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#### Abstract

There are two IF-state representation theorems, [2,4]. They represent IF-state by some classical Kolmogorovian probabilities. Of course, they must be equivalent, but the formulations correspond with the constructions of the probabilities.
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## 1 Butnariu-Klement formulation

Recall the definition of IF-sets and some operations with them.

Definition 1. Let $\Omega$ be a nonempty set. An IF-subsets of $\Omega$ is a pair $A$ of mappings $A=$ $\left(\mu_{A}, \nu_{A}\right), \mu_{A}: \Omega \rightarrow[0,1], \nu_{A}: \Omega \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that $\mu_{A}+\nu_{A} \leq 1_{\Omega}$. If $A, B$ are IF-sets then we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \oplus B=\left(\left(\mu_{A}+\mu_{B}\right) \wedge 1,\left(\nu_{A}+\nu_{B}-1\right) \vee 0\right), \\
& A \odot B=\left(\left(\mu_{A}+\mu_{B}-1\right) \vee 0,\left(\nu_{A}+\nu_{B}\right) \wedge 1\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
A \leq B \Longleftrightarrow \mu_{A} \leq \mu_{A}, \nu_{A} \geq \nu_{B}
$$

The following terminology is probably inspired by the quantum theory. Therefore we speak about states instead of probabilities.

Definition 2. Start with a measurable space $(\Omega, \mathcal{S})$, hence $\mathcal{S}$ is a $\sigma$-algebra of subsets of $\Omega$. An IF-event is such an IF-set $A=\left(\mu_{A}, \nu_{A}\right)$ that $\mu_{A}, \nu_{A}: \Omega \rightarrow[0,1]$ are $\mathcal{S}$-measurable. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the
family of all IF-events in $\Omega$. A mapping $m: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow[0,1]$ is called an IF-state, if the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $m\left(\left(1_{\Omega}, 0_{\Omega}\right)\right)=1, m\left(\left(0_{\Omega}, 1_{\Omega}\right)\right)=0$;
(ii) $\left.A \odot B=\left(0_{\Omega}, 1_{\Omega}\right)\right) \Longrightarrow m(A \oplus B)=m(A)+m(B)$;
(iii) $A_{n} \nearrow A\left(\right.$ i.e. $\left.\mu_{A_{n}} \nearrow \mu_{A}, \nu_{A_{n}} \searrow \nu_{A}\right) \Longrightarrow m\left(A_{n}\right) \nearrow m(A)$.

Now we shall present the first solution of a problem of Radko Mesiar: find all IF-states on $\Omega$.

Theorem 1. To each state $m: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow[0,1]$ there exist exactly one probability measure $P: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow[0,1]$ and exactly one $\alpha \in[0,1]$ such that

$$
m(A)=(1-\alpha) \int_{\Omega} \mu_{A} d P+\alpha\left(1-\int_{\Omega} \nu_{A} d P\right)
$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{F}$.
Proof. In [5] it was based on the Butnariu-Klement theorem ([1]): $m$ can be found in the form $m(A)=f\left(\int_{\Omega} \mu_{A} d P, \int_{\Omega} \nu_{A} d P\right)$. If $\beta, Q$ is another pair, then

$$
(1-\alpha) \int_{\Omega} \mu_{A} d P+\alpha\left(1-\int_{\Omega} \nu_{A} d P\right)=(1-\beta) \int_{\Omega} \mu_{A} d Q+\beta\left(1-\int_{\Omega} \nu_{A} d Q\right)
$$

Put $\mu_{A}=\nu_{A}=0_{\Omega}$. Then we obtain $\alpha=\beta$. If we put $\mu_{A}=\chi_{A}, \nu_{A}=0_{\Omega}$, then we obtain

$$
(1-\alpha) \int_{\Omega} \mu_{A} d P+\alpha=(1-\alpha) \int_{\Omega} \mu_{A} d Q+\alpha,
$$

hence if $\alpha \neq 1$ we obtain $P(A)=Q(A)$ for any $A \in \mathcal{S}$, hence $P=Q$. Let $\alpha=1$. Then again

$$
1-P(A)=1-\int \chi_{A} d P=1-\int \chi_{A} d Q=1-Q(A),
$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{S}$, hence $P=Q$.

## 2 Cignoli formulation

The proof without the Butnariu-Klement theorem has been presented in [2].

Theorem 2. To each state $m: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow[0,1]$ there are probability measures $P, Q: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow[0,1]$ and $\alpha \in[0,1]$ such that

$$
m(A)=\int_{\Omega} \mu_{A} d P+\alpha\left(1-\int_{\Omega}\left(\mu_{A}+\nu_{A}\right) d Q\right)
$$

for any $A=\left(\mu_{A} \cdot \nu_{A}\right) \in \mathcal{F}$.

Theorem 3. Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 1.

Proof. Put $Q=P$. Then

$$
m(A)=(1-\alpha) \int_{\Omega} \mu_{A} d P+\alpha\left(1-\int_{\Omega} \nu_{A} d P\right)=\int_{\Omega} \mu_{A} d P+\alpha\left(1-\int_{\Omega}\left(\mu_{A}+\nu_{A}\right) d P\right.
$$

Hence the proof.
Now we show that also Theorem 2 implies Theorem 1.

Theorem 4. Let $P, Q, R: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be probability measures. and $\alpha, \beta \in[0,1]$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1-\beta) \int_{\Omega} \mu_{A} d R+\beta\left(1-\int_{\Omega} \nu_{A} d R\right)= \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \mu_{A} d P+\alpha\left(1-\int_{\Omega}\left(\mu_{A}+\nu_{A}\right) d Q\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{S}$. Then $\alpha=\beta$ and $P=Q=R$.
Proof. First put $\mu_{A}=0_{\Omega}, \nu_{A}=0_{\Omega}$. Then $\beta=\alpha$. Put now $\mu_{A}=0, \nu_{A}=\chi_{A}$. Then

$$
\alpha(1-R(A))=\alpha(1-Q(A)) .
$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{S}$. Therefore, if $\alpha \neq 0$, then $R=Q$. If $\alpha=0$ then $R(A)=P(A)$ for any $A \in \mathcal{S}$, hence $R=P$.

Now put $\mu_{A}=\chi_{A}, \nu_{A}=0_{\Omega}$. Then $(1-\alpha) R(A)+\alpha=P(A)+\alpha-\alpha Q(A)$.
If $\alpha \neq 0$ then $(1-\alpha) R(A)=P(A)-\alpha R(A)$, hence $R=P=Q)$.
If $\alpha=0$, then $R=P$, and $Q$ can be arbitrary.

## 3 Grzegorzewski formulation

Consider a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, P)$. Then in [3] the probability $P(A)$ of an event $A=$ ( $\mu_{A}, \nu_{A}$ ) has been defined as a compact interval by the equality

$$
m(A)=\left[\int_{\Omega} \mu_{A} d P, 1-\int_{\Omega} \nu_{A} d P\right] .
$$

Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the family of all compact intervals. Then the mapping $m: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ can be defined axiomatically similarly as in [4].

Definition 3. A mapping $m: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ is called an IF-probability, if the following conditions hold:
(i) $m\left(\left(1_{\Omega}, 0_{\Omega}\right)\right)=[1,1], m\left(\left(0_{\Omega}, 1_{\Omega}\right)\right)=[0,0]$;
(ii) $A \odot B=\left(0_{\Omega}, 1_{\Omega}\right) \Longrightarrow m(A \oplus B)=m(A)+m(B)$;
(iii) $A_{n} \nearrow A \Longrightarrow m\left(A_{n}\right) \nearrow m(A)$.
(Recall that $\left[\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}\right] \nearrow[\alpha, \beta]$ means that $\alpha_{n} \nearrow \alpha, \beta_{n} \nearrow \beta$, but $A_{n}=\left(\mu_{A_{n}}, \nu_{A_{n}}\right) \nearrow A=$ $\left(\mu_{A}, \nu_{A}\right)$ means $\mu_{A_{n}} \nearrow \mu_{A}, \nu_{A_{n}} \searrow \nu_{A}$.)

Very well known is the following assertion.

Theorem 5. Let $m: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ be an IF-probability, $m(A)=\left[m^{b}(A), m^{\sharp}(A)\right], A \in \mathcal{F}$. Then $m^{b}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow[0,1], m^{\sharp}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow[0,1]$ are IF-states.

Of course, we know the general form of IF-states. Therefore the following theorem is evident.

Theorem 6. Let $m: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ be an IF-probability. Then there exist Kolmogorov probabilities $P^{b}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow[0,1], P^{\sharp}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow[0,1]$ and $\alpha^{b}, \alpha^{\sharp} \in[0,1]$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m^{b}(A)=\int_{\Omega} \mu_{A} d P^{b}+\alpha^{b}\left(1-\int_{\Omega} \nu_{A} d P^{b}\right), \\
& m^{\sharp}(A)=\int_{\Omega} \mu_{A} d P^{\sharp}+\alpha^{\sharp}\left(1-\int_{\Omega} \nu_{A} d P^{\sharp}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha^{b} \leq \alpha^{\sharp}$ and $\alpha^{b}\left(1-P^{b}(A)\right) \leq \alpha^{\sharp}\left(1-P^{\sharp}(A)\right), A \in \mathcal{F}$.
Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m^{b}(A)=\int_{\Omega} \mu_{A} d P^{b}+\alpha^{b}\left(1-\int_{\Omega} \nu_{A} d P^{b}\right) \leq \\
& \leq m^{\sharp}(A)=\int_{\Omega} \mu_{A} d P^{\sharp}+\alpha^{\sharp}\left(1-\int_{\Omega} \nu_{A} d P^{\sharp}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Put $\mu_{A}=\nu_{A}=0_{\Omega}$. Then we directly obtain $\alpha^{b} \leq \alpha^{\sharp}$. Moreover $\mu_{A}=o_{\Omega}, \nu_{A}=\chi_{A}$ implies

$$
\alpha^{b}\left(1-P^{b}(A)\right) \leq \alpha^{\sharp}\left(1-P^{\sharp}(A)\right)
$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{S}$.
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