

Intercriteria analysis of the intuitionistic fuzzy implication properties

Nora Angelova¹, Krassimir Atanassov^{1,2} and Beloslav Riečan^{3,4}

¹ Department of Bioinformatics and Mathematical Modelling,
Institute of Biophysics and Biomedical Engineering,
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Acad. G. Bonchev Str., Bl. 105, Sofia-1113, Bulgaria,
e-mail: nora.angelova@biomed.bas.bg

² Intelligent Systems Laboratory
Prof. Asen Zlatarov University, Bourgas-8000, Bulgaria
e-mail: krat@bas.bg

³ Faculty of Natural Sciences, Matej Bel University
Department of Mathematics
Tajovského 40, 974 01 Banská Bystrica, Slovakia

⁴ Mathematical Institute of Slovak Acad. of Sciences
Štefánikova 49, SK–81473 Bratislava
e-mail: riecan@fpv.umb.sk

Abstract: The apparatus of the intercriteria analysis is used for studying of some intuitionistic fuzzy implication properties. For this aim it is checked which axioms of the intuitionistic logic are satisfied by the separate implications.

Keywords: Implication, Intuitionistic fuzzy logic, Intuitionistic logic.

AMS Classification: 03E72.

1 Introduction

The Intercriteria Analysis (ICrA, see [2, 4, 5]) is a new tool for searching of relations between given criteria about some objects. Here, we use the notation from [2]. In a series of papers,

different intuitionistic fuzzy implications are defined. All they are collected in [3]. Here, we check which axioms of the intuitionistic logic are satisfied by the separate implications. We use the following 17 axioms of the intuitionistic logic (see, e.g. [7]). If A, B and C are arbitrary propositional forms, then:

- (IL1) $A \rightarrow A$,
- (IL2) $A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A)$,
- (IL3) $A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow (A \& B))$,
- (IL4) $(A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow (B \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))$,
- (IL5) $(A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))$,
- (IL6) $A \rightarrow \neg\neg A$,
- (IL7) $\neg(A \& \neg A)$,
- (IL8) $(\neg A \vee B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$,
- (IL9) $\neg(A \vee B) \rightarrow (\neg A \& \neg B)$,
- (IL10) $(\neg A \& \neg B) \rightarrow \neg(A \vee B)$,
- (IL11) $(\neg A \vee \neg B) \rightarrow \neg(A \& B)$,
- (IL12) $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (\neg B \rightarrow \neg A)$,
- (IL13) $(A \rightarrow \neg B) \rightarrow (B \rightarrow \neg A)$,
- (IL14) $\neg\neg\neg A \rightarrow \neg A$,
- (IL15) $\neg A \rightarrow \neg\neg\neg A$,
- (IL16) $\neg\neg(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \neg\neg B)$,
- (IL17) $(C \rightarrow A) \rightarrow ((C \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)) \rightarrow (C \rightarrow B))$.

Now, for our purposes, we construct the following index matrix (IM, see [2]):

	<i>IL1</i>	...	<i>IL17</i>	
\rightarrow_1	$\sigma_{1,1}$...	$\sigma_{1,17}$,
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	
\rightarrow_{185}	$\sigma_{185,1}$...	$\sigma_{185,17}$	

where for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 185\}$ and for every $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 17\}$, $\sigma_{i,j}$ is equal to “+”, if the i -th implication satisfies j -th axiom, or “-”, in otherwise. Now, using the ICrA, we can construct the IM

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc}
& \rightarrow_1 & \dots & \rightarrow_{185} \\
\hline
\rightarrow_1 & \langle \mu_{1,1}, \nu_{1,1} \rangle & \dots & \langle \mu_{1,185}, \nu_{1,185} \rangle \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\rightarrow_{185} & \langle \mu_{185,1}, \nu_{185,1} \rangle & \dots & \langle \mu_{185,185}, \nu_{185,185} \rangle
\end{array},$$

where for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, 185\}$, $\mu_{i,k} = \frac{M(i,k)}{185}$, $\nu_{i,k} = \frac{N(i,k)}{185}$, $M(i, k)$ is the number of the cases when $\sigma_{i,j} = \sigma_{k,j} = “+”$, $N(i, k)$ is the number of the cases when $\sigma_{i,j} = \sigma_{k,j} = “-”$. Therefore, $\mu_{i,k}, \nu_{i,k} \in [0, 1]$ and $\mu_{i,k} + \nu_{i,k} \leq 1$, i.e., $\langle \mu_{i,k}, \nu_{i,k} \rangle$ is an Intuitionistic Fuzzy Pair (IFP, see [6]).

In a lot of papers and books (see, e.g., [1]) the IFP $\langle \mu_{i,k}, \nu_{i,k} \rangle$ is called an Intuitionistic Fuzzy Tautology (IFT) if and only if $\mu_{i,k} \geq \nu_{i,k}$, and it is called a tautology, if $\mu_{i,k} = 1$ and $\nu_{i,k} = 0$.

If we like to study the IFPs that are tautologies, we obtain that these pairs are generated with participation of the implications $\rightarrow_3, \rightarrow_{11}, \rightarrow_{14}, \rightarrow_{20}, \rightarrow_{23}, \rightarrow_{74}, \rightarrow_{77}, \rightarrow_{153}$ only. These pairs are the following:

3	11	3	74	11	20	11	153	14	77	20	77	23	153
3	14	3	77	11	23	14	20	14	153	20	153	74	77
3	20	3	153	11	74	14	23	20	23	23	74	74	153
3	23	11	14	11	77	14	74	20	74	23	77	77	153

Moreover, the implications that generate IFPs that are IFTs, are: $\rightarrow_1, \rightarrow_3, \rightarrow_4, \rightarrow_5, \rightarrow_9, \rightarrow_{11}, \rightarrow_{13}, \rightarrow_{14}, \rightarrow_{17}, \rightarrow_{18}, \rightarrow_{20}, \rightarrow_{21}, \rightarrow_{22}, \rightarrow_{23}, \rightarrow_{27}, \rightarrow_{28}, \rightarrow_{29}, \rightarrow_{61}, \rightarrow_{66}, \rightarrow_{71}, \rightarrow_{74}, \rightarrow_{75}, \rightarrow_{76}, \rightarrow_{77}, \rightarrow_{79}, \rightarrow_{81}, \rightarrow_{100}, \rightarrow_{101}, \rightarrow_{102}, \rightarrow_{109}, \rightarrow_{110}, \rightarrow_{111}, \rightarrow_{112}, \rightarrow_{113}, \rightarrow_{118}, \rightarrow_{124}, \rightarrow_{125}, \rightarrow_{126}, \rightarrow_{127}, \rightarrow_{128}, \rightarrow_{151}, \rightarrow_{153}, \rightarrow_{158}, \rightarrow_{159}, \rightarrow_{160}, \rightarrow_{166}, \rightarrow_{167}, \rightarrow_{169}, \rightarrow_{170}, \rightarrow_{182}, \rightarrow_{185}$.

2 Conclusion

In the paper, we have shown all pairs of intuitionistic fuzzy implications that have similar behaviour about axioms of the intuitionistic logic. In a next research, we will show their behaviour about other axiomatic systems.

Acknowledgement

The first two authors are grateful for the support provided by the project DFNI-I-02-5 “InterCriteria Analysis A New Approach to Decision Making” funded by the Bulgarian National Science Fund.

References

- [1] Atanassov, K. (1999) *Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*, Springer, Heidelberg.
- [2] Atanassov, K. (2014) *Index Matrices: Towards an Augmented Matrix Calculus*, Springer, Cham.
- [3] Atanassov, K. (2015) On intuitionistic fuzzy implications. *Issues in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets and Generalized Nets*, 12, (in press).
- [4] Atanassov, K., V. Atanassova & G. Gluhchev (2015) InterCriteria Analysis: Ideas and problems. *Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*, 21(1), 81–88.
- [5] Atanassov, K., D. Mavrov & V. Atanassova (2014) Intercriteria Decision Making: A New Approach for Multicriteria Decision Making, Based on Index Matrices and Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets. *Issues in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets and Generalized Nets*, 11, 1–8.
- [6] Atanassov, K., E. Szmidt & J. Kacprzyk (2013) On intuitionistic fuzzy pairs. *Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*, 19(3), 1–13.
- [7] Rasiowa, H. & R. Sikorski. (1963) *The Mathematics of Metamathematics*, Pol. Acad. of Sci., Warszawa.