

Individual ergodic theorem for intuitionistic fuzzy observables using IF-probability

Katarína Čunderlíková

Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences

Štefánikova 49, 814 73 Bratislava, Slovakia

e-mail: cunderlikova.lendelova@gmail.com

Received: 22 September 2019

Accepted: 13 October 2019

Abstract: The aim of this paper is to formulate the individual ergodic theorem for intuitionistic fuzzy observables using \mathcal{P} -almost everywhere convergence, where \mathcal{P} is an intuitionistic fuzzy probability. Since the intuitionistic fuzzy probability can be decomposed to two intuitionistic fuzzy states, we can use the results holding for intuitionistic fuzzy states.

Keywords: Intuitionistic fuzzy event, Intuitionistic fuzzy observable, Intuitionistic fuzzy probability, Product, \mathcal{P} -almost everywhere convergence, \mathcal{P} -preserving transformation, Individual ergodic theorem.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 03B52, 60A86, 60B10, 28D05.

1 Introduction

In [1, 2], K. T. Atanassov introduced the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Later P. Grzegorzewski and E. Mrówka defined the probability on the family of intuitionistic fuzzy events

$$\mathcal{N} = \{(\mu_A, \nu_A) ; \mu_A, \nu_A \text{ are } \mathcal{S}\text{-measurable and } \mu_A + \nu_A \leq 1_\Omega\}$$

as a mapping \mathcal{P} from the family \mathcal{N} to the set of all compact intervals in R by the formula

$$\mathcal{P}((\mu_A, \nu_A)) = \left[\int_{\Omega} \mu_A dP, 1 - \int_{\Omega} \nu_A dP \right],$$

where (Ω, \mathcal{S}, P) is the probability space, see [7]. This intuitionistic fuzzy probability was axiomatically characterized by B. Riečan (see [10]).

In this paper, we formulate the Individual ergodic theorem for intuitionistic fuzzy observables, using \mathcal{P} -almost everywhere convergence, where \mathcal{P} is an intuitionistic fuzzy probability. Recall that the formulation of the individual ergodic theorem for intuitionistic fuzzy events with product first appeared in the paper [3]. There we used a separating intuitionistic fuzzy probability. Since the intuitionistic fuzzy probability \mathcal{P} can be decomposed to two intuitionistic fuzzy states, we can use the results holding for intuitionistic fuzzy states, which were proved in [6].

Remark that in a whole text we use a notation IF as an abbreviation for intuitionistic fuzzy.

2 IF-events, IF-states, IF-observables and IF-mean value

In this section we explain the basic notions from IF-probability theory, see [1, 2, 13, 14, 15].

Definition 2.1. Let Ω be a nonempty set. An IF-set \mathbf{A} on Ω is a pair (μ_A, ν_A) of mappings $\mu_A, \nu_A : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\mu_A + \nu_A \leq 1_\Omega$.

Definition 2.2. Start with a measurable space (Ω, \mathcal{S}) . Hence \mathcal{S} is a σ -algebra of subsets of Ω . An IF-event is called an IF-set $\mathbf{A} = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$ such that $\mu_A, \nu_A : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are \mathcal{S} -measurable.

The family of all IF-events on (Ω, \mathcal{S}) will be denoted by \mathcal{F} , $\mu_A : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ will be called the membership function, $\nu_A : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ will be called the non-membership function.

If $\mathbf{A} = (\mu_A, \nu_A) \in \mathcal{F}$, $\mathbf{B} = (\mu_B, \nu_B) \in \mathcal{F}$, then we define the Łukasiewicz binary operations \oplus, \odot on \mathcal{F} by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} &= ((\mu_A + \mu_B) \wedge 1_\Omega, (\nu_A + \nu_B - 1) \vee 0_\Omega), \\ \mathbf{A} \odot \mathbf{B} &= ((\mu_A + \mu_B - 1) \vee 0_\Omega, (\nu_A + \nu_B) \wedge 1_\Omega)\end{aligned}$$

and the partial ordering is given by

$$\mathbf{A} \leq \mathbf{B} \iff \mu_A \leq \mu_B, \nu_A \geq \nu_B.$$

In the paper, we use max–min connectives defined by

$$\mathbf{A} \vee \mathbf{B} = (\mu_A \vee \mu_B, \nu_A \wedge \nu_B),$$

$$\mathbf{A} \wedge \mathbf{B} = (\mu_A \wedge \mu_B, \nu_A \vee \nu_B)$$

and the de Morgan rules

$$(a \vee b)^* = a^* \wedge b^*,$$

$$(a \wedge b)^* = a^* \vee b^*,$$

where $a^* = 1 - a$.

Example 2.3. A fuzzy set $f : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ can be regarded as an IF-set if we put

$$\mathbf{A} = (f, 1_\Omega - f).$$

If $f = \chi_A$, then the corresponding IF-set has the form

$$\mathbf{A} = (\chi_A, 1_\Omega - \chi_A) = (\chi_A, \chi_{A'}).$$

In this case $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}$ corresponds to the union of sets, $\mathbf{A} \odot \mathbf{B}$ to the intersection of sets and \leq to the set inclusion.

Consider a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{S}, P) . Then in [7] the IF-probability $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{A})$ of an IF-event $\mathbf{A} = (\mu_A, \nu_A) \in \mathcal{F}$ has been defined as a compact interval by the equality

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{A}) = \left[\int_{\Omega} \mu_A dP, 1 - \int_{\Omega} \nu_A dP \right].$$

Let \mathcal{J} be the family of all compact intervals. Then the mapping $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ can be defined axiomatically similarly as in [10].

Definition 2.4. Let \mathcal{F} be the family of all IF-events in Ω . A mapping $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ is called an IF-probability if the following conditions hold:

- (i) $\mathcal{P}((1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)) = [1, 1]$, $\mathcal{P}((0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)) = [0, 0]$;
- (ii) If $\mathbf{A} \odot \mathbf{B} = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$, then $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}) = \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{A}) + \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{B})$;
- (iii) If $\mathbf{A}_n \nearrow \mathbf{A}$, then $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{A}_n) \nearrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{A})$.
 (Recall that $[\alpha_n, \beta_n] \nearrow [\alpha, \beta]$ means that $\alpha_n \nearrow \alpha$, $\beta_n \nearrow \beta$, but $\mathbf{A}_n = (\mu_{A_n}, \nu_{A_n}) \nearrow \mathbf{A} = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$ means $\mu_{A_n} \nearrow \mu_A$, $\nu_{A_n} \searrow \nu_A$.)

IF-probability \mathcal{P} is called **separating**, if

$$\mathcal{P}((\mu_A, \nu_A)) = [\mathcal{P}^b(\mu_A), 1 - \mathcal{P}^\sharp(\nu_A)],$$

where the functions $\mathcal{P}^b, \mathcal{P}^\sharp : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are probabilities.

Of course, each $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{A})$ is an interval, denote it by $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{A}) = [\mathcal{P}^b(\mathbf{A}), \mathcal{P}^\sharp(\mathbf{A})]$. By this way we obtain two functions

$$\mathcal{P}^b : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1], \mathcal{P}^\sharp : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

and some properties of \mathcal{P} can be characterized by some properties of $\mathcal{P}^b, \mathcal{P}^\sharp$, see [11].

Theorem 2.5. Let $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{A}) = [\mathcal{P}^b(\mathbf{A}), \mathcal{P}^\sharp(\mathbf{A})]$ for each $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{F}$. Then \mathcal{P} is an IF-probability if and only if \mathcal{P}^b and \mathcal{P}^\sharp are IF-states.

Proof. See [11, Theorem 2.3] □

Recall that by an **intuitionistic fuzzy state (IF-state)** \mathbf{m} we understand each mapping $\mathbf{m} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which satisfies the following conditions (see [12]):

- (i) $\mathbf{m}((1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)) = 1$, $\mathbf{m}((0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)) = 0$;
- (ii) if $\mathbf{A} \odot \mathbf{B} = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$ and $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{A}) + \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{B})$;
- (iii) if $\mathbf{A}_n \nearrow \mathbf{A}$ (i.e. $\mu_{A_n} \nearrow \mu_A$, $\nu_{A_n} \searrow \nu_A$), then $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{A}_n) \nearrow \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{A})$.

Now we introduce the notion of an observable. Let \mathcal{J} be the family of all intervals in R of the form

$$[a, b) = \{x \in R : a \leq x < b\}.$$

Then the σ -algebra $\sigma(\mathcal{J})$ is denoted by $\mathcal{B}(R)$ and it is called the σ -algebra of Borel sets, its elements are called Borel sets (see [16]).

Definition 2.6. By an IF-observable on \mathcal{F} we understand each mapping $x : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $x(R) = (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)$, $x(\emptyset) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$;
- (ii) If $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(R)$, then $x(A) \odot x(B) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$ and $x(A \cup B) = x(A) \oplus x(B)$;
- (iii) If $A_n \nearrow A$ and $A_n, A \in \mathcal{B}(R)$, $n \in N$, then $x(A_n) \nearrow x(A)$.

Similarly, we can define the notion of n -dimensional IF-observable.

Definition 2.7. By an n -dimensional IF-observable on \mathcal{F} we understand each mapping $x : \mathcal{B}(R^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $x(R^n) = (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)$, $x(\emptyset) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$;
- (ii) If $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(R^n)$, then $x(A) \odot x(B) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$ and $x(A \cup B) = x(A) \oplus x(B)$;
- (iii) If $A_n \nearrow A$ and $A_n, A \in \mathcal{B}(R^n)$, $n \in N$, then $x(A_n) \nearrow x(A)$.

Similarly, as in the classical case the following theorem can be proved ([9, 15]).

Theorem 2.8. Let $x : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be an IF-observable, $\mathbf{m} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be an IF-state. Define the mapping $\mathbf{m}_x : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by the formula

$$\mathbf{m}_x(C) = \mathbf{m}(x(C)).$$

Then $\mathbf{m}_x : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a probability measure.

Since $\mathbf{m}_x : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ plays now an analogous role as $P_\xi : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow [0, 1]$, we can define **IF-expected value** $\mathbf{E}(x)$ by the same formula (see [9]).

Definition 2.9. We say that an IF-observable x is an integrable IF-observable if the integral $\int_R t \, d\mathbf{m}_x(t)$ exists. In this case, we define the IF-expected value

$$\mathbf{E}(x) = \int_R t \, d\mathbf{m}_x(t).$$

If the integral $\int_R t^2 \, d\mathbf{m}_x(t)$ exists, then we define IF-dispersion $\mathbf{D}^2(x)$ by the formula

$$\mathbf{D}^2(x) = \int_R t^2 \, d\mathbf{m}_x(t) - (\mathbf{E}(x))^2 = \int_R (t - \mathbf{E}(x))^2 \, d\mathbf{m}_x(t).$$

3 Product operation, joint IF-observable and function of several IF-observables

In [8] we introduced the notion of product operation on the family of IF-events \mathcal{F} , and showed an example of this operation.

Definition 3.1. *We say that a binary operation \cdot on \mathcal{F} is product if it satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) $(1_\Omega, 0_\Omega) \cdot (a_1, a_2) = (a_1, a_2)$ for each $(a_1, a_2) \in \mathcal{F}$;
- (ii) *The operation \cdot is commutative and associative;*
- (iii) *If $(a_1, a_2) \odot (b_1, b_2) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$ and $(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2) \in \mathcal{F}$, then*

$$(c_1, c_2) \cdot ((a_1, a_2) \oplus (b_1, b_2)) = ((c_1, c_2) \cdot (a_1, a_2)) \oplus ((c_1, c_2) \cdot (b_1, b_2))$$

and

$$((c_1, c_2) \cdot (a_1, a_2)) \odot ((c_1, c_2) \cdot (b_1, b_2)) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$$

for each $(c_1, c_2) \in \mathcal{F}$;

- (iv) *If $(a_{1n}, a_{2n}) \searrow (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$, $(b_{1n}, b_{2n}) \searrow (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$ and $(a_{1n}, a_{2n}), (b_{1n}, b_{2n}) \in \mathcal{F}$, then*
 $(a_{1n}, a_{2n}) \cdot (b_{1n}, b_{2n}) \searrow (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$.

The following theorem defines the product operation for IF-events.

Theorem 3.2. *The operation \cdot defined by*

$$(x_1, y_1) \cdot (x_2, y_2) = (x_1 \cdot x_2, y_1 + y_2 - y_1 \cdot y_2)$$

for each $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in \mathcal{F}$ is product operation on \mathcal{F} .

Proof. See [8, Theorem 1]. □

In [13] B. Riečan defined the notion of a joint IF-observable and he proved its existence.

Definition 3.3. *Let $x, y : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be two IF-observables. The joint IF-observable of the IF-observables x, y is a mapping $h : \mathcal{B}(R^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) $h(R^2) = (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)$, $h(\emptyset) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$;
- (ii) *If $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(R^2)$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then $h(A \cup B) = h(A) \oplus h(B)$ and $h(A) \odot h(B) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$;*
- (iii) *If $A, A_1, \dots \in \mathcal{B}(R^2)$ and $A_n \nearrow A$, then $h(A_n) \nearrow h(A)$;*
- (iv) $h(C \times D) = x(C) \cdot y(D)$ for each $C, D \in \mathcal{B}(R)$.

Theorem 3.4. For each two IF-observables $x, y : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ there exists their joint IF-observable.

Proof. See [13, Theorem 3.3]. □

Remark 3.5. The joint IF-observable of the IF-observables x, y from Definition 3.3 is a two-dimensional IF-observable.

If we have several IF-observables and a Borel measurable function, we can define the IF-observable, which is the function of several IF-observables. About this says the following definition.

Definition 3.6. Let $x_1, \dots, x_n : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be IF-observables, h_n be their joint IF-observable and $g_n : R^n \rightarrow R$ be a Borel measurable function. Then, we define the IF-observable $g_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ by the formula

$$g_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)(A) = h_n(g_n^{-1}(A)).$$

for each $A \in \mathcal{B}(R)$.

4 Lower and upper limits, \mathcal{P} -almost everywhere convergence

In [4] we defined the notions of lower and upper limits for a sequence of IF-observables.

Definition 4.1. We shall say that a sequence $(x_n)_n$ of IF-observables has \limsup if there exists an IF-observable $\bar{x} : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$\bar{x}((-\infty, t)) = \bigvee_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigwedge_{n=k}^{\infty} x_n\left(\left(-\infty, t - \frac{1}{p}\right)\right)$$

for every $t \in R$. We write $\bar{x} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$.

Note that if another IF-observable y satisfies the above condition, then $\mathbf{m} \circ y = \mathbf{m} \circ \bar{x}$.

Definition 4.2. A sequence $(x_n)_n$ of IF-observables has \liminf if there exists an IF-observable \underline{x} such that

$$\underline{x}((-\infty, t)) = \bigvee_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigwedge_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{n=k}^{\infty} x_n\left(\left(-\infty, t - \frac{1}{p}\right)\right)$$

for all $t \in R$. Notation: $\underline{x} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$.

In paper [5] we showed the connection between two kinds of \mathcal{P} -almost everywhere convergence.

Definition 4.3. Let $(x_n)_n$ be a sequence of IF-observables on an IF-space $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$. We say that $(x_n)_n$ converges \mathcal{P} -almost everywhere to 0, if

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}\left(\bigwedge_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigwedge_{n=k}^{\infty} x_n\left(\left(-\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p}\right)\right)\right) &= \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P}\left(\bigwedge_{n=k}^{k+i} x_n\left(\left(-\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p}\right)\right)\right) = \\ &= [1, 1] = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.4. The defining formula is equivalent to the following equality

$$\mathcal{P}\left(\bigvee_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigwedge_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{n=k}^{\infty} x_n\left(R \setminus \left(-\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p}\right)\right)\right) = [0, 0] = 0.$$

Theorem 4.5. A sequence $(x_n)_n$ of IF-observables converges \mathcal{P} -almost everywhere to 0 if and only if it converges \mathcal{P}^b -almost everywhere and \mathcal{P}^\sharp -almost everywhere to 0.

Proof. See [5, Theorem 5]. □

Proposition 4.1. A sequence $(x_n)_n$ of IF-observables converges \mathcal{P} -almost everywhere to 0 if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}\left(\bigvee_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigwedge_{n=k}^{\infty} x_n\left(\left(-\infty, t - \frac{1}{p}\right)\right)\right) &= \mathcal{P}\left(\bigvee_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigwedge_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{n=k}^{\infty} x_n\left(\left(-\infty, t - \frac{1}{p}\right)\right)\right) = \\ &= \mathcal{P}(0_{\mathcal{F}}((-\infty, t))), \end{aligned}$$

for every $t \in R$.

Proof. See [5, Proposition 2]. □

In accordance to Proposition 4.1, we can extend the notion of \mathcal{P} -almost everywhere convergence in the following way.

Definition 4.6. A sequence $(x_n)_n$ of IF-observables converges \mathcal{P} -almost everywhere to an IF-observable x , if

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}\left(\bigvee_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigwedge_{n=k}^{\infty} x_n\left(\left(-\infty, t - \frac{1}{p}\right)\right)\right) &= \mathcal{P}\left(\bigvee_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigwedge_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{n=k}^{\infty} x_n\left(\left(-\infty, t - \frac{1}{p}\right)\right)\right) = \\ &= \mathcal{P}(x((-\infty, t))), \end{aligned}$$

for every $t \in R$.

Sometimes we need to work with a sequence of IF-observables induced by a Borel measurable function.

Recall, that the corresponding probability spaces are $(R^N, \sigma(\mathcal{C}), P^b)$ and $(R^N, \sigma(\mathcal{C}), P^\sharp)$, where \mathcal{C} is the family of all sets of the form

$$\{(t_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} : t_1 \in A_1, \dots, t_n \in A_n\},$$

and P^b, P^\sharp are the probability measures determined by the equalities

$$P^b(\{(t_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} : t_1 \in A_1, \dots, t_n \in A_n\}) = \mathcal{P}^b(x_1(A_1) \cdot \dots \cdot x_n(A_n)),$$

$$P^\sharp(\{(t_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} : t_1 \in A_1, \dots, t_n \in A_n\}) = \mathcal{P}^\sharp(x_1(A_1) \cdot \dots \cdot x_n(A_n)).$$

The corresponding projections $\xi_n : R^N \rightarrow R$ are defined by the equality

$$\xi_n((t_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}) = t_n.$$

Theorem 4.7. Let $(x_n)_n$ be a sequence of IF-observables, $(\xi_n)_n$ be the sequence of corresponding projections, $(g_n)_n$ be a sequence of Borel measurable functions $g_n : R^n \rightarrow R$. If the sequence $(g_n(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n))_n$ converges P^b -almost everywhere and P^\sharp -almost everywhere, then the sequence $(g_n(x_1, \dots, x_n))_n$ converges \mathcal{P} -almost everywhere and

$$\mathcal{P}\left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)((-\infty, t))\right) = \mathcal{P}\left(\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)((-\infty, t))\right)$$

for each $t \in R$. Moreover

$$\mathcal{P}\left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)((-\infty, t))\right) = \left[P^b(E), P^\sharp(E)\right]$$

for each $t \in R$, where $E = \{u \in R^N : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(\xi_1(u), \dots, \xi_n(u)) < t\}$.

Proof. See [5, Theorem 6]. □

5 Individual Ergodic Theorem

In paper [5] we proved the modification of the classical Individual Ergodic Theorem using \mathbf{m} -almost everywhere convergence. Since the intuitionistic fuzzy probability \mathcal{P} can be decomposed to two intuitionistic fuzzy states \mathbf{m} (see [11, 14]), then we try to formulate the modification of the classical Individual Ergodic Theorem using \mathcal{P} -almost everywhere convergence.

Now, we recall the modification of the Individual Ergodic Theorem for the IF-state (see [6]).

Theorem 5.1. (Individual Ergodic Theorem) Let (\mathcal{F}, \cdot) be a family of IF-events with product, and \mathbf{m} be an IF-state. Let x be an integrable IF-observable and τ be an \mathbf{m} -preserving transformation. Then there exists an integrable IF-observable x^* such that

$$(i) \quad \mathbf{E}(x) = \mathbf{E}(x^*),$$

$$(ii) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (\tau^i \circ x) = x^* \quad \mathbf{m}\text{-almost everywhere.}$$

Proof. See [6, Theorem 6.3]. □

We defined the IF-mean value of an IF-observable and \mathcal{P} -almost everywhere convergence in the previous sections. Now we must define a transformation preserving an intuitionistic probability \mathcal{P} .

Definition 5.2. Let (\mathcal{F}, \cdot) be a family of IF-events with product, \mathcal{P} be an IF-probability. Then, a mapping $\tau : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is said to be a \mathcal{P} -preserving transformation if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(i) \quad \tau((1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)) = (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega);$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{If } \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } \mathbf{A} \odot \mathbf{B} = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega), \text{ then } \tau(\mathbf{A}) \odot \tau(\mathbf{B}) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega) \text{ and } \tau(\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}) = \tau(\mathbf{A}) \oplus \tau(\mathbf{B});$$

- (iii) If $\mathbf{A}_n \nearrow \mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{A}_n, \mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{F}$, $n \in N$, then $\tau(\mathbf{A}_n) \nearrow \tau(\mathbf{A})$;
- (iv) $\mathcal{P}(\tau(\mathbf{A}) \cdot \tau(\mathbf{B})) = \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B})$ for each $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{F}$.

Now we show the connection to the \mathbf{m} -preserving transformation. Recall that by **m-preserving transformation** we understand each mapping $\tau : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\tau((1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)) = (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)$;
- (ii) If $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathbf{A} \odot \mathbf{B} = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$, then $\tau(\mathbf{A}) \odot \tau(\mathbf{B}) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$ and $\tau(\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}) = \tau(\mathbf{A}) \oplus \tau(\mathbf{B})$;
- (iii) If $\mathbf{A}_n \nearrow \mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{A}_n, \mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{F}$, $n \in N$, then $\tau(\mathbf{A}_n) \nearrow \tau(\mathbf{A})$;
- (iv) $\mathbf{m}(\tau(\mathbf{A}) \cdot \tau(\mathbf{B})) = \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B})$ for each $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{F}$.

See [6].

Theorem 5.3. *Let (\mathcal{F}, \cdot) be a family of IF-events with product, \mathcal{P} be an IF-probability. The mapping $\tau : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is the \mathcal{P} -preserving transformation if and only if the mapping τ is the \mathcal{P}^b -preserving transformation and the \mathcal{P}^\sharp -preserving transformation, where $\mathcal{P}^b, \mathcal{P}^\sharp$ are the IF-states.*

Proof. “ \Rightarrow ” Let \mathcal{P} be an IF-probability. Then by Theorem 2.5 it can be decomposed to two IF-states $\mathcal{P}^b, \mathcal{P}^\sharp$ such that $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{A}) = [\mathcal{P}^b(\mathbf{A}), \mathcal{P}^\sharp(\mathbf{A})]$ for each $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{F}$. If the mapping $\tau : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is the \mathcal{P} -preserving transformation, then by (iv) from Definition 5.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{P}^b(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}), \mathcal{P}^\sharp(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B})] &= \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}) = \mathcal{P}(\tau(\mathbf{A}) \cdot \tau(\mathbf{B})) \\ &= [\mathcal{P}^b(\tau(\mathbf{A}) \cdot \tau(\mathbf{B})), \mathcal{P}^\sharp(\tau(\mathbf{A}) \cdot \tau(\mathbf{B}))]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}^b(\tau(\mathbf{A}) \cdot \tau(\mathbf{B})) &= \mathcal{P}^b(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}), \\ \mathcal{P}^\sharp(\tau(\mathbf{A}) \cdot \tau(\mathbf{B})) &= \mathcal{P}^\sharp(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}), \end{aligned}$$

for each $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{F}$. Therefore, τ is a \mathcal{P}^b -preserving transformation and a \mathcal{P}^\sharp -preserving transformation.

“ \Leftarrow ” The opposite direction can be proved similarly. □

Theorem 5.4. (Individual Ergodic Theorem) *Let (\mathcal{F}, \cdot) be a family of IF-events with product, \mathcal{P} be an IF-probability. Let x be an integrable IF-observable and τ be an \mathcal{P} -preserving transformation. Then there exists an integrable IF-observable x^* such that*

- (i) $\mathbf{E}^b(x) = \mathbf{E}^b(x^*)$, $\mathbf{E}^\sharp(x) = \mathbf{E}^\sharp(x^*)$
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (\tau^i \circ x) = x^*$, \mathcal{P} -almost everywhere.

Proof. Let \mathcal{P} be an IF-probability. By *Theorem 2.5* it can be decomposed to two IF-states $\mathcal{P}^b, \mathcal{P}^\sharp$, such that $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{A}) = [\mathcal{P}^b(\mathbf{A}), \mathcal{P}^\sharp(\mathbf{A})]$ for each $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{F}$. Let τ be the \mathcal{P} -preserving transformation. Then from *Theorem 5.3* we obtain that τ is the \mathcal{P}^b -preserving transformation and the \mathcal{P}^\sharp -preserving transformation, where $\mathcal{P}^b, \mathcal{P}^\sharp$ are the IF-states. Hence by *Theorem 5.1* there exists an integrable IF-observable x^* such that

$$(i) \quad \mathbf{E}^b(x) = \mathbf{E}^b(x^*), \mathbf{E}^\sharp(x) = \mathbf{E}^\sharp(x^*)$$

$$(ii) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (\tau^i \circ x) = x^*, \quad \mathcal{P}^b\text{-almost everywhere and } \mathcal{P}^\sharp\text{-almost everywhere.}$$

Finally by *Theorem 5.3* we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (\tau^i \circ x) = x^*, \quad \mathcal{P}\text{-almost everywhere.} \quad \square$$

6 Conclusion

The paper is concerned in ergodic theory for family of intuitionistic fuzzy events. We proved the Individual ergodic theorem for intuitionistic fuzzy observables using \mathcal{P} -almost everywhere convergence, where \mathcal{P} is an intuitionistic fuzzy probability. The results are a generalization of results given in [3].

References

- [1] Atannasov, K. (1999). *Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets: Theory and Applications*, Springer Physica Verlag, Heidelberg.
- [2] Atanassov, K. (2012). *On Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*, Springer, Berlin.
- [3] Čunderlíková, K. (2010). The individual ergodic theorem on the IF-events with product, *Soft Computing - A Fusion of Foundations, Methodologies and Applications*, Springer - Verlag, 24 (3), 229–234.
- [4] Čunderlíková, K. (2018). Upper and lower limits and \mathbf{m} -almost everywhere convergence of intuitionistic fuzzy observables, *Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*, 24 (4), 40–49.
- [5] Čunderlíková, K. (2019). Intuitionistic fuzzy probability and almost everywhere convergence, *Proceedings of IWIFSGN 2019*, submitted.
- [6] Čunderlíková, K. (2019). Individual ergodic theorem for intuitionistic fuzzy observables using IF-state, *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Sets*, submitted.
- [7] Grzegorzewski, P., & Mrówka, E. (2002). Probability of intuitionistic fuzzy events, *Soft Methods in Probability, Statistics and Data Analysis*, P. Grzegorzewski et al. eds., Physica Verlag, New York, 105–115.

- [8] Lendelová, K. (2006). Conditional IF-probability, *Advances in Soft Computing: Soft Methods for Integrated Uncertainty Modelling*, 275–283.
- [9] Lendelová K., & Riečan B. (2004). Weak law of large numbers for IF-events, *Current Issues in Data and Knowledge Engineering*, Bernard De Baets et al. eds., EXIT, Warszawa, 309–314.
- [10] Riečan, B. (2003). A descriptive definition of the probability on intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *EUSFLAT '2003*, M. Wagenecht, R. Hampet eds., Zittau-Goerlitz Univ. Appl. Sci., 263–266.
- [11] Riečan, B. (2005). On the probability on IF-sets and MV-algebras, *Notes on intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, 11 (6), 21–25.
- [12] Riečan, B. (2006). On a problem of Radko Mesiar: general form of IF-probabilities, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 152, 1485–1490.
- [13] Riečan, B. (2006). On the probability and random variables on IF events, *Applied Artificial Intelligence, Proc. 7th FLINS Conf. Genova*, D. Ruan et al. eds., 138–145.
- [14] Riečan, B. (2007). Probability theory on intuitionistic fuzzy events, Algebraic and Proof-theoretic aspects of Non-classical Logics, Papers in honour of Daniele Mundici's 60th birthday, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Vol. 4460, 290–308.
- [15] Riečan, B. (2012). Analysis of fuzzy logic models, *Intelligent systems (V. Koleshko ed.)*, INTECH, 219–244.
- [16] Riečan, B., & Neubrunn, T. (1997). *Integral, Measure, and Ordering*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht and Ister Science, Bratislava.