C}(\{\langle x, t \rangle, 0, 1 \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\}) \\
&= \{\langle x, t \rangle, \sup_{y \in E} 0, \inf_{y \in E} 1 \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\
&= \{\langle x, 0, 1 \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\
&= O^*(T);
\end{aligned}$$

C1.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}^*(A(T) \cup B(T)) &= \mathcal{C}^*(\{\langle x, t \rangle, \max(\mu_{A(T)}(x, t), \mu_{B(T)}(x, t)), \\
&\quad \min(\nu_{A(T)}(x, t), \nu_{B(T)}(x, t)) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\}) \\
&= \{\langle x, t \rangle, \sup_{u \in T} \max(\mu_{A(T)}(x, u), \mu_{B(T)}(x, u)), \\
&\quad \inf_{u \in T} \min(\nu_{A(T)}(x, u), \nu_{B(T)}(x, u)) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\
&= \{\langle x, t \rangle, \max(\sup_{u \in T} \mu_{A(T)}(x, u), \sup_{u \in T} \mu_{B(T)}(x, u)), \\
&\quad \min(\inf_{u \in T} \nu_{A(T)}(x, u), \inf_{u \in T} \nu_{B(T)}(x, u)) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\
&= \{\langle x, t \rangle, \sup_{u \in T} \mu_{A(T)}(x, u), \inf_{u \in T} \nu_{A(T)}(x, u) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\
&\quad \cup \{\langle x, t \rangle, \sup_{u \in T} \mu_{B(T)}(x, u), \inf_{u \in T} \nu_{B(T)}(x, u) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\
&= \mathcal{C}^*(A(T)) \cup \mathcal{C}^*(B(T)).
\end{aligned}$$

C2.

$$\begin{aligned}
A(T) &= \{\langle x, t \rangle, \mu_{A(T)}(x, t), \nu_{A(T)}(x, t) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\
&\subseteq \{\langle x, t \rangle, \sup_{u \in T} \mu_{A(T)}(x, u), \inf_{u \in T} \nu_{A(T)}(x, u) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\
&= \mathcal{C}^*(A(T));
\end{aligned}$$

C3. Having in mind that for each fixed $x \in T$: $\sup_{u \in T} \mu_{A(T)}(x, u)$ and $\inf_{u \in T} \nu_{A(T)}(x, u)$ are constants, we obtain that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}^*(\mathcal{C}^*(T)) &= \mathcal{C}^*(\{\langle x, t \rangle, \sup_{u \in T} \mu_{A(T)}(x, u), \inf_{u \in T} \nu_{A(T)}(x, u) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\}) \\
&= \{\langle x, t \rangle, \sup_{v \in T} \sup_{u \in T} \mu_{A(T)}(x, u), \inf_{v \in T} \inf_{u \in T} \nu_{A(T)}(x, u) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\
&= \{\langle x, t \rangle, \sup_{u \in T} \mu_{A(T)}(x, u), \inf_{u \in T} \nu_{A(T)}(x, u) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\
&= \mathcal{C}^*(A(T));
\end{aligned}$$

C4.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}^*(O^*(T)) &= \mathcal{C}^*(\{\langle x, t \rangle, 0, 1 \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\}) \\
&= (\{\langle x, t \rangle, 0, 1 \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\}) \\
&= O^*(T)
\end{aligned}$$

(*)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}^*(\mathcal{C}(A(T))) &= \mathcal{C}^*(\{\langle x, t \rangle, \sup_{y \in E} \mu_{A(T)}(y, t), \inf_{y \in E} \nu_{A(T)}(y, t) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\}) \\
&= \{\langle x, t \rangle, \sup_{y \in E} \sup_{u \in T} \mu_{A(T)}(y, u), \inf_{y \in E} \inf_{u \in T} \nu_{A(T)}(y, u) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\
&= \mathcal{C}(\{\langle x, t \rangle, \sup_{u \in T} \mu_{A(T)}(x, u), \inf_{u \in T} \nu_{A(T)}(x, u) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\}) \\
&= \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C}^*(A(T))).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2. For each universe E and each time-scale T , $\langle \mathcal{P}(E^*(T)), \mathcal{I}, \cap, \mathcal{I}^*, \cap \rangle$ is an IFin-Tin-TS.

Proof. Let the IFSs $A(T), B(T) \in \mathcal{P}(E^*(T))$ be given. We will sequentially prove the validity of the nine conditions: I1–I4 for the topological operator \mathcal{I} , I1–I4 for the temporal operator \mathcal{I}^* , and the condition (*) for the temporal and topological operators.

I1.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}(A(T) \cap B(T)) &= \mathcal{I}(\{\langle x, t \rangle, \mu_{A(T)}(x, t), \nu_{A(T)}(x, t) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\
&\quad \cap \{\langle x, t \rangle, \mu_{B(T)}(x, t), \nu_{B(T)}(x, t) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\}) \\
&= \mathcal{I}(\{\langle x, t \rangle, \min(\mu_{A(T)}(x, t), \mu_{B(T)}(x, t)), \\
&\quad \max(\nu_{A(T)}(x, t), \nu_{B(T)}(x, t)) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\}) \\
&= \{\langle x, t \rangle, \inf_{y \in E} \min(\mu_{A(T)}(y, t), \mu_{B(T)}(y, t)), \\
&\quad \sup_{y \in E} \max(\nu_{A(T)}(y, t), \nu_{B(T)}(y, t)) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\
&= \{\langle x, t \rangle, \min(\inf_{y \in E} \mu_{A(T)}(y, t), \inf_{y \in E} \mu_{B(T)}(y, t)), \\
&\quad \max(\sup_{y \in E} \nu_{A(T)}(y, t), \sup_{y \in E} \nu_{B(T)}(y, t)) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\
&= \mathcal{C}(A(T)) \cap \mathcal{C}(B(T));
\end{aligned}$$

I2.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}(A(T)) &= \{\langle x, t \rangle, \inf_{y \in E} \mu_{A(T)}(y, t), \sup_{y \in E} \nu_{A(T)}(y, t) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\
&\subseteq \{\langle x, t \rangle, \mu_{A(T)}(x, t), \nu_{A(T)}(x, t) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\
&= A(T);
\end{aligned}$$

I3.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{I}(A(T))) &= \mathcal{I}(\{\langle x, t \rangle, \inf_{y \in E} \mu_{A(T)}(y, t), \sup_{y \in E} \nu_{A(T)}(y, t) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\}) \\
&= \{\langle x, t \rangle, \inf_{z \in E} \inf_{y \in E} \mu_{A(T)}(y, t), \sup_{z \in E} \sup_{y \in E} \nu_{A(T)}(y, t) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\
&= \{\langle x, t \rangle, \inf_{y \in E} \mu_{A(T)}(y, t), \sup_{y \in E} \nu_{A(T)}(y, t) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\
&= \mathcal{I}(A(T)).
\end{aligned}$$

I4.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}(E^*(T)) &= \mathcal{C}(\{\langle\langle x, t \rangle, 1, 0 \rangle \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\}) \\
&= \{\langle\langle x, t \rangle, \sup_{y \in E} 1, \inf_{y \in E} 0 \rangle \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\
&= \{\langle x, 1, 0 \rangle \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\
&= O^*(T);
\end{aligned}$$

II.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}^*(A(T) \cap B(T)) &= \mathcal{I}^*(\{\langle\langle x, t \rangle, \min(\mu_{A(T)}(x, t), \mu_{B(T)}(x, t)), \\
&\quad \max(\nu_{A(T)}(x, t), \nu_{B(T)}(x, t)) \rangle \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\}) \\
&= \{\langle\langle x, t \rangle, \inf_{u \in T} \min(\mu_{A(T)}(x, u), \mu_{B(T)}(x, u)), \\
&\quad \sup_{u \in T} \max(\nu_{A(T)}(x, u), \nu_{B(T)}(x, u)) \rangle \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\
&= \{\langle\langle x, t \rangle, \min(\inf_{u \in T} \mu_{A(T)}(x, u), \inf_{u \in T} \mu_{B(T)}(x, u)), \\
&\quad \max(\sup_{u \in T} \nu_{A(T)}(x, u), \sup_{u \in T} \nu_{B(T)}(x, u)) \rangle \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\
&= \{\langle\langle x, t \rangle, \inf_{u \in T} \mu_{A(T)}(x, u), \sup_{u \in T} \nu_{A(T)}(x, u) \rangle \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\
&\quad \cap \{\langle\langle x, t \rangle, \inf_{u \in T} \mu_{B(T)}(x, u), \sup_{u \in T} \nu_{B(T)}(x, u) \rangle \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\
&= \mathcal{I}^*(A(T)) \cap \mathcal{I}^*(B(T)).
\end{aligned}$$

I2.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}^*(A(T)) &= \{\langle\langle x, t \rangle, \inf_{u \in Y} \mu_{A(T)}(x, u), \sup_{u \in T} \nu_{A(T)}(x, u) \rangle \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\
&\subseteq \{\langle\langle x, t \rangle, \mu_{A(T)}(x, t), \nu_{A(T)}(x, t) \rangle \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\
&= A(T);
\end{aligned}$$

I3.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}^*(\mathcal{I}^*(T)) &= \mathcal{I}^*(\{\langle\langle x, t \rangle, \inf_{u \in T} \mu_{A(T)}(x, u), \sup_{u \in T} \nu_{A(T)}(x, u) \rangle \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\}) \\
&= \{\langle\langle x, t \rangle, \inf_{v \in T} \inf_{u \in T} \mu_{A(T)}(x, u), \sup_{v \in T} \sup_{u \in T} \nu_{A(T)}(x, u) \rangle \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\
&= \{\langle\langle x, t \rangle, \inf_{u \in T} \mu_{A(T)}(x, u), \sup_{u \in T} \nu_{A(T)}(x, u) \rangle \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\
&= \mathcal{C}^*(A(T));
\end{aligned}$$

I4.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}^*(E^*(T)) &= \mathcal{I}^*(\{\langle\langle x, t \rangle, 1, 0 \rangle \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\}) \\
&= (\{\langle\langle x, t \rangle, 1, 0 \rangle \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\}) \\
&= E^*(T)
\end{aligned}$$

(*)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}^*(\mathcal{I}(A(T))) &= \mathcal{I}^*(\{\langle x, t \rangle, \inf_{y \in E} \mu_{A(T)}(y, t), \sup_{y \in E} \nu_{A(T)}(y, t) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\}) \\
&= \{\langle x, t \rangle, \inf_{u \in T} \inf_{y \in E} \mu_{A(T)}(y, u), \sup_{u \in T} \sup_{y \in E} \nu_{A(T)}(y, u) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\
&= \mathcal{I}(\{\langle x, t \rangle, \inf_{u \in T} \mu_{A(T)}(x, u), \sup_{u \in T} \nu_{A(T)}(x, u) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\}) \\
&= \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{I}^*(A(T))).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

3 Intuitionistic fuzzy feeble topological structures containing intuitionistic fuzzy temporal operators

In [6] the concept of a Feeble MTS (FMTS) is discussed. Here, we will modify it in the case of the TTSSs.

Let for $1 \leq s \leq 4, 1 \leq t \leq 3$: $J_1, \dots, J_s \in \{C1, C2, C3, C4, I1, I2, I3, I4\}$, $K_1, \dots, K_t \in \{C1, C2, C3, I1, I2, I3\}$, $R, S_1, \dots, S_s, T_1, \dots, T_t \in \{\subseteq, \supseteq\}$. The object $\langle \mathcal{P}(X), \mathcal{E}, \zeta, *, \eta \rangle$ is a R -Feeble $(\tau, \eta; K_1 T_1, \dots, K_t T_t)$ -Temporal $(\varphi; J_1 S_1, \dots, J_s S_s)$ -Topological Structure (with abbreviation: R -F $(\tau, \eta; K_1 T_1, \dots, K_t T_t)$ -T $(\varphi; J_1 S_1, \dots, J_s S_s)$ -TS) over the set X , where $\mathcal{E} \in \{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{Q}\}$ is a topological operator from φ -type generated by operation $\zeta \in \{\Delta, \nabla\}$ and $*$ $\in \{\circ, \bullet\}$ is a temporal operator from τ -type related to operation $\eta \in \{\Delta, \nabla\}$, where $\varphi, \tau \in \{cl, in\}$, so that each one of the both operators (the topological and the temporal) must satisfy the respective C- or the respective I-conditions and in condition J_i the original relation from the definition of the TTSSs is replaced by the relation S_i , or this condition is omitted ($1 \leq i \leq s$) and in condition K_j the original relation is replaced by the relation T_j or this condition is omitted ($1 \leq j \leq t$). Similarly, by $R \in \{\subseteq, \supseteq\}$ we denote the fact that in equality (*) the symbol $=$ is replaced by the relation R .

Below, we will discuss all modifications of Theorems 1 and 2 and for each one of these modifications, we will show that it is FTTS in the above sense.

In [7] the following assertion is formulated and proved as an IFTTS, while in the sense of the above definition for FTTS, it obtains the form:

Theorem 3. For each universe E and each time-scale T , $\langle \mathcal{P}(E^*(T)), \mathcal{C}, \cup, \mathcal{C}^*, \cap \rangle$ is an IFF $(cl, \cap; 1 \subseteq)$ -T (cl, \cup) -TS.

Proof. The validity of the conditions C1–C4 for the topological operator \mathcal{C} , of the conditions C2–C4 for the temporal operator \mathcal{C}^* and of condition (*) are checked in Theorem 1. To check condition C1 for the temporal operator \mathcal{C}^* , we will first prove that for each $x \in E$:

$$\sup_{u \in T} \min(\mu_{A(T)}(x, u), \mu_{B(T)}(x, u)) \leq \min(\sup_{u \in T} \mu_{A(T)}(x, u), \sup_{u \in T} \mu_{B(T)}(x, u)), \quad (1)$$

$$\inf_{u \in T} \max(\nu_{A(T)}(x, u), \nu_{B(T)}(x, u)) \geq \max(\inf_{t \in T} \nu_{A(T)}(x, t), \inf_{t \in T} \nu_{B(T)}(x, t)). \quad (2)$$

Let for a fixed $x \in E$:

$$\min(\mu_{A(T)}(x, u), \mu_{B(T)}(x, u)) = g(u).$$

Therefore, for each $x \in E$:

$$\begin{aligned} g(u) &\leq \mu_{A(T)}(x, u), \\ g(u) &\leq \mu_{B(T)}(x, u). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{u \in T} g(u) &\leq \sup_{u \in T} \mu_{A(T)}(x, u), \\ \sup_{u \in T} g(u) &\leq \sup_{u \in T} \mu_{B(T)}(x, u) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\sup_{u \in T} g(u) \leq \min(\sup_{u \in T} \mu_{A(T)}(x, u), \sup_{u \in T} \mu_{B(T)}(x, u)),$$

i.e., (1) is valid. Proving (2) is done in a similar manner.

Back to the proof of C1, using (1) and (2), we see that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^*(A(T) \cap B(T)) &= \mathcal{C}^*(\{\langle x, \min(\mu_{A(T)}(x, t), \mu_{B(T)}(x, t)), \\ &\quad \max(\nu_{A(T)}(x, t), \nu_{B(T)}(x, t)) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\}) \\ &= \{\langle x, \sup_{u \in T} \min(\mu_{A(T)}(x, u), \mu_{B(T)}(x, u)), \\ &\quad \inf_{u \in T} \max(\nu_{A(T)}(x, u), \nu_{B(T)}(x, u)) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\ &\subseteq \{\langle x, \min(\sup_{t \in T} \mu_{A(T)}(x, t), \sup_{t \in T} \mu_{B(T)}(x, t)), \\ &\quad \max(\inf_{t \in T} \nu_{A(T)}(x, t), \inf_{t \in T} \nu_{B(T)}(x, t)) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\ &= \{\langle x, \sup_{t \in T} \mu_{A(T)}(x, t), \inf_{t \in T} \nu_{A(T)}(x, t) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\ &\quad \cap \{\langle x, \sup_{t \in T} \mu_{B(T)}(x, t), \inf_{t \in T} \nu_{B(T)}(x, t) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T\} \\ &= \mathcal{C}^*(A(T)) \cap \mathcal{C}^*(B(T)). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We must mention that in the sense of the above definition for a FTTS, the definition of a TTS in [7] is feeble.

Theorem 4. For each universe E and each time-scale T , $\langle \mathcal{P}(E^*(T)), \mathcal{C}, \cup, \mathcal{I}^*, \cup \rangle$ is an $\text{IF}\supset\text{-F}(in, \cup; 1 \supset)\text{-T}(cl, \cup)\text{-TS}$.

Proof. The validity of the conditions C1–C4 for the topological operator \mathcal{C} and of the conditions I2–I4 for the temporal operator \mathcal{I}^* are checked in Theorems 1 and 2. Now, we must check condition C1 for the temporal operator \mathcal{I}^* and condition (*). The check of the condition C1 uses the inequalities (1) and (2):

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}^*(A(T) \cup B(T)) &= \mathcal{I}^* (\{ \langle x, t \rangle, \max(\mu_{A(T)}(x, t), \mu_{B(T)}(x, t)), \\
&\quad \min(\nu_{A(T)}(x, t), \nu_{B(T)}(x, t)) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T \}) \\
&= \{ \langle x, t \rangle, \inf_{u \in T} \max(\mu_{A(T)}(x, u), \mu_{B(T)}(x, u)), \\
&\quad \sup_{u \in T} \min(\nu_{A(T)}(x, u), \nu_{B(T)}(x, u)) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T \} \\
&\supseteq \{ \langle x, t \rangle, \max(\inf_{u \in T} \mu_{A(T)}(x, u), \inf_{u \in T} \mu_{B(T)}(x, u)), \\
&\quad \min(\sup_{u \in T} \nu_{A(T)}(x, u), \sup_{u \in T} \nu_{B(T)}(x, u)) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T \} \\
&= \{ \langle x, t \rangle, \inf_{u \in T} \mu_{A(T)}(x, u), \sup_{u \in T} \nu_{A(T)}(x, u) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T \} \\
&\quad \cup \{ \langle x, t \rangle, \inf_{u \in T} \mu_{B(T)}(x, u), \sup_{u \in T} \nu_{B(T)}(x, u) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T \} \\
&= \mathcal{I}^*(A(T)) \cup \mathcal{I}^*(B(T)).
\end{aligned}$$

For the check of the condition (*), first, we will prove that:

$$\inf_{u \in T} \sup_{y \in E} (\mu_{A(T)}(y, u), \mu_{B(T)}(y, u)) \geq \sup_{y \in E} (\inf_{u \in T} \mu_{A(T)}(y, u), \inf_{u \in T} \mu_{B(T)}(y, u)), \quad (3)$$

$$\sup_{u \in T} \inf_{y \in E} (\nu_{A(T)}(y, u), \nu_{B(T)}(y, u)) \leq \inf_{y \in E} (\sup_{t \in T} \nu_{A(T)}(y, t), \sup_{t \in T} \nu_{B(T)}(y, t)). \quad (4)$$

Let for a fixed $u \in T$:

$$\sup_{y \in E} (\mu_{A(T)}(y, u), \mu_{B(T)}(y, u)) = h(u).$$

Therefore, for each $y \in E$:

$$\begin{aligned}
h(u) &\geq \mu_{A(T)}(y, u), \\
h(u) &\geq \mu_{B(T)}(y, u).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\inf_{u \in T} h(u) &\geq \inf_{u \in T} \mu_{A(T)}(y, u), \\
\inf_{u \in T} h(u) &\geq \inf_{u \in T} \mu_{B(T)}(y, u)
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\inf_{u \in T} h(u) \geq \sup_{y \in E} (\inf_{u \in T} \mu_{A(T)}(y, u), \inf_{u \in T} \mu_{B(T)}(y, u)),$$

i.e., (1) is valid. Proving (2) is done in a similar manner.

Back to the proof of (*), using (3) and (4), we see that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}^*(\mathcal{C}(A(T))) &= \mathcal{I}^* (\{ \langle x, t \rangle, \sup_{y \in E} \mu_{A(T)}(y, t), \inf_{y \in E} \nu_{A(T)}(y, t) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T \}) \\
&= \{ \langle x, t \rangle, \inf_{u \in T} \sup_{y \in E} \mu_{A(T)}(y, u), \sup_{u \in T} \inf_{y \in E} \nu_{A(T)}(y, u) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T \} \\
&\supseteq \{ \langle x, \sup_{y \in E} \inf_{u \in T} \mu_{A(T)}(y, u), \inf_{y \in E} \sup_{u \in T} \nu_{A(T)}(y, u) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T \} \\
&= \mathcal{C}(\{ \langle x, \inf_{t \in T} \mu_{A(T)}(x, t), \sup_{t \in T} \nu_{A(T)}(x, t) \mid \langle x, t \rangle \in E \times T \}) \\
&= \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{I}^*(A(T))).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

In the same manner we can prove the following assertions, too.

Theorem 5. For each universe E and each time-scale T , $\langle \mathcal{P}(E^*(T)), \mathcal{C}, \cup, \mathcal{I}^*, \cap \rangle$ is an $\text{IF}\supseteq\text{-F}(in, \cap)\text{-T}(cl, \cup)\text{-TS}$.

Theorem 6. For each universe E and each time-scale T , $\langle \mathcal{P}(E^*(T)), \mathcal{C}, \cap, \mathcal{C}^*, \cup \rangle$ is an $\text{IFF}cl\text{-T}(cl, \cap; 1 \subseteq)\text{-TS}$.

Theorem 7. For each universe E and each time-scale T , $\langle \mathcal{P}(E^*(T)), \mathcal{C}, \cap, \mathcal{C}^*, \cap \rangle$ is an $\text{IFF}(cl, \cap; 1 \subseteq)\text{-T}(cl, \cap; 1 \subseteq)\text{-TS}$.

Theorem 8. For each universe E and each time-scale T , $\langle \mathcal{P}(E^*(T)), \mathcal{C}, \cap, \mathcal{I}^*, \cup \rangle$ is an $\text{IF}\subseteq\text{-F}(in, \cup; 1 \supseteq)\text{-T}(cl, \cap; 1 \subseteq)\text{-TS}$.

Theorem 9. For each universe E and each time-scale T , $\langle \mathcal{P}(E^*(T)), \mathcal{C}, \cap, \mathcal{I}^*, \cap \rangle$ is an $\text{IF}\subseteq\text{-F}(in, \cup)\text{-T}(cl, \cap; 1 \subseteq)\text{-TS}$.

Theorem 10. For each universe E and each time-scale T , $\langle \mathcal{P}(E^*(T)), \mathcal{I}, \cup, \mathcal{C}^*, \cup \rangle$ is an $\text{IF}\supseteq\text{-F}(cl, \cup)\text{-T}(in, \cup; \supseteq)\text{-TS}$.

Theorem 11. For each universe E and each time-scale T , $\langle \mathcal{P}(E^*(T)), \mathcal{I}, \cup, \mathcal{C}^*, \cap \rangle$ is an $\text{IF}\supseteq\text{-F}(cl, \cap; 1 \subseteq)\text{-T}(in, \cup; \supseteq)\text{-TS}$.

Theorem 12. For each universe E and each time-scale T , $\langle \mathcal{P}(E^*(T)), \mathcal{I}, \cup, \mathcal{I}^*, \cup \rangle$ is an $\text{IFF}(in, \cup; 1 \supseteq)\text{-T}(in, \cup; \supseteq)\text{-TS}$.

Theorem 13. For each universe E and each time-scale T , $\langle \mathcal{P}(E^*(T)), \mathcal{I}, \cup, \mathcal{I}^*, \cap \rangle$ is an $\text{IFF}(in, \cap)\text{-T}(in, \cup; \supseteq)\text{-TS}$.

Theorem 14. For each universe E and each time-scale T , $\langle \mathcal{P}(E^*(T)), \mathcal{I}, \cap, \mathcal{C}^*, \cup \rangle$ is an $\text{IF}\supseteq\text{-F}(cl, \cup)\text{-T}(in, \cap)\text{-TS}$.

Theorem 15. For each universe E and each time-scale T , $\langle \mathcal{P}(E^*(T)), \mathcal{I}, \cap, \mathcal{C}^*, \cap \rangle$ is an $\text{IF}\supseteq\text{-F}(cl, \cap)\text{-T}(in, \cap)\text{-TS}$.

Theorem 16. For each universe E and each time-scale T , $\langle \mathcal{P}(E^*(T)), \mathcal{I}, \cap, \mathcal{I}^*, \cup \rangle$ is an $\text{IFF}(in, \cup; 1 \supseteq)\text{-T}(in, \cap)\text{-TS}$.

4 Conclusion

In the paper we described all TTSs generated by the IFTOs \mathcal{C}^* and \mathcal{I}^* .

In a next research, we will discuss other structures in which the IFTOs play the role of the topological operators and these structures contain modal operators.

Acknowledgements

The present research has been supported by the Bulgarian National Science Fund under Grant Ref. No. KP-06-N72/8 from 14.12.2023.

References

- [1] Atanassov, K. (1983). Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *VIIITKR's Session*, Sofia, June 1983 (Deposed in Central Sci.-Techn. Library of Bulg. Acad. of Sci., 1697/84) (in Bulg.).
- [2] Atanassov, K. (1991). Temporal intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Comptes Rendus de l'Academie bulgare des Sciences*, 44(7), 5–7.
- [3] Atanassov, K. (1999). *Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets: Theory and Applications*. Springer, Heidelberg.
- [4] Atanassov, K. (2012). *On Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets Theory*. Springer, Berlin.
- [5] Atanassov, K. (2022). Intuitionistic Fuzzy Modal Topological Structure. *Mathematics*, 10, Article ID 3313.
- [6] Atanassov, K. (2022). On the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Modal Feeble Topological Structures. *Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*, 28 (3), 211–222.
- [7] Atanassov, K. (2023). On Intuitionistic Fuzzy Temporal Topological Structures. *Axioms*, 12, Article ID 182.
- [8] Atanassov, K. (2024). A general approach to modal topological structures illustrated by intuitionistic fuzzy objects. *Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*, 30(3), 260–284.
- [9] Bourbaki, N. (1960). *Éléments De Mathématique*, Livre III: Topologie Générale, Chapitre 1: Structures Topologiques, Chapitre 2: Structures Uniformes. Herman, Paris (Third Edition, in French).
- [10] Kuratowski, K. (1966). *Topology, Vol. I*, Academic Press, New York.