

Convergence in measure of intuitionistic fuzzy observables

Katarína Čunderlíková¹ and Dušana Babicová²

¹ Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences
Štefánikova 49, 814 73 Bratislava, Slovakia
e-mail: cunderlikova.lendelova@gmail.com

² Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences
Štefánikova 49, 814 73 Bratislava, Slovakia
e-mail: dusana.babicova@gmail.com

Received: 23 June 2022

Accepted: 19 July 2022

Abstract: The aim of this paper is to define a convergence in measure $\mathbf{m}$, where $\mathbf{m}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy state. We prove a version of weak law of large numbers for a sequence of independent intuitionistic fuzzy observables, too.

Keywords: Intuitionistic fuzzy observable, Intuitionistic fuzzy state, Joint intuitionistic fuzzy observable, Independence, Convergence in measure, Weak law of large numbers.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 03B52, 60A86, 60B10.

1 Introduction

The different types of convergence of intuitionistic fuzzy observables, like convergence in distribution and almost everywhere convergence, were studied in papers [4–6, 8, 9, 13]. Many important theorems have been proved: the Central limit theorem, the Strong law of large numbers and the Fisher—Tippett—Gnedenko theorem. They are a basis for statistical and financial applications. Other versions of Strong law of large numbers were studied by P. Nowak and O. Hryniewicz in [12].

In this paper, we introduce the notion of convergence in measure $\mathbf{m}$ for intuitionistic fuzzy observables and we formulate a version of Weak law of large numbers for a sequence of independent intuitionistic fuzzy observables in this case. Our main idea is in a representation of

a given sequence $(y_n)_n$ of intuitionistic fuzzy observables $y_n : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ by a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, P)$ with a sequence $(\eta_n)_n$ of random variables $\eta_n : \Omega \rightarrow R$. We work with a sequence of several intuitionistic fuzzy observables induced by Borel measurable function. Recall that a version of Weak law of large numbers for intuitionistic fuzzy observables using an intuitionistic fuzzy probability was proved in paper [11].

Remark that in a whole text we use a notation “IF” in short as the term “intuitionistic fuzzy”.

2 IF-events, IF-states and IF-observables

In [1–3], K. T. Atanassov introduced the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy sets. We start with a definition of the basic notions:

Definition 2.1. Let Ω be a nonempty set. An IF-set $\mathbf{A}$ on Ω is a pair (μ_A, ν_A) of mappings $\mu_A, \nu_A : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\mu_A + \nu_A \leq 1_\Omega$.

Definition 2.2. Start with a measurable space $(\Omega, \mathcal{S})$. Hence $\mathcal{S}$ is a σ -algebra of subsets of Ω . An IF-event is called an IF-set $\mathbf{A} = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$ such that $\mu_A, \nu_A : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable.

The family of all IF-events on $(\Omega, \mathcal{S})$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{F}$, $\mu_A : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ will be called the membership function, $\nu_A : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be called the non-membership function.

If $\mathbf{A} = (\mu_A, \nu_A) \in \mathcal{F}$, $\mathbf{B} = (\mu_B, \nu_B) \in \mathcal{F}$, then we define the Łukasiewicz binary operations $\oplus, \odot$ on $\mathcal{F}$ by:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} &= ((\mu_A + \mu_B) \wedge 1_\Omega, (\nu_A + \nu_B - 1_\Omega) \vee 0_\Omega), \\ \mathbf{A} \odot \mathbf{B} &= ((\mu_A + \mu_B - 1_\Omega) \vee 0_\Omega, (\nu_A + \nu_B) \wedge 1_\Omega)\end{aligned}$$

and the partial ordering is then given by

$$\mathbf{A} \leq \mathbf{B} \iff \mu_A \leq \mu_B, \nu_A \geq \nu_B.$$

In paper we use max-min connectives defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A} \vee \mathbf{B} &= (\mu_A \vee \mu_B, \nu_A \wedge \nu_B), \\ \mathbf{A} \wedge \mathbf{B} &= (\mu_A \wedge \mu_B, \nu_A \vee \nu_B)\end{aligned}$$

and the De Morgan rules:

$$\begin{aligned}(a \vee b)^* &= a^* \wedge b^*, \\ (a \wedge b)^* &= a^* \vee b^*,\end{aligned}$$

where $a^* = 1 - a$.

Example 2.3. Fuzzy set $f : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ can be regarded as IF-set, if we put

$$\mathbf{A} = (f, 1_\Omega - f).$$

If $f = \chi_A$, then the corresponding IF-set has the form

$$\mathbf{A} = (\chi_A, 1_\Omega - \chi_A) = (\chi_A, \chi_{A'}).$$

In this case $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}$ corresponds to the union of sets, $\mathbf{A} \odot \mathbf{B}$ to the intersection of sets and $\leq$ to the set inclusion.

In the IF-probability theory ([15, 18]) instead of the notion of probability we use the notion of state.

Definition 2.4. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the family of all IF-events in Ω . A mapping $\mathbf{m} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called an IF-state, if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\mathbf{m}((1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)) = 1, \mathbf{m}((0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)) = 0$;
- (ii) If $\mathbf{A} \odot \mathbf{B} = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$ and $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{A}) + \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{B})$;
- (iii) If $\mathbf{A}_n \nearrow \mathbf{A}$ (i.e. $\mu_{A_n} \nearrow \mu_A, \nu_{A_n} \searrow \nu_A$), then $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{A}_n) \nearrow \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{A})$.

Probably the most useful result in the IF-state theory is the following representation theorem ([15]):

Theorem 2.5. To each IF-state $\mathbf{m} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ there exists exactly one probability measure $P : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and exactly one $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{A}) = (1 - \alpha) \int_{\Omega} \mu_A dP + \alpha \left(1 - \int_{\Omega} \nu_A dP \right)$$

for each $\mathbf{A} = (\mu_A, \nu_A) \in \mathcal{F}$.

The third basic notion in the probability theory is the notion of an observable. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the family of all intervals in R of the form

$$[a, b) = \{x \in R : a \leq x < b\}.$$

Then the σ -algebra $\sigma(\mathcal{J})$ is denoted $\mathcal{B}(R)$ and it is called the σ -algebra of Borel sets, its elements are called Borel sets.

Definition 2.6. By an IF-observable on $\mathcal{F}$ we understand each mapping $x : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $x(R) = (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega), x(\emptyset) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$;
- (ii) If $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then $x(A) \odot x(B) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$ and $x(A \cup B) = x(A) \oplus x(B)$;
- (iii) If $A_n \nearrow A$, then $x(A_n) \nearrow x(A)$.

If we denote $x(A) = (x^b(A), 1_\Omega - x^\sharp(A))$ for each $A \in \mathcal{B}(R)$, then $x^b, x^\sharp : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ are observables, where $\mathcal{T} = \{f : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]; f \text{ is } \mathcal{S} - \text{measurable}\}$.

Remark 2.7. Sometimes we need to work with n -dimensional IF-observable $x : \mathcal{B}(R^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ defined as a mapping with the following conditions:

- (i) $x(R^n) = (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega), x(\emptyset) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$;
- (ii) if $A \cap B = \emptyset, A, B \in \mathcal{B}(R^n)$, then $x(A) \odot x(B) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$ and $x(A \cup B) = x(A) \oplus x(B)$;
- (iii) if $A_n \nearrow A$, then $x(A_n) \nearrow x(A)$ for each $A, A_n \in \mathcal{B}(R^n)$.

If $n = 1$ we simply say that x is an IF-observable.

If $x : \mathcal{B}(R) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is an IF-observable, and $\mathbf{m} : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ is an IF-state, then the IF-distribution function of x is the function $\mathbf{F} : R \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by the formula

$$\mathbf{F}(t) = \mathbf{m}(x((-\infty, t)))$$

for each $t \in R$.

Similarly as in the classical case the following two theorems can be proved ([18]).

Theorem 2.8. *Let $\mathbf{F} : R \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ be the IF-distribution function of an IF-observable $x : \mathcal{B}(R) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}$. Then $\mathbf{F}$ is non-decreasing on R , left continuous in each point $t \in R$ and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} \mathbf{F}(t) = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{F}(t) = 1.$$

Theorem 2.9. *Let $x : \mathcal{B}(R) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be an IF-observable, $\mathbf{m} : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ be an IF-state. Define the mapping $\mathbf{m}_x : \mathcal{B}(R) \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ by the formula*

$$\mathbf{m}_x(C) = \mathbf{m}(x(C)).$$

Then $\mathbf{m}_x : \mathcal{B}(R) \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ is a probability measure.

Theorem 2.8 enables us to define IF-expectation and IF-dispersion of an IF-observable (see [4]).

Definition 2.10. *Let $\mathbf{F} : R \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ be the IF-distribution function of an IF-observable $x : \mathcal{B}(R) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}$. If there exists $\int_R t \, d\mathbf{F}(t)$, then we define the IF-expectation of x by the formula*

$$\mathbf{E}(x) = \int_R t \, d\mathbf{F}(t).$$

Moreover if there exists $\int_R t^2 \, d\mathbf{F}(t)$, then we define the IF-dispersion $\mathbf{D}^2(x)$ by the formula

$$\mathbf{D}^2(x) = \int_R t^2 \, d\mathbf{F}(t) - (\mathbf{E}(x))^2 = \int_R (t - \mathbf{E}(x))^2 \, d\mathbf{F}(t).$$

3 Product operation, joint IF-observable and independence

In [10] we introduced the notion of product operation on the family of IF-events $\mathcal{F}$ and showed an example of this operation.

Definition 3.1. *We say that a binary operation $\cdot$ on $\mathcal{F}$ is product if it satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) $(1_\Omega, 0_\Omega) \cdot (a_1, a_2) = (a_1, a_2)$ for each $(a_1, a_2) \in \mathcal{F}$;
- (ii) *The operation $\cdot$ is commutative and associative;*

(iii) If $(a_1, a_2) \odot (b_1, b_2) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$ and $(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2) \in \mathcal{F}$, then

$$(c_1, c_2) \cdot ((a_1, a_2) \oplus (b_1, b_2)) = ((c_1, c_2) \cdot (a_1, a_2)) \oplus ((c_1, c_2) \cdot (b_1, b_2))$$

and

$$((c_1, c_2) \cdot (a_1, a_2)) \odot ((c_1, c_2) \cdot (b_1, b_2)) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$$

for each $(c_1, c_2) \in \mathcal{F}$;

(iv) If $(a_{1n}, a_{2n}) \searrow (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$, $(b_{1n}, b_{2n}) \searrow (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$ and $(a_{1n}, a_{2n}), (b_{1n}, b_{2n}) \in \mathcal{F}$, then $(a_{1n}, a_{2n}) \cdot (b_{1n}, b_{2n}) \searrow (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$.

The following theorem provides an example of product operation for IF-events.

Theorem 3.2 ([10, Theorem 1]). *The operation $\cdot$ defined by*

$$(x_1, y_1) \cdot (x_2, y_2) = (x_1 \cdot x_2, y_1 + y_2 - y_1 \cdot y_2)$$

for each $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in \mathcal{F}$ is product operation on $\mathcal{F}$.

In [16] B. Riečan defined the notion of a joint IF-observable and proved its existence.

Definition 3.3. *Let $x, y : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be two IF-observables. The joint IF-observable of the IF-observables x, y is a mapping $h : \mathcal{B}(R^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ satisfying the following conditions:*

(i) $h(R^2) = (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)$, $h(\emptyset) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$;

(ii) if $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(R^2)$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then

$$h(A \cup B) = h(A) \oplus h(B) \text{ and } h(A) \odot h(B) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega);$$

(iii) if $A, A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{B}(R^2)$ and $A_n \nearrow A$, then $h(A_n) \nearrow h(A)$;

(iv) $h(C \times D) = x(C) \cdot y(D)$ for each $C, D \in \mathcal{B}(R)$.

Theorem 3.4 ([16, Theorem 3.3]). *For each two IF-observables $x, y : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ there exists their joint IF-observable.*

Remark 3.5. *The joint IF-observable of IF-observables x, y from Definition 3.3 is a two-dimensional IF-observable.*

Definition 3.6. *Let $\mathbf{m}$ be an IF-state. The IF-observables $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ are independent if for the n -dimensional IF-observable $h_n : \mathcal{B}(R^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ there holds*

$$\mathbf{m}(h_n(A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n)) = \mathbf{m}(x_1(A_1)) \cdot \mathbf{m}(x_2(A_2)) \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{m}(x_n(A_n))$$

for each $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{B}(R)$.

4 Kolmogorov construction and function of several IF-observables

In this section we show a notation and a construction of Kolmogorov probability space, see [7]. Consider the space R^N and the family of cylinders with finitely dimensional basis B . Such a cylinder has the form

$$A = \{(t_n)_1^\infty \in R^N : (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in B\}.$$

If we introduce the notion of a projection (n -th coordinate random vector) $\pi_J : R^N \rightarrow R^n$,

$$\pi_J((t_n)_1^\infty) = (t_1, \dots, t_n),$$

then the cylinder A can be expressed in the form

$$A = \pi_J^{-1}(B).$$

The volume of the cylinder A is product of the content $P_J(B)$ of its basis B and its height 1, hence

$$P(A) = P_J(B).$$

Proposition 4.1 ([7, Proposition 4.7]). *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the family of all cylinders in R^N , i.e.*

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\pi_J^{-1}(A) \mid \emptyset \neq J \subset N, J \text{ finite}, A \in \mathcal{B}(R^{|J|})\}.$$

Then there exists exactly one probability measure $P : \sigma(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

$$P(\pi_J^{-1}(A)) = P_J(A)$$

for each cylinders $\pi_J^{-1}(A)$. Particularly

$$\begin{aligned} P(\{(t_n)_1^\infty : t_i \in A_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}) &= \mathbf{m}(h_n(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n)) \\ &= \mathbf{m}(x_1(A_1) \cdot \dots \cdot x_n(A_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.1. *From Proposition 4.1 we have that*

$$P \circ \pi_J^{-1} = \mathbf{m} \circ h_n,$$

where h_n is a joint IF-observable of IF-observables $x_1, \dots, x_n$.

Proposition 4.2 ([7, Proposition 4.8]). *Define the coordinate function $\xi_n : R^N \rightarrow R$ by the formula*

$$\xi_n((t_i)_1^\infty) = t_n.$$

Then ξ_n is a random variable with respect to $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ such that

$$P_{\xi_n} = \mathbf{m} \circ x_n = \mathbf{m}_{x_n}.$$

Remark 4.2. *By the preceding procedure to each sequence $(x_n)_n$ we can construct a sequence $(\xi_n)_n$ of a random variables.*

The following theorem will be used in the proofs in Section 5.

Theorem 4.3 ([4, Theorem 5]). *Let $(x_n)_n$ be a sequence of independent IF-observables in $(\mathcal{F}, \mathbf{m})$ with the same IF-distribution function. Define for each $n \in N$ the mapping $\xi_n : R^N \rightarrow R$ by the formula*

$$\xi_n((t_i)_i) = t_n.$$

Then $(\xi_n)_n$ is a sequence of independent random variables in a space $(R^N, \sigma(\mathcal{C}), P)$. If there exists $\mathbf{E}(x_n)$ then $E(\xi_n) = \mathbf{E}(x_n)$. If there exists $\mathbf{D}^2(x_n)$ then $D^2(\xi_n) = \mathbf{D}^2(x_n)$.

If we have several IF-observables and a Borel measurable function, we can define the IF-observable, which is a function of several IF-observables. In this regard, we provide the following definition, see [6].

Definition 4.4. *Let $x_1, \dots, x_n : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be IF-observables, h_n their joint IF-observable and $g_n : R^n \rightarrow R$ a Borel measurable function. Then we define the IF-observable $g_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ by the formula*

$$g_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)(A) = h_n(g_n^{-1}(A)).$$

for each $A \in \mathcal{B}(R)$.

Example 4.5. *Let $x_1, \dots, x_n : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be independent IF-observables and $h_n : \mathcal{B}(R^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be their joint IF-observable. Then*

1. *the IF-observable $y_n = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - a \right)$ is defined by the equality*

$$y_n = h_n \circ g_n^{-1},$$

where $g_n(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n u_i - a \right)$;

2. *the IF-observable $y_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ is defined by the equality*

$$y_n = h_n \circ g_n^{-1},$$

where $g_n(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n u_i$;

3. *the IF-observable $y_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mathbf{E}(x_i))$ is defined by the equality*

$$y_n = h_n \circ g_n^{-1},$$

where $g_n(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (u_i - \mathbf{E}(x_i))$;

4. *the IF-observable $y_n = \frac{1}{a_n} (\max(x_1, \dots, x_n) - b_n)$ is defined by the equality*

$$y_n = h_n \circ g_n^{-1},$$

where $g_n(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \frac{1}{a_n} (\max(u_1, \dots, u_n) - b_n)$.

5 Convergence in measure

In paper [8] we studied a convergence in distribution and an almost everywhere convergence with connection with IF-state $\mathbf{m}$ and we proved Central limit theorem and Strong law of large numbers. In this section we formulate the convergence in measure for IF-observables. First we start with definition in classical probability space.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, P)$ be a probability space, $(\eta_n)_n$ be a sequence of random variables in this space. The sequence $(\eta_n)_n$ converges to 0 in measure P if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\eta_n^{-1}((-\varepsilon, \varepsilon))) = 1$$

for each real number $\varepsilon > 0$.

Similarly we can define the convergence in measure of a sequence $(y_n)_n$ of IF-observables on an IF-space $(\mathcal{F}, \mathbf{m})$.

Definition 5.1. Let $(y_n)_n$ be a sequence of IF-observables in the IF-space $(\mathcal{F}, \mathbf{m})$. We say that $(y_n)_n$ converges in measure $\mathbf{m}$ to 0, if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\varepsilon \in R$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{m}(y_n((-\varepsilon, \varepsilon))) = 1.$$

In this form we shall now to present a generalization of Weak law of large numbers.

Theorem 5.2. (Weak law of large numbers) Let $(\mathcal{F}, \mathbf{m})$ be an IF-space, $(x_n)_n$ be a sequence of independent IF-observables with the same distribution and such that $\mathbf{E}(x_n) = a$, $(n = 1, 2, \dots)$ and $y_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - a$. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\varepsilon \in R$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{m}(y_n((-\varepsilon, \varepsilon))) = 1,$$

i.e. the sequence $(y_n)_n$ converges in measure to 0.

Proof. For each $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ let the Borel function $g_n : R^n \rightarrow R$ be given by

$$g_n(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n u_i - a.$$

Let further the IF-observable $y_n : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be given by stipulation

$$y_n = h_n \circ g_n^{-1} = g_n(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

Consider the measure space $(R^N, \sigma(\mathcal{C}), P)$ and random variables

$$\xi_n((t_i)_i) = t_n, (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then by Theorem 4.3 the random variables ξ_n are independent. Moreover $E(\xi_n) = \mathbf{E}(x_n) = a$. Therefore by the classical Weak law of large numbers we have for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\varepsilon \in R$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left\{(u_i)_1^\infty; \left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j((u_i)_1^\infty) - a\right| < \varepsilon\right\}\right) = 1.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{m}(y_n((- \varepsilon, \varepsilon))) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{m}(h_n(g_n^{-1}((- \varepsilon, \varepsilon)))) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\pi_n(g_n^{-1}((- \varepsilon, \varepsilon)))) \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left\{(u_i)_1^\infty; g_n\left(\xi_1((u_i)_1^\infty), \dots, \xi_n((u_i)_1^\infty)\right) \in (- \varepsilon, \varepsilon)\right\}\right) \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left\{(u_i)_1^\infty; \left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j((u_i)_1^\infty) - a\right| < \varepsilon\right\}\right) = 1. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

6 Conclusion

In this paper we introduced the notion of convergence in measure $\mathbf{m}$ for intuitionistic fuzzy observables and we formulated the weak law of large numbers in this case. Since the intuitionistic fuzzy probability $\mathcal{P}$ can be decomposed to two intuitionistic fuzzy states $\mathbf{m}$ (see [14, 17]), then we can use the results from Section 5 to define a convergence in measure $\mathcal{P}$ and prove the version of weak law of large numbers in connection with IF-probability.

Acknowledgements

This publication was supported by Mobility project BAS-SAS-21-01. This publication was supported by the Operational Programme Integrated Infrastructure (OPII) for the project 313011BWH2: InoCHF – Research and development in the field of innovative technologies in the management of patients with CHF, co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund.

References

- [1] Atanassov, K. T. (1983). Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *VII ITKR Session*, Sofia, 20-23 June 1983 (Deposited in Centr. Sci.-Techn. Library of the Bulg. Acad. of Sci., 1697/84) (in Bulgarian). Reprinted: *Int. J. Bioautomation*, 2016, 20(S1), S1–S6.
- [2] Atanassov, K. T. (1999). *Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets: Theory and Applications*, Physica Verlag, New York.
- [3] Atanassov, K. T. (2012). *On Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*, Springer, Berlin.
- [4] Bartková, R., & Čunderlíková, K. (2018). About Fisher–Tippett–Gnedenko Theorem for Intuitionistic Fuzzy Events. In: Kacprzyk, J., et al. (eds) *Advances in Fuzzy Logic and Technology 2017. IWIFSGN 2017, EUSFLAT 2017. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, Vol. 641, Springer, Cham, 125–135.
- [5] Čunderlíková, K. (2018). Upper and lower limits and $\mathbf{m}$ -almost everywhere convergence of intuitionistic fuzzy observables. *Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*, 24(4), 40–49.

- [6] Čunderlíková, K. (2019). $\mathbf{m}$ -almost everywhere convergence of intuitionistic fuzzy observables induced by Borel measurable function. *Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*, 25(2), 29–40.
- [7] Čunderlíková, K. (2020). The individual ergodic theorem for intuitionistic fuzzy events using intuitionistic fuzzy state. *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, 17(5), 13–22.
- [8] Čunderlíková, K. & Riečan, B. (2021) Convergence of intuitionistic fuzzy observables. *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing. Uncertainty and Imprecision in Decision Making and Decision Support: New Challenges, Solutions and Perspectives*, IWIFSGN 2018, Springer, Cham, Vol. 1081, 29–39.
- [9] Lendelová, K. (2005). Convergence of IF-observables. *Issues in the Representation and Processing of Uncertain and Imprecise Information - Fuzzy Sets, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Generalized nets, and Related Topics*, EXIT, 232–240.
- [10] Lendelová, K. (2006). Conditional IF-probability. *Advances in Soft Computing: Soft Methods for Integrated Uncertainty Modelling*, Advances in Soft Computing, vol 37. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. 275–283.
- [11] Lendelová, K., & Riečan B. (2004). Weak law of large numbers for IF-events. In: De Baets, B. et al. (eds). *Current Issues in Data and Knowledge Engineering*, EXIT, Warszawa, 309–314.
- [12] Nowak, P., & Hryniewicz, O. (2021). M-Probabilistic Versions of the Strong Law of Large Numbers. *Advances and New Developments in Fuzzy Logic and Technology. IWIFSGN 2019. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, Springer, Cham, Vol. 1308, 46–53.
- [13] Petrovičová, J., & Riečan, B. (2005). On the Central limit theorem on IFS-events. *Mathware and Soft Computing*, XII(7), 5–14.
- [14] Riečan, B. (2005). On the probability on IF-sets and MV-algebras. *Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*, 11(6), 21–25.
- [15] Riečan, B. (2006). On a problem of Radko Mesiar: General form of IF-probabilities. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 152, 1485–1490.
- [16] Riečan, B. (2006). On the probability and random variables on IF events. In: Ruan, D. et al. (eds) *Applied Artificial Intelligence, Proc. 7th FLINS Conf.*, Genova, 138–145.
- [17] Riečan, B. (2007). Probability theory on intuitionistic fuzzy events. In: Aguzzoli, D. et al. (eds) *A volume in honour of Daniele Mundici's 60th birthday. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Springer, 290–308.
- [18] Riečan, B. (2012). Analysis of fuzzy logic models. In: Koleshko, V. (ed) *Intelligent Systems*, INTECH, 219–244.