10<sup>th</sup> Int. Workshop on IFSs, Banská Bystrica, 29 Sept. 2014 Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets ISSN 1310–4926 Vol. 20, 2014, No. 4, 19–31

# On the extension of group-valued measures

#### Alžbeta Michalíková

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Natural Sciences Matej Bel University Tajovského 40, SK - 974 01 Banská Bystrica, Slovakia e-mail: alzbeta.michalikova@umb.sk

**Abstract:** Since any space of IF-sets can be embedded to an MV-algebra ([12]), and any MV-algebra can be presented as an interval in a lattice ordered group ([9]), it is interesting to study measures with respect to 1-groups. In this paper the group-valued outer measures are studied. The main result is the Choquet lemma concerning lower continuity of the induced outer measure. The result is applied to the group-valued measure extension theorem.

Keywords: Measure, G-valued outer measure.

AMS Classification: 03E72.

### **1** Introduction

In [10] the measure extension theorem for group-valued measure was proved. The considered domain was a family of subsets of a given set. The notion of group-valued outer measure defined on a family of functions has been introduced in [7] where it was proved that the measurable elements form a lattice. The theory has been development further in [8]. In this paper we present a complete theory of group-valued outer measures on the families of functions. In *Section 2* the family of measurable elements is investigated, in *Section 3* the notion of induced outer measure is introduced. *Section 4* contains the proof of Choquet lemma. The obtained results are applied in *Section 5* for the formulation and the proof of G-valued extension theorem.

### **2** Outer measure and $\mu^*$ -measurable elements

Let  $(\Omega, S)$  be a measurable space,  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all non-negative measurable functions. Let G be a complete  $\ell$ -group, i.e. a structure  $(G, +, \leq)$  such that (G, +) is an Abelian group,  $(G, \leq)$ 

is a complete lattice (i.e. any upper bounded subset of G has the supremum) and  $a \le b$  implies  $a + c \le b + c$  for any  $c \in G$ . Let 0 be the neutral element of G (i.e. a + 0 = a for any  $a \in G$ ),  $G^+ = \{a \in G; a \ge 0\}$ . Denote by  $\infty$  an ideal element and

$$G^* = G^+ \cup \{\infty\},\$$

where  $a + \infty = \infty + a = \infty + \infty = \infty$  for any  $a \in G$  and  $a \leq \infty, \infty \leq \infty$  for any  $a \in G$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A mapping  $\mu^* : \mathcal{F} \to G^*$  is called an outer measure if it is satisfying the following conditions:

- 1.  $\mu^*(0) = 0$ ,
- 2.  $f \leq g$  implies  $\mu^*(f) \leq \mu^*(g)$ ,
- 3.  $\mu^*(f+g) \le \mu^*(f) + \mu^*(g)$  for each  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\mu^* : \mathcal{F} \to G^*$  be an outer measure. A function  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  is called  $\mu^*$ -measurable element if it holds:

$$\mu^*(h) = \mu^*(h \wedge f) + \mu^*(h - (h \wedge f))$$

for each  $h \in \mathcal{F}$ .

*Remark* 2.3. *In this paper the operations*  $\land$  *and*  $\lor$  *take precedence over the operations* +, -, *thus the notation*  $(h - h \land f)$  *denotes*  $(h - (h \land f))$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** Denote by  $\mathcal{M}$  the set of all  $\mu^*$ -measurable elements of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}$  form a lattice.

Proof.

(*i*) We show that if f, g are the  $\mu^*$ -measurable elements, then  $f \wedge g$  is also the  $\mu^*$ -measurable element. Because  $\mu^*$  is subadditive it is sufficient to show an inequality:

$$\mu^*(h) \ge \mu^*(h \wedge f \wedge g) + \mu^*(h - h \wedge f \wedge g).$$

Let f, g be the  $\mu^*$ -measurable elements. Then for any  $h \in \mathcal{F}$  it holds:

$$\mu^*(h) = \mu^*(h \wedge f) + \mu^*(h - h \wedge f)$$

and  $h \wedge f \in \mathcal{F}$  therefore:

$$\mu^*(h \wedge f) = \mu^*(h \wedge f \wedge g) + \mu^*(h \wedge f - h \wedge f \wedge g).$$

Then:

$$\mu^*(h) = \mu^*(h \wedge f \wedge g) + \mu^*(h \wedge f - h \wedge f \wedge g) + \mu^*(h - h \wedge f) \ge$$
$$\ge \mu^*(h \wedge f \wedge g) + \mu^*(h \wedge f - h \wedge f \wedge g + h - h \wedge f) =$$
$$= \mu^*(h \wedge f \wedge g) + \mu^*(h - h \wedge f \wedge g).$$

It proves that  $f \wedge g$  is the  $\mu^*$ -measurable element.

(*ii*) We show that if f, g are the  $\mu^*$ -measurable elements, then  $f \vee g$  is also the  $\mu^*$ -measurable element. Since  $h - h \wedge f = h \vee f - f$  we have:

$$\mu^*(h - h \wedge f) = \mu^*(h \vee f - f).$$

Therefore if f is  $\mu^*$ -measurable then for any  $h \in \mathcal{F}$ :

$$\mu^*(h) - \mu^*(h \land f) = \mu^*(h \lor f) - \mu^*(f)$$

or

$$\mu^*(h) + \mu^*(f) = \mu^*(h \wedge f) + \mu^*(h \vee f).$$

Let  $f, g, f \wedge g$  be the  $\mu^*$ -measurable elements, then:

$$\mu^*(h \wedge f \wedge g) = \mu^*((h \wedge f) \wedge (h \wedge g)) =$$
$$= \mu^*(h \wedge f) + \mu^*(h \wedge g) - \mu^*(h \wedge (f \vee g))$$

and also:

$$\mu^{*}(h - h \wedge f \wedge g) = \mu^{*}((h - h \wedge f) \vee (h - h \wedge g)) =$$
  
=  $\mu^{*}(h - h \wedge f) + \mu^{*}(h - h \wedge g) - \mu^{*}((h - h \wedge f) \wedge (h - h \wedge g))$   
=  $\mu^{*}(h - h \wedge f) + \mu^{*}(h - h \wedge g) - \mu^{*}(h - h \wedge (f \vee g)).$ 

Therefore:

$$\mu^{*}(h \wedge (f \vee g)) + \mu^{*}(h - h \wedge (f \vee g)) =$$
  
=  $\mu^{*}(h \wedge f) + \mu^{*}(h - h \wedge f) + \mu^{*}(h \wedge g) + \mu^{*}(h - h \wedge g) -$   
 $-\mu^{*}(h \wedge f \wedge g) - \mu^{*}(h - h \wedge f \wedge g) =$   
=  $\mu^{*}(h) + \mu^{*}(h) - \mu^{*}(h) = \mu^{*}(h).$ 

It proves that  $f \lor g$  is the  $\mu^*$ -measurable element and all  $\mu^*$ -measurable elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  form a lattice.

## 3 Induced outer measure

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $H_0$  be a set of non-negative real functions satisfying the following conditions:

- 1. if  $f, g \in H_0$  then  $f \lor g \in H_0$ ,
- 2. *if*  $f, g \in H_0$  *then*  $f \land g \in H_0$ ,
- 3. if  $f, g \in H_0$  then  $f + g \in H_0$ ,
- 4. if  $f, g \in H_0$  then  $f f \land g \in H_0$ ,

Assume that to any  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  there exist the functions  $f_i \in H_0$  (i = 1, 2, ...) such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i \ge f$ .

**Definition 3.2.** A *G*-valued measure on  $H_0$  is a function  $\mu$  such that:

1.  $\mu(0) = 0$ 

2. *if* 
$$f, f_i \in H_0$$
  $(i = 1, 2, ...)$  and  $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i$  then  $\mu(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(f_i)$ .

In the group-valued case we shall need the following structure:

**Definition 3.3.** Dedekind complete  $\ell$ -group G is called to be of countable type, if to any bounded set  $A \subset G$  there exists such a countable subset  $B \subset A$  that

$$\bigwedge A = \bigwedge B.$$

**Definition 3.4.** Let G be a Dedekind complete  $\ell$ -group of countable type. Let  $\mu$  be a G-valued measure defined on  $H_0$ . Then for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  we define:

$$\mu^*(f) = \bigwedge \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(f_i); \ f_i \in H_0, f \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i \right\}.$$

**Theorem 3.5.** *The function*  $\mu^*$  *is an outer measure.* 

Proof.

(1) We prove  $\mu^*(0) = 0$ . Evidently:

$$0 \le \mu^*(0) \le \mu(0) + \mu(0) + \dots = 0,$$

hence  $\mu^*(0) = 0$ .

(2) We prove that  $f \leq g$  implies  $\mu^*(f) \leq \mu^*(g)$ .

Let  $f \leq g$ . By comparing the sets we get:

$$\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\mu(f_i); f_i \in H_0, f \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}f_i\right\} \supset \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\mu(g_i); g_i \in H_0, g \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}g_i\right\}.$$

We can see that  $\mu^*(f)$  is the infimum of the larger set, so it is also a lower bound of the smaller set. Therefore  $\mu^*(f) \le \mu^*(g)$ .

(3) We prove  $\mu^*(f+g) \leq \mu^*(f) + \mu^*(g)$ . Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}, f_i, g_i \in H_0$  (i = 1, 2, ...), and  $f \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i, g \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i$ . If  $\mu^*(f) = \infty$  or  $\mu^*(g) = \infty$  then the inequality holds. Let  $\mu^*(f) \neq \infty$  and  $\mu^*(g) \neq \infty$ . Then:

$$f + g \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i$$

and

$$\mu^*(f+g) \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(f_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(g_i).$$

Now we fix for a moment  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i$ . Since the preceding inequality holds for any  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i$ , we obtain:

$$\mu^*(f+g) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(f_i) \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(g_i)$$

hence:

$$\mu^*(f+g) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(f_i) \le \mu^*(g).$$

Similarly the relation:

$$\mu^*(f+g) - \mu^*(g) \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(f_i)$$

for any  $f_i$  implies:

$$\mu^*(f+g) - \mu^*(g) \le \mu^*(f).$$

This completes the proof.

**Proposition 3.6.** *For any*  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  *holds:* 

$$\mu^*(f) = \bigwedge \{ \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(g_n); \ g_n \in H_0, \ g_n \le g_{n+1}, \ f \le \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n \}$$

Proof.

(1) Consider any  $g_n \in H_0$ ,  $g_n \leq g_{n+1}$ ,  $f \leq \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n (n = 1, 2, ...)$ . Since  $g_n \leq g_{n+1}$ , then  $g_{n+1} - (g_{n+1} \wedge g_n) = g_{n+1} - g_n$ .

Put 
$$f_1 = g_1$$
,  $f_2 = g_2 - g_1$ ,  $f_3 = g_3 - g_2$ ,... Then  $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i = g_n$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n \mu(f_i) = \mu(g_n)$ . Therefore:  
 $\mu^*(f) = \bigwedge \{\sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu(f_i)\} \le \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu(f_i) = \bigvee_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(f_i) = \bigvee_{n=1}^\infty \mu(g_n)$ 

and

$$\mu^*(f) \le \bigwedge \{\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(g_n)\}.$$

(2) On the other hand consider any sequence  $(f_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $f_i \in H_0$ ,  $f \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i$ . Put  $g_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i$ . Then  $g_n \in H_0$ ,  $g_n \leq g_{n+1}$  and  $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i \geq f$ .

Therefore:

$$\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(g_n) = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(\sum_{i=1}^n f_i) = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(f_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(f_i)$$

and

$$\bigwedge \{\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(g_n); \ g_n \in H_0, \ g_n \le g_{n+1}, \ f \le \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n\} \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(f_i).$$

From the last inequality we get:

$$\bigwedge \{\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(g_n); \ g_n \in H_0, \ g_n \le g_{n+1}, \ f \le \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n\} \le \mu^*(f).$$

This completes the proof.

**Proposition 3.7.** Denote by  $H_0^+$  the set of all functions  $g = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n$  such that  $g_n \in H_0$ ,  $g_n \leq g_{n+1}$  (n = 1, 2, ...). The value  $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(g_n)$  does not depends on the sequence  $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  but only on the function g.

*Proof.* Let  $g_n \in H_0, g_n \leq g_{n+1}, g_n \nearrow g$  and  $h_n \in H_0, h_n \leq h_{n+1}, h_n \nearrow g$  then:

$$\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} (g_n \wedge h_m) = (\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n) \wedge h_m$$
$$g_n \wedge h_m \nearrow g \wedge h_m = h_m$$

and

$$\mu(g_n \wedge h_m) \nearrow \mu(h_m).$$

For each  $m \in N$  holds:

$$\mu(h_m) = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(g_n \wedge h_m) \le \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(g_n)$$

and

$$\bigvee_{m=1}^{\infty} \mu(h_m) \le \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(g_n).$$

Similarly can be proved opposite inequality.

We proved that the value  $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(g_n)$  does not depends on the sequence  $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  but only on the function g.

*Remark* 3.8. *For any*  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  *there exist a function*  $g \in H_0^+$  *such that*  $g \ge f$ .

*Remark* 3.9. *Because*  $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(g_n)$  *does not depends on the sequence*  $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  *but only on the function g, we can define*  $\mu^+ : H_0^+ \to G$  *by the formula:* 

$$\mu^+(g) = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(g_n).$$

Using this notation we can reformulate Proposition 3.6 by the following way:

$$\mu^*(f) = \bigwedge \{ \mu^+(g); \ g \in H_0^+, \ g \ge f \}.$$

### 4 Choquet lemma

The Choquet lemma states that  $f_n \nearrow f$  implies  $\mu^*(f_n) \nearrow \mu^*(f)$ . Since in general  $\ell$ -groups cannot be used the usual  $\varepsilon$ -technique we shall need following structure:

**Definition 4.1.** Dedekind  $\sigma$ -complete  $\ell$ -group G is called to be weakly  $\sigma$ -distributive if for any bounded double sequence  $(a_{i,j})$  such that  $a_{i,j} \searrow 0 (j \to \infty, i = 1, 2, ...)$  it is

$$\bigwedge_{\varphi \in N^N} \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,\varphi(i)} = 0.$$

**Proposition 4.2.** If G is a Dedekind complete  $\ell$ -group of countable type then for any real nonnegative function f there exists a bounded double sequence  $a_{i,j} \searrow 0 \ (j \to \infty, i = 1, 2, ...)$  such that for any  $\varphi : N \to N$  there exists  $g \in H_0^+$ ,  $f \leq g$  such that:

$$\mu^*(f) + \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,\varphi(i)} \ge \mu^+(g).$$

*Proof.* Since G is an  $\ell$ -group of countable type, by *Remark 3.9* there exists a sequence  $(h_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of elements of  $H_0^+$  such that  $f \leq h_n$  and

$$\mu^*(f) = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^+(h_n).$$

Put  $g_n = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n h_i$ . Then  $f \leq g_n, g_n \in H_0^+, g_{n+1} \leq g_n$  and:

$$\mu^*(f) = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^+(g_n).$$

Define  $a_{i,j} = \mu^+(g_j) - \mu^*(f), \ j \to \infty, \ i = 1, 2, \dots$ 

Then  $a_{ij} \searrow 0 \ (j \to \infty, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots)$ . Let  $\varphi : N \to N$ . Then:

$$\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,\varphi(i)} \ge a_{i,\varphi(i)} = \mu^+(g_{\varphi(i)}) - \mu^*(f).$$

We may put  $g = g_{\varphi(i)}$  and we obtain the inequality:

$$\mu^*(f) + \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,\varphi(i)} \ge \mu^+(g)$$

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let G be a Dedekind complete weakly  $\sigma$ -distributive  $\ell$ -group of countable type. Let  $f_n, f \in \mathcal{F}, f_n \nearrow f$ . Then  $\mu^*(f_n) \nearrow \mu^*(f)$ .

*Proof.* We shall use two properties of  $\mu^+$  which are consequences of analogous properties of  $\mu$ :

- (1)  $\mu^+(g_1) + \mu^+(g_2) = \mu^+(g_1 \vee g_2) + \mu^+(g_1 \wedge g_2)$  for any  $g_1, g_2 \in H_0^+$ ; (2) if  $h_n \nearrow h, h_n \in H_0^+$ , then also  $h \in H_0^+$  and  $\mu^+(h) = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^+(h_n)$ .

Let  $f_n, f \in \mathcal{F}, f_n \nearrow f$ . Evidently  $\mu^*(f_n) \le \mu^*(f)$  and the equality holds if  $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(f_n) = \infty$ .

Therefore we can assume that  $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(f_n) \in G^+$ . By *Proposition 4.2* for any  $n \in N$  there exists a bounded sequence  $(a_{n,i,j})_{i,j}$  such that for any  $\varphi: N \to N$  there exists  $g_n \in H_0^+, f_n \leq g_n$  such that:

$$\mu^*(f_n) + \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{n,i,\varphi(n+i)} \ge \mu^+(g_n)$$

By the Fremlin theorem (see [8], Theorem 3.2.3) there exists a bounded double sequence  $(a_{i,j})_{i,j}\searrow 0 \ (j \to \infty, i=1,2,\ldots)$  and such that

$$a \wedge \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{k,i,\varphi(i+k)}\right) \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,\varphi(i)}$$

for each  $k \in N$ .

By *Remark 3.8* for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  there exist such  $g \in H_0^+$  that  $g \ge f$ . Put  $h_n = (\bigvee_{i=1}^n g_i) \wedge g$ . Then  $h_n \in H_0^+, h_n \leq h_{n+1}$  and

$$f = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \le \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n, \ \Big(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n = (\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i) \land g\Big).$$

Therefore:

$$\mu^*(f_2) + \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{2,i,\varphi(2+i)} \ge \mu^+(g_2) =$$

$$= \mu^+(g_1 \lor g_2) + \mu^+(g_1 \land g_2) - \mu^+(g_1) \ge$$

$$\ge \mu^+((g_1 \lor g_2) \land g) + \mu^*(f_1 \land f_2) - \mu^*(f_1) - \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{1,i,\varphi(1+i)} =$$

$$= \mu^+(h_2) + \mu^*(f_1) - \mu^*(f_1) - \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{1,i,\varphi(1+i)} =$$

$$= \mu^+(h_2) - \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{1,i,\varphi(1+i)}.$$

Hence:

$$\mu^{+}(h_{2}) - \mu^{*}(f_{2}) \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{1,i,\varphi(1+i)} + \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{2,i,\varphi(2+i)}$$

and similarly:

$$\mu^+(h_n) - \mu^*(f_n) \le \sum_{k=1}^n \bigvee_{i=1}^\infty a_{k,i,\varphi(k+i)}.$$

At the same time:

$$\mu^+(h_n) - \mu^*(f_n) \le \mu^+(h_n) \le \mu^+(g).$$

Therefore:

$$\mu^{+}(h_n) - \mu^{*}(f_n) \le \mu^{+}(g) \land \sum_{k=1}^n \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{k,i,\varphi(k+i)}.$$

Let  $a = \mu^+(g)$ . Then we can use Fremlin theorem and it holds:

$$\mu^+(h_n) - \mu^*(f_n) \le \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,\varphi(i)}.$$

Therefore:

$$\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(f_n) + \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,\varphi(i)} \ge \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^+(h_n) = \mu^+(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n).$$

But by the *Remark 3.9*:

$$\mu^+(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty}h_n) \ge \mu^*(f).$$

Hence:

$$\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{i,\varphi(i)} \ge \mu^*(f) - \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(f_n)$$

holds for any  $\varphi: N \to N$  and G is weakly  $\sigma$ -distributive  $\ell$ -group, therefore we obtain:

$$0 = \bigwedge_{\varphi} \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,\varphi(i)} \ge \mu^*(f) - \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(f_n).$$

This completes the proof.

#### **5** Measure extension theorem

**Theorem 5.1.** Let G be a Dedekind complete weakly  $\sigma$ -distributive  $\ell$ -group of countable type. Let  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{F}$  be the family of all  $\mu^*$ -measurable elements. Then  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete lattice,  $H_0 \subset \mathcal{M}$ , and the restriction  $\mu^* | \mathcal{M}$  is a measure.

*Proof.* First we show that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete lattice.

We have already proved, that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a lattice. So we have to show, that for any sequence  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of  $\mu^*$ -measurable elements the functions  $f = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$  and  $f' = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$  are also  $\mu^*$ -measurable elements. Put  $g_n = \bigvee_{i=1}^n f_i$  for each  $n \in N$ . Then  $g_n \leq g_{n+1}$  and  $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n = f$  therefore  $g_n \nearrow f$ . Since  $g_n$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable for each  $n \in N$  then for each  $h \in \mathcal{F}$  holds:

$$\mu^*(h) = \mu^*(h \wedge g_n) + \mu^*(h - h \wedge g_n)$$

Since  $f \ge g_n$  then  $h \land f \ge h \land g_n$  and  $h - h \land g_n \ge h - h \land f$ . Therefore:

$$\mu^*(h - h \wedge g_n) \ge \mu^*(h - h \wedge f)$$

and

$$\mu^*(h) \ge \mu^*(h \wedge g_n) + \mu^*(h - h \wedge f).$$

Since  $g_n \nearrow f$  also  $h \land g_n \nearrow h \land f$  by Choquet lemma we obtain:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu^*(h \wedge g_n) = \mu^*(h \wedge f).$$

Therefore:

$$\mu^*(h) \ge \mu^*(h \wedge f) + \mu^*(h - h \wedge f).$$

Opposite inequality follows from subadditivity of outer measure  $\mu^*$ . This proves that f is also the  $\mu^*$ -measurable element.

Now we show that  $f' = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$  is a  $\mu^*$ -measurable element. Let  $f' = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$ . Put  $g'_n = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n f_i$  for each  $n \in N$ . Then  $g'_n \ge g'_{n+1}$  and  $\bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} g'_n = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n = f'$  therefore  $g'_n \searrow f'$ . Since  $g'_n$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable for each  $n \in N$  then for each  $h \in \mathcal{M}$  it holds:

 $\mu^{*}(h) = \mu^{*}(h \wedge g'_{n}) + \mu^{*}(h - h \wedge g'_{n}).$ 

Since  $g'_n \geq f'$ , (n = 1, 2, ...) then  $h \wedge g'_n \geq h \wedge f'$  and

$$\mu^*(h \wedge g'_n) \ge \mu^*(h \wedge f').$$

Therefore:

$$\mu^*(h) \ge \mu^*(h \wedge f') + \mu^*(h - h \wedge g'_n).$$

Since  $g'_n \searrow f$  then  $h \land g'_n \searrow h \land f'$  and  $h - h \land g'_n \nearrow h - h \land f'$  then by the Choquet lemma we obtain:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu^* (h - h \land g'_n) = \mu^* (h - h \land f')$$

therefore:

$$\mu^*(h) \ge \mu^*(h \wedge f') + \mu^*(h - h \wedge f').$$

Opposite inequality follows from subadditivity of the outer measure  $\mu^*$ .

This proves that f' is the  $\mu^*$ -measurable elements hence the lattice  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete lattice.

Secondly, we show that  $H_0 \subset \mathcal{M}$ . We already proved that for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  it holds:

$$\mu^*(f) = \bigwedge \{ \mu^+(g); \ g \in H_0^+, \ f \le g \}.$$

Since  $\mu^*$  is subadditive than for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $h \in H_0$  it holds:

$$\mu^*(f) \le \mu^*(f \land h) + \mu^*(f - f \land h).$$

By the *Proposition 4.2* for any real non-negative function f there exists a bounded double sequence  $a_{i,j} \searrow 0 \ (j \to \infty, i = 1, 2, ...)$  such that for any  $\varphi : N \to N$  there exists  $g \in H_0^+$ ,  $f \leq g$  and that:

$$\mu^*(f) + \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,\varphi(i)} \ge \mu^+(g).$$

But:

$$\mu^+(g) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(g_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} [\mu(g_n \wedge h) + \mu(g_n - g_n \wedge h)] =$$

 $= \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(g_n \wedge h) + \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(g_n - g_n \wedge h) = \mu^+(g \wedge h) + \mu^+(g - g \wedge h).$ Now we show that:

$$\mu^+(g \wedge h) + \mu^+(g - g \wedge h) \ge \mu^*(f \wedge h) + \mu^*(f - f \wedge h).$$

Since  $g \ge f$  then  $g \land h \ge f \land h$  and  $\mu^+(g \land h) \ge \mu^*(f \land h)$ . Let us use following notation:

$$(g-h)^+ = 0 \lor (g-h).$$

If  $(f - h)^+ = 0$  and  $g \ge f$  then  $(g - h)^+ \ge (f - h)^+$ . If  $(f - h)^+(x) = f(x) - h(x) > 0$  then

$$(g-h)^+(x) = g(x) - h(x) \ge f(x) - h(x) = (f-h)^+(x).$$

Therefore:

$$(g-h)^{+} \ge (f-h)^{+}$$

and then:

$$\begin{split} 0 \lor (g-h) &\geq 0 \lor (f-h), \\ (g-g) \lor (g-h) &\geq (f-f) \lor (f-h), \\ g-(g \land h) &\geq f-(f \land h). \end{split}$$

Then also:

$$\mu^+(g - g \wedge h) \ge \mu^*(f - f \wedge h).$$

Hence:

$$\mu^*(f) + \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,\varphi(i)} \ge \mu^*(f \land h) + \mu^*(f - f \land h)$$

for each  $\varphi: N \to N$ . Therefore:

$$\mu^*(f) = \mu^*(f \wedge h) + \mu^*(f - f \wedge h)$$

for each  $h \in H_0$ .

Thirdly, we show that  $\mu^* | \mathcal{M}$  is a measure.

Because of the Choquet lemma it is sufficient to prove that  $\mu^* | \mathcal{M}$  is an additive. Let  $g, h \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then it holds:

$$\mu^*(f) = \mu^*(f \wedge g) + \mu^*(f - f \wedge g).$$

Put f = g + h then:

$$\mu^*(g+h) = \mu^*((g+h) \land g) + \mu^*((g+h) - ((g+h) \land g)) = \mu^*(g) + \mu^*(h).$$

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $\sigma(H_0)$  be a  $\sigma$ -complete lattice generated by  $H_0$ . Then  $\sigma(H_0)$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete lattice closed under the operation + and the operation  $(f,g) \mapsto f - f \wedge g$ .

Proof.

1. Let  $f \in H_0$  and fix it for a moment. Define:

$$A = \{g; f + g \in \sigma(H_0)\}.$$

Then  $H_0 \subset A$  and A is a  $\sigma$ -complete lattice. Therefore also  $\sigma(H_0) \subset A$ .

2. Now we take  $g \in \sigma(H_0)$  fixed and denote:

$$B = \{f; f + g \in \sigma(H_0)\}.$$

We can see that for any  $f \in H_0$  it holds  $f + g \in \sigma(H_0)$ . Therefore  $H_0 \subset B$ . Since B is a  $\sigma$ -complete lattice then also  $\sigma(H_0) \subset B$ .

3. Let  $f \in H_0$  and fix it for a moment. Define:

$$C = \{g; f - f \land g \in \sigma(H_0)\}$$

Then  $H_0 \subset C$  and C is a  $\sigma$ -complete lattice. Therefore also  $\sigma(H_0) \subset C$ .

4. Now we take  $g \in \sigma(H_0)$  fixed and denote:

$$D = \{f; f - f \land g \in \sigma(H_0)\}.$$

We can see that for any  $f \in H_0$  it holds  $f - f \wedge g \in \sigma(H_0)$ . Therefore  $H_0 \subset D$ . Since D is a  $\sigma$ -complete lattice then also  $\sigma(H_0) \subset D$ .

We have proved that for any  $f, g \in \sigma(H_0)$  also  $f + g, f - f \land g \in \sigma(H_0)$ .

**Theorem 5.3.**  $\mu^* | \sigma(H_0) = \overline{\mu}$  is a measure on the  $\sigma$ -complete lattice  $\sigma(H_0)$ .

*Proof.* Because  $H_0 \subset \mathcal{M}$  and the set  $\mathcal{M}$  of all  $\mu^*$ -measurable elements is  $\sigma$ -complete, then  $\sigma(H_0) \subset \mathcal{M}$  and therefore  $\mu^* | \sigma(H_0) = \overline{\mu}$  is a restriction of the measure  $\mu^* | \mathcal{M}$  on the lattice  $\sigma(H_0)$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** There exists exactly one measure  $\bar{\mu}$  on  $\sigma(H_0)$  that is an extension of  $\mu : H_0 \to G$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\nu : \sigma(H_0) \to G^*$  be a measure which extending  $\mu$ . Put

$$\mathcal{K} = \{ f \in \sigma(H_0); \nu(f) = \bar{\mu}(f) \}$$

Then  $H_0 \subset \mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  is  $\sigma$ -complete lattice, therefore  $\sigma(H_0) \subset \mathcal{K}$ . Therefore for any function  $f \in \sigma(H_0)$  holds also  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  an therefore  $\nu(f) = \overline{\mu}(f)$  for each  $f \in \sigma(H_0)$ .

### Acknowledgements

This paper was supported by Grant VEGA 1/2002/05.

### References

- [1] Atanassov, K., *Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets: Theory and Applications*, Springer, Heidelberg, 1999.
- [2] Atanassov, K., On Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets Theory, Springer, Berlin, 2012.
- [3] Carathéodory, C., Vorelsungen über reele Funktionen. Leipzig, Berlin 1927.
- [4] Cignoli, R., I. M. L. D'Ottaviano, D. Mundici, Algebraic Foundations of Many-Valued Reasoning, Trends in Logic, Studia Logica Library, Vol. 7, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2000.
- [5] Fremlin, D. H., A direct proof of the Matthes–Wright integral extension theorem. J. London Math. Soc., Vol. 11, 1975, 276–284.
- [6] Fremlin, D. H., *Topological Riesz Spaces and Measure Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1974.
- [7] Michalíková, A., V. Valenčáková, Outer measure on *F*-sets, In: *Proc. of 3rd International IEEE Conference Intelligent Systems*, London, September 2006, 728–729.
- [8] Michalíková, A., On the extension of Riesz space valued operators. *Journal of Electrical Engineering*, Vol. 57, 2006, No. 7/s, 89–91.
- [9] Mundici, D., Interpretation of AFC\*-algebras in Łukasiewicz sentential calculus, *J. Funct. Anal.*, Vol. 65, 1986, 15–63.
- [10] Riečan, B., Carathéodory measurability revisites. *Tatra Moutnains Math. Publ.*, Vol. 34, 2006, No. 2, 321–332.
- [11] Riečan, B., On measures and integrals with values in ordered groups. *Math. Slovaca*, Vol. 33, 1983, 153–163.
- [12] Riečan, B., Analysis of Fuzzy Logic Models. In: Intelligent Systems (V. M. Koleshko ed.), INTECH 2012, 219–240.
- [13] Riečan, B., T. Neubrunn, *Integral, Measure, and Ordering*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht and Ister Science, Bratislava, 1997.
- [14] Wright. J. D. M., Stone-algebra-valued measures for vector lattices. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), Vol. 21, 1971, 65–85.