

On the intuitionistic fuzzy form of the classical implication $(A \rightarrow B) \vee (B \rightarrow A)$

Lilija Atanassova

Institute of Information and Communication Technologies, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
“Acad. G. Bonchev” Str., Bl. 2, Sofia–1113, Bulgaria
e-mail: l.c.atanassova@gmail.com

Abstract: The intuitionistic fuzzy implications that satisfy the well-known logical tautology $(A \rightarrow B) \vee (B \rightarrow A)$ are described. Some of the intuitionistic fuzzy implications satisfy the expression as intuitionistic fuzzy tautology and a part of them – as a tautology in intuitionistic fuzzy sense.

Keywords: Intuitionistic fuzzy implication, Intuitionistic fuzzy tautology, Tautology.

AMS Classification: 03E72.

1 Introduction

One of the well-known logical tautologies is

$$(A \rightarrow B) \vee (B \rightarrow A). \quad (*)$$

Here, we discuss its validity for the different cases of intuitionistic fuzzy implications. In [1], 138 of them were given, but after publishing of this book, their number had increased and in [2], 152 implications over intuitionistic fuzzy pairs were given. Three of them were introduced by P. Dworniczak in [3, 4, 5].

Below, we determine which of these 152 intuitionistic fuzzy implications satisfy (*) as a tautologies, which – as intuitionistic fuzzy tautologies and which – do not satisfy (*).

First, we mention that the definition and use of the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy pair is very suitable, because in all cases, as the present one, it can be used as a makeshift of both the elements of an intuitionistic fuzzy sets, and the intuitionistic fuzzy propositions. In [2] it is defined as follows: “*The Intuitionistic Fuzzy Pair (IFP) is an object with the form $\langle a, b \rangle$, where $a, b \in [0, 1]$ and $a + b \leq 1$, that is used as an evaluation of some object or process and which components (a and b) are interpreted as degrees of membership and non-membership, or degrees of validity and non-validity, or degree of correctness and non-correctness, etc.*”

2 Preliminaries

Below, we shall assume that for the two Intuitionistic Fuzzy Pairs (IFPs) A and B the equalities: $V(A) = \langle a, b \rangle, V(B) = \langle c, d \rangle, (a, b, c, d, a + b, c + d \in [0, 1])$ hold.

For the needs of the discussion below, following the definition from [1], we shall define the notion of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Tautology (IFT) by:

$$A \text{ is an IFT, if and only if for } V(a) = \langle a, b \rangle \text{ holds: } a \geq b,$$

while A will be a tautology iff $a = 1$ and $b = 0$. As in the case of ordinary logics, A is a tautology, if $V(x) = \langle 1, 0 \rangle$.

For two IFPs A and B , the operation “disjunction” (\vee) is defined (see [2]) by:

$$V(A \vee B) = \langle \max(a, c), \min(b, d) \rangle.$$

For two IFPs A and B , the relation “equality” is defined (see [2]) by:

$$V(A) = V(B) \text{ if and only if } a = c \text{ and } b = d.$$

As we mentioned above, the list with all 152 implications over IFPs is given in [2]. Here we introduce only three of them that we will use below as illustration:

$$A \rightarrow_1 B = \langle \max(b, \min(a, c)), \min(a, d) \rangle,$$

$$A \rightarrow_2 B = \langle \overline{\text{sg}}(a - c), d.\text{sg}(a - c) \rangle$$

and

$$A \rightarrow_{12} B = \langle \max(b, c), 1 - \max(b, c) \rangle.$$

3 Main results

Here we formulate two theorems. For each of them, two checks (for the first implications in each list) are given: one positive – a case when the respective implication satisfies (*) and one negative – when the implication does not satisfy (*). All other checks are similar.

Theorem 1. Two IFPs A and B satisfy (*) as IFTs for the intuitionistic fuzzy implications $\rightarrow_1, \rightarrow_2, \rightarrow_3, \rightarrow_4, \rightarrow_5, \rightarrow_6, \rightarrow_8, \rightarrow_9, \rightarrow_{11}, \rightarrow_{13}, \rightarrow_{14}, \rightarrow_{17}, \rightarrow_{18}, \rightarrow_{20}, \rightarrow_{21}, \rightarrow_{22}, \rightarrow_{23}, \rightarrow_{24}, \rightarrow_{27}, \rightarrow_{28}, \rightarrow_{29}, \rightarrow_{30}, \rightarrow_{31}, \rightarrow_{32}, \rightarrow_{33}, \rightarrow_{34}, \rightarrow_{35}, \rightarrow_{36}, \rightarrow_{37}, \rightarrow_{38}, \rightarrow_{40}, \rightarrow_{42}, \rightarrow_{44}, \rightarrow_{45}, \rightarrow_{61}, \rightarrow_{62}, \rightarrow_{63}, \rightarrow_{64}, \rightarrow_{65}, \rightarrow_{66}, \rightarrow_{68}, \rightarrow_{69}, \rightarrow_{71}, \rightarrow_{72}, \rightarrow_{74}, \rightarrow_{75}, \rightarrow_{76}, \rightarrow_{77}, \rightarrow_{79}, \rightarrow_{80}, \rightarrow_{81}, \rightarrow_{82}, \rightarrow_{83}, \rightarrow_{84}, \rightarrow_{85}, \rightarrow_{88}, \rightarrow_{89}, \rightarrow_{90}, \rightarrow_{100}, \rightarrow_{101}, \rightarrow_{102}, \rightarrow_{103}, \rightarrow_{104}, \rightarrow_{105}, \rightarrow_{109}, \rightarrow_{110}, \rightarrow_{111}, \rightarrow_{112}, \rightarrow_{113}, \rightarrow_{114}, \rightarrow_{115}, \rightarrow_{116}, \rightarrow_{117}, \rightarrow_{118}, \rightarrow_{124}, \rightarrow_{125}, \rightarrow_{126}, \rightarrow_{127}, \rightarrow_{128}, \rightarrow_{129}, \rightarrow_{130}, \rightarrow_{131}, \rightarrow_{132}, \rightarrow_{133}, \rightarrow_{139}, \rightarrow_{140}, \rightarrow_{141}, \rightarrow_{142}, \rightarrow_{143}, \rightarrow_{144}, \rightarrow_{145}, \rightarrow_{146}, \rightarrow_{147}, \rightarrow_{148}, \rightarrow_{149}, \rightarrow_{150}, \rightarrow_{151}, \rightarrow_{152}, \rightarrow_{153}$.

Proof. Let the IFPs A and B be given. Then for \rightarrow_1 we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (A \rightarrow_1 B) \vee (B \rightarrow_1 A) \\
&= (\langle a, b \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle c, d \rangle) \vee (\langle c, d \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle a, b \rangle) \\
&= \langle \max(b, \min(a, c)), \min(a, d) \rangle \vee \langle \max(d, \min(c, a)), \min(c, b) \rangle \\
&= \langle \max(b, d, \min(a, c)), \min(a, b, c, d) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$X \equiv \max(b, d, \min(a, c)) - \min(a, b, c, d).$$

Then

$$X \geq \min(a, c) - \min(a, b, c, d) \geq 0.$$

Therefore, $(A \rightarrow_1 B) \vee (B \rightarrow_1 A)$ is an IFT.

Analogously, for \rightarrow_{12} we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (A \rightarrow_{12} B) \vee (B \rightarrow_{12} A) \\
& \langle \max(b, c), 1 - \max(b, c) \rangle \vee \langle \max(d, a), 1 - \max(d, a) \rangle \\
& \langle \max(a, b, c, d), \min(1 - \max(b, c), 1 - \max(d, a)) \rangle \\
& \langle \max(a, b, c, d), 1 - \max(\max(b, c), \max(d, a)) \rangle \\
& \langle \max(a, b, c, d), 1 - \max(a, b, c, d) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, if $a = b = c = d = 0$,

$$\max(a, b, c, d) = 0 < 1 = 1 - \max(a, b, c, d),$$

i.e., $(A \rightarrow_{12} B) \vee (B \rightarrow_{12} A)$ is not an IFT. □

Theorem 2. Two IFPs A and B satisfy (*) as tautologies for the intuitionistic fuzzy implications $\rightarrow_2, \rightarrow_3, \rightarrow_8, \rightarrow_{11}, \rightarrow_{20}, \rightarrow_{23}, \rightarrow_{31}, \rightarrow_{32}, \rightarrow_{34}, \rightarrow_{37}, \rightarrow_{40}, \rightarrow_{42}, \rightarrow_{62}, \rightarrow_{63}, \rightarrow_{65}, \rightarrow_{68}, \rightarrow_{74}, \rightarrow_{77}, \rightarrow_{83}, \rightarrow_{88}$.

Proof. Let the IFPs A and B be given. Then for \rightarrow_1 we obtain (as above):

$$(A \rightarrow_1 B) \vee (B \rightarrow_1 A) = \langle \max(b, d, \min(a, c)), \min(a, b, c, d) \rangle.$$

Obviously, if $a = b = c = d = 0$,

$$(A \rightarrow_1 B) \vee (B \rightarrow_1 A) = \langle 0, 0 \rangle \neq \langle 1, 0 \rangle.$$

Analogously, for \rightarrow_2 we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (A \rightarrow_2 B) \vee (B \rightarrow_2 A) \\
& \langle \overline{\text{sg}}(a - c), d.\text{sg}(a - c) \rangle \vee \langle \overline{\text{sg}}(c - a), b.\text{sg}(c - a) \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\langle \max(\overline{\text{sg}}(a - c), \overline{\text{sg}}(c - a)), \min(d.\text{sg}(a - c), b.\text{sg}(c - a)) \rangle.$$

If $a > c$, then $\overline{\text{sg}}(a - c) = 0$, $\overline{\text{sg}}(c - a) = 1$, $\text{sg}(a - c) = 1$, $\text{sg}(c - a) = 0$ and hence

$$(A \rightarrow_2 B) \vee (B \rightarrow_2 A) = \langle 1, 0 \rangle.$$

If $a = c$, then $\overline{\text{sg}}(a - c) = 1$, $\overline{\text{sg}}(c - a) = 1$, $\text{sg}(a - c) = 0$, $\text{sg}(c - a) = 0$ and hence

$$(A \rightarrow_2 B) \vee (B \rightarrow_2 A) = \langle 1, 0 \rangle.$$

Finally, if $a < c$, then $\overline{\text{sg}}(a - c) = 1$, $\overline{\text{sg}}(c - a) = 0$, $\text{sg}(a - c) = 0$, $\text{sg}(c - a) = 1$ and hence

$$(A \rightarrow_2 B) \vee (B \rightarrow_2 A) = \langle 1, 0 \rangle.$$

Therefore, $(A \rightarrow_1 B) \vee (B \rightarrow_1 A)$ is a tautology. □

References

- [1] Atanassov, K. *On Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets Theory*, Springer, Berlin, 2012.
- [2] Atanassov, K., E. Szmidt, J. Kacprzyk, On intuitionistic fuzzy pairs, *Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*, Vol. 19, 2013, No. 3, 1–12.
- [3] Dworniczak, P. Some remarks about the L. Atanassova's paper "A new intuitionistic fuzzy implication", *Cybernetics and Information Technologies*, Vol. 10, 2010, No. 3, 3–9.
- [4] Dworniczak, P. On one class of intuitionistic fuzzy implications, *Cybernetics and Information Technologies*, Vol. 10, 2010, No. 4, 13–21.
- [5] Dworniczak, P. On some two-parametric intuitionistic fuzzy implications, *Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*, Vol. 17, 2011, No. 2, 8–16.