ICIFSS, 8–10 January 2018, Erode, Tamil Nadu, India Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets Print ISSN 1310–4926, Online ISSN 2367–8283 Vol. 24, 2018, No. 1, 13–20

DOI: 10.7546/nifs.2018.24.1.13-20

Fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy contraction mappings in intuitionistic fuzzy generalized metric spaces

R. Muthuraj¹, M. Jeyaraman² and M. Sornavalli³

¹ PG and Research Department of Mathematics, H. H. The Rajah's College Pudukkottai – 622 001, India

e-mail: rmr1973@gmail.com

² PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Raja Doraisingam Govt. Arts College Sivagangai – 630561, India

e-mail: jeya.math@gmail.com

³ Velammal College of Engineering and Technology Madurai – 625 009, India

e-mail:sornavalliv7@gmail.com

Received: 22 October 2017 **Revised:** 1 December 2017 **Accepted:** 2 December 2017

Abstract: In this paper, we introduce intuitionistic fuzzy contraction mappings in intuitionistic fuzzy generalized metric spaces. The presented theorems, extend, generalize and improve the corresponding result which given in the literature. Some fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy generalized metric space in the sense of George and Veeramani [2].

Keywords: Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Intuitionistic fuzzy contraction mapping, Intuitionistic fuzzy generalized metric spaces.

AMS Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

1 Introduction

In 1965, the concept of fuzzy set was introduced by Zadeh [14] in domain X and [0, 1]. In 1986, Atanassov [1] introduced the notion of an intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Afterward, Park [8] gave the notion of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space and generalized the notion of a fuzzy metric space due to George and Veeramani. In 2008, Saadati et al. [10] modified the idea of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space and presented the new notion of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

On the other hand, in 1981, Heilpern [3] developed fixed point theory in fuzzy metric spaces, introduced the concept of fuzzy contraction mappings and proved some fixed point theorems for fuzzy contraction mappings. Afterward, in 2006, Rafi and Noorani [9] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy contraction mappings and proved the existence fixed point in intuitionistic fuzzy generalized metric spaces for an intuitionistic fuzzy contraction mappings. We introduce intuitionistic fuzzy contraction mappings in intuitionistic fuzzy generalized metric spaces. The presented theorems, extend, generalize and improve the corresponding result which given in the literature.

Definition 1.1. A binary operation $\lozenge: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$ is said to be a continuous *t*-conorm if it satisfies the following conditions:

- i) \Diamond is associative and commutative,
- ii) ♦ is continuous,
- iii) $a \diamondsuit 0 = a$ for all $a \in [0,1]$,
- iv) $a \lozenge b \le c \lozenge d$ whenever $a \le c$ and $b \le d$, for each $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 1.2. A 5-tuple $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, *, \diamond)$ is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy generalized metric space (shortly IFGM-space), if X is an arbitrary set, * is a continuous t-norm, \diamond is a continuous t-conorm and \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} are fuzzy sets on $X^3 \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying for all $x, y, z, a \in X$ and s, t > 0, the following conditions

```
(IFGM-1) \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) + \mathcal{N}(x, y, z, t) = 1,
```

(IFGM-2) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) > 0$,

(IFGM-3) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = 1$ if and only if x = y = z,

(IFGM-4) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = \mathcal{M}(p\{x, y, z\}, t)$, where p is a permutation function,

(IFGM-5) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, a, t) * \mathcal{M}(a, z, z, s) \leq \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t + s),$

(IFGM-6) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, .) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous,

(IFGM-7) $\mathcal{N}(x, y, z, t) < 1$,

(IFGM-8) $\mathcal{N}(x, y, z, t) = 0$ if and only if x = y = z,

(IFGM-9) $\mathcal{N}(x, y, z, t) = \mathcal{N}(p\{x, y, z\}, t)$, where p is a permutation function,

(IFGM-10) $\mathcal{N}(x, y, z, a, t) \lozenge \mathcal{N}(a, z, z, s) \ge \mathcal{N}(x, y, z, t + s),$

(IFGM-11) $\mathcal{N}(x, y, z, .) : (0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$ is continuous.

The above definition, the triangular inequality (IFGM-5) and (IFGM-10) are replaced by

$$\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, a, t) * \mathcal{M}(a, z, z, s) \leq \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, \max\{t, s\})$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}(x, y, z, a, t) \lozenge \mathcal{N}(a, z, z, s) \ge \mathcal{N}(x, y, z, \min\{t, s\}).$$

Then the 5-tuple $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, *, \diamond)$ is called a non-Archimedean intuitionistic fuzzy generalized metric space. It is easy to check that the triangular inequality (NA) implies (IFGM-5) and (IFGM-10), that is every non-Archimedean intuitionistic fuzzy generalized metric space is itself an intuitionistic fuzzy generalized metric space.

Definition 1.3. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy generalized metric space. Then

a) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be converges to a point $x \in X$, if for all t > 0,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathcal{M}(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{x},\mathsf{x}_\mathsf{n},\mathsf{t})=1 \text{ and } \lim_{n\to\infty}\mathcal{N}(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{x},\mathsf{x}_\mathsf{n},\mathsf{t})=0.$$

- b) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be a Cauchy sequence if for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that for all $m, n \ge n_0$, we have $\mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, x_m, t) > 1 \varepsilon$ and $\mathcal{N}(x_n, x_n, x_m, t) > \varepsilon$.
- c) X is complete if every Cauchy sequence is converges in X.

Lemma 1.4. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a intuitionistic fuzzy generalized metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, *, \diamond)$, if there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $\mathcal{M}(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n, t)$ and $\mathcal{N}(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, kt) \leq \mathcal{N}(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n, t)$ for all t > 0. Then $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in X.

2 Main results

Definition 2.1. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy generalized metric space. A mapping $T: X \to X$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy generalized contractive mapping, if there exists $k \in (0, 1)$, such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(\mathsf{Tx},\mathsf{Ty},\mathsf{Tz},\mathsf{t})}-1\right) \leq k\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x,y,z,t)}-1\right)$$

and $\mathcal{N}(Tx, Ty, Tz, t) \ge k$, $\mathcal{N}(x, y, z, t)$, for each $x, y, z \in X$ and t > 0 (k is called the contractive constant of T).

Proposition 2.2. Let (X, d) be a metric space. The mapping $f: X \to X$ is metric contractive on (X, d) with contractive constant k if and only if f is intuitionistic fuzzy generalized contractive, with contractive constant k, on the intuitionistic fuzzy generalized metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, *, \diamond)$, induced by d.

Proposition 2.3. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}_d, \mathcal{N}_d, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy generalized metric space induced by the metric d on X. The sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is contractive in (X, d) if and only if $\{x_n\}$ is intuitionistic fuzz generalized contractive in $(X, \mathcal{M}_d, \mathcal{N}_d, *, \diamond)$.

Definition 2.4. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy generalized metric space. A sequence is called $\{x_n\}$ G-Cauchy iff for each t > 0 and $p \in N$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathcal{M}(x_{n+p},\,x_{n+p},\,x_n,\,t)=1,\quad \lim_{n\to\infty}\mathcal{N}(x_{n+p},\,x_{n+p},\,x_n,\,t)=0.$$

A intuitionistic fuzzy generalized metric space in which every G-Cauchy sequence is convergent is called G-Complete.

Theorem 2.5. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, *, \diamond)$ be a complete non-Archimedean intuitionistic fuzzy generalized metric space where the continuous t-norm * is defined as min and continuous t-conorm \diamond is defined as max and $T: X \to X$ be a self mapping on X such that for each $x, y, z \in X, t > 0$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(\mathsf{Tx},\mathsf{Ty},\mathsf{Tz},\mathsf{t})} - 1\right) \leq \begin{cases}
\alpha\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x,y,z,t)} - 1\right) + \beta\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x,\mathsf{Tx},z,\mathsf{t})} - 1\right) + \gamma\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x,\mathsf{Ty},\mathsf{Tz},\mathsf{t})} - 1\right) \\
\delta\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(y,\mathsf{Ty},\mathsf{Tz},\mathsf{t})} - 1\right) + \eta\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(\mathsf{Tx},\mathsf{Ty},z,\mathsf{t})} - 1\right)
\end{cases} (2.5.1)$$

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathsf{Tx},\mathsf{Ty},\mathsf{Tz},t) \geq \left\{ \begin{matrix} \alpha \, \mathcal{N} \, (x,y,z,t) \, + \, \beta \, \mathcal{N} \, (x,\mathsf{Tx},z,t) + \gamma \, \mathcal{N}(x,\mathsf{Ty},\mathsf{Tz},t) \\ \delta \, \mathcal{N} \, (y,\mathsf{Ty},\mathsf{Tz},t) \, + \, \eta \, \mathcal{N}(\mathsf{Tx},\mathsf{Ty},z,t) \end{matrix} \right\} \! . \tag{2.5.2}$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \eta \in [0,1]$ and $k = \alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta + \eta < 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof: Let $x \in X$ and t > 0 be arbitrary and consider a sequence pickard iterations x_n , defined inductively by $x_0 = x$, $x_1 = x_0$, ..., $x_{n+1} = T(x_n)$ for each $n \in N$, we will show that x_n is fuzzy contractive. From (2.5.1) and (2.5.2) by replacing $x = x_n$, $y = x_{n+1}$ and $z = x_{n+1}$, we get

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, t)} - 1 \right) = \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n}, Tx_{n}, t)} - 1 \right)$$

$$\leq \begin{cases} \alpha \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x_{n-1}, x_{n}, x_{n}, t)} - 1 \right) + \beta \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, x_{n}, t)} - 1 \right) + \\ \gamma \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n}, Tx_{n}, t)} - 1 \right) + \delta \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x_{n}, Tx_{n}, Tx_{n}, t)} - 1 \right) + \\ \eta \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n}, x_{n}, t)} - 1 \right) + \beta \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x_{n-1}, x_{n}, x_{n}, t)} - 1 \right) + \\ \gamma \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x_{n-1}, x_{n}, x_{n}, t)} - 1 \right) + \delta \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n}, t)} - 1 \right) + \\ + \eta \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n}, t)} - 1 \right) \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{N}(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, t) = \mathcal{N}(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n}, Tx_{n}, t)$$

$$\geq \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \alpha \, \mathcal{N}(x_{n-1}, x_{n}, x_{n}, t) + \beta \, \mathcal{N}(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, x_{n}, t) + \\ \gamma \, \mathcal{N}(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n}, Tx_{n}, t) + \delta \, \mathcal{N}(x_{n}, Tx_{n}, Tx_{n}, t) + \eta \, \mathcal{N}(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n}, x_{n}, t) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\geq \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \alpha \, \mathcal{N}(x_{n-1}, x_{n}, x_{n}, t) + \beta \, \mathcal{N}(x_{n-1}, x_{n}, x_{n}, t) + \\ \gamma \, \mathcal{N}(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, t) + \delta \, \mathcal{N}(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, t) + \eta \, \mathcal{N}(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n}, t) \end{array} \right\} .$$

By our choice of t-norm * and t-conom \Diamond and triangular inequality in the above, we have

$$\begin{split} \left(& \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, t\right)} - 1 \right) \leq \left(\frac{1}{\min\{\mathcal{M}\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n}, t\right), \mathcal{M}\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, t\right)\}} - 1 \right) \\ &= \max \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, t\right)} - 1, \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, t\right)} - 1 \right) \end{split}$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, t) \ge \min \left\{ \mathcal{N}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_n, t), \mathcal{N}(x_n, x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, t) \right\}$$

$$= \min \left\{ \mathcal{N}(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, t), \mathcal{N}(x_n, x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, t) \right\}$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, t)} - 1 \right)$$

$$\le (\alpha + \beta + \delta + \eta) \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n, t)} - 1, \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, t)} - 1 \right\}$$

$$+\gamma \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x_{n}, x_{n}, x_{n+1}, t)} - 1, \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x_{n}, x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, t)} - 1 \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, t) \ge (\alpha + \beta + \delta + \eta) \min \{ \mathcal{N}(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n, t), \mathcal{N}(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, t) \} + \gamma \min \{ \mathcal{N}(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}, t), \mathcal{N}(x_n, x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, t) \},$$

hence,

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, t)} - 1\right) \le k \max\left\{\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x_{n-1}, x_{n}, x_{n}, t)} - 1, \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, t)} - 1\right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, t) \ge k \min \{ \mathcal{N}(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n, t), \mathcal{N}(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, t) \},$$

where k < 1, this implies

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, t)} - 1\right) \le k \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x_{n-1}, x_{n}, x_{n}, t)} - 1\right)$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, t) \ge k \mathcal{N}(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n, t).$$

So, sequence $\{x_n\}$ is intuitionistic fuzzy generalized contractive sequence.

Since $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, *, \diamond)$ is a complete intuitionistic fuzzy generalized metric space.

So, sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to u for some $u \in X$. Now, we shall show u is fixed point of T. From (2.5.1) and (2.5.2), we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}\left(\mathrm{Tu},\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}},\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}},t\right)}-1 \end{pmatrix} \leq \\ \begin{cases} \alpha\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}\left(\mathrm{u},\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}},\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}},t\right)}-1 \right)+\beta\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}\left(\mathrm{u},\mathrm{Tu},\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}},t\right)}-1 \right)+\gamma\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}\left(\mathrm{u},\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}},\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}},t\right)}-1 \right)+ \\ \delta\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}},\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}},\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}},t\right)}-1 \right)+\eta\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}\left(\mathrm{Tu},\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}},\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}},t\right)}-1 \right) \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{Tu},\,\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}},\,\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}},\,t) \geq \begin{cases} \alpha\,\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{u},\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}},\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}},t) + \,\beta\,\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{u},\mathrm{Tu},\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}},t) + \,\gamma\,\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{u},\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}},\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}},t) + \\ \delta\,\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}},\,\,\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}},\,\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}},t) + \,\eta\,\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{Tu},\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}},\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}},t) \end{cases} + \begin{cases} \alpha\,\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{u},\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}},\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}},t) + \,\beta\,\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{u},\mathrm{Tu},\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}},t) + \,\gamma\,\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{u},\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}},\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}},t) + \,\beta\,\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{u},\mathrm{Tu},\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}},t) + \,\beta\,\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{u},\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}},\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}},t) + \,\beta\,\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{u},\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}},t) + \,\beta\,\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{u},\mathrm{u},\mathrm{u},t) + \,\beta\,\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{u},\mathrm{u},\mathrm{u},t) + \,\beta\,\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{u},\mathrm{u},t) + \,$$

Taking the limit as $n \to \infty$, we obtain,

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}\left(\mathrm{Tu},\mathrm{u},\mathrm{u},\mathrm{t}\right)}-1\right) \leq \left\{\beta\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}\left(\mathrm{u},\mathrm{Tu},\mathrm{u},\mathrm{t}\right)}-1\right)+\eta\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}\left(\mathrm{Tu},\mathrm{u},\mathrm{u},\mathrm{t}\right)}-1\right)\right\}$$

$$\leq k\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}\left(\mathrm{u},\mathrm{u},\mathrm{Tu},t\right)}-1\right)$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}(Tu, u, u, t) \ge \{\beta \mathcal{N}(u, Tu, u, t) + \eta \mathcal{N}(Tu, u, u, t)\} \ge k \mathcal{N}(u, u, Tu, t).$$

Since k < 1, we have $\mathcal{M}(u, u, Tu, t) = 1$ and $\mathcal{N}(u, u, Tu, t) = 0$. Thus Tu = u.

Uniqueness: Suppose there exist $v \in X$ such that Tv = v and $v \neq u$. Now, we consider

$$\mathcal{M}(u, v, v, t) = \mathcal{M}(Tu, Tv, Tv, t)$$

$$\leq \begin{cases} \alpha \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M} (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{t})} - 1 \right) + \beta \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M} (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{T}\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{t})} - 1 \right) + \gamma \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M} (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{T}\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{T}\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{t})} - 1 \right) + \\ \delta \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M} (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{T}\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{T}\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{t})} - 1 \right) + \eta \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M} (\mathbf{T}\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{T}\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}, t)} - 1 \right) \end{cases}$$

$$\leq \begin{cases} \alpha \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M} (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{t})} - 1 \right) + \beta \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M} (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{t})} - 1 \right) + \gamma \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M} (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{t})} - 1 \right) + \beta \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M} (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{t})} - 1 \right) + \beta \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M} (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{t})} - 1 \right) + \beta \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M} (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{t})} - 1 \right) \end{cases}$$

$$\leq (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \eta) \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M} (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{t})} - 1 \right)$$

$$\leq k \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M} (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{t})} - 1 \right)$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}, t) = \mathcal{N}(\mathsf{T}\mathbf{u}, \mathsf{T}\mathbf{v}, \mathsf{T}\mathbf{v}, t)$$

$$\geq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha \, \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}, t) + \beta \, \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{u}, \mathsf{T}\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, t) + \gamma \, \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{u}, \mathsf{T}\mathbf{v}, \mathsf{T}\mathbf{v}, t) + \\ \delta \, \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{v}, \mathsf{T}\mathbf{v}, \mathsf{T}\mathbf{v}, t) + \eta \, \mathcal{N}(\mathsf{T}\mathbf{u}, \mathsf{T}\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}, t) + \\ \geq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha \, \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}, t) + \beta \, \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, t) + \gamma \, \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}, t) + \\ \delta \, \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}, t) + \eta \, \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}, t) + \\ \geq (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \eta) \, \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}, t) \geq k \, \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}, t). \end{array} \right.$$

Since k < 1, we have $\mathcal{M}(u, v, v, t) = 1$ and $\mathcal{N}(u, v, v, t) = 0$. Then u = v. Therefore u is fixed point of T.

Theorem 2.6. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, *, \diamond)$ be a G-complete intuitionistic fuzzy generalized metric space, where the continuous *t*-norm * is defined as min and continuous *t*-conorm is defined as max and $T: X \to X$ be self mapping on X such that for each $x, y, z \in X$, t > 0, $k \in (0, 1)$.

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}\left(\mathsf{Tx},\mathsf{Ty},\mathsf{Tz},\mathsf{t}\right)}-1\right) \leq \begin{cases} \alpha\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}\left(x,y,z,t\right)}-1\right) + \beta\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}\left(x,\mathsf{Tx},z,\mathsf{t}\right)}-1\right) + \gamma\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}\left(x,\mathsf{Ty},\mathsf{Tz},\mathsf{t}\right)}-1\right) + \\ \delta\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}\left(y,\mathsf{Ty},\mathsf{Tz},2t\right)} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}\left(\mathsf{Tx},\mathsf{Ty},z,2t\right)}-2\right) \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{N}\left(\mathsf{Tx},\mathsf{Ty},\mathsf{Tz},t\right) \geq \begin{cases} \alpha\,\mathcal{N}\left(x,y,z,t\right) + \beta\,\mathcal{N}\left(x,\mathsf{Tx},z,t\right) + \gamma\,\mathcal{N}\left(x,\mathsf{Ty},\mathsf{Tz},t\right) + \\ \delta\,\left\{\mathcal{N}\left(y,\mathsf{Ty},\mathsf{Tz},2t\right) + \mathcal{N}\left(\mathsf{Tx},\mathsf{Ty},z,2t\right)\right\} \end{cases}.$$

Where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in [0, 1]$ and $k = \alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta < 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof: The proof is very similar as the Theorem (2.5). Instead of this equation (2.5.1) and (2.5.2) we have

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x_{n-1},x_{n+1},x_{n+1},2t)}-1\right) &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\min\{\mathcal{M}(x_{n+1},x_{n+1},x_{n},t),\mathcal{M}(x_{n},x_{n-1},x_{n-1},t)\}}-1\right) \\ &= \max\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x_{n},x_{n+1},x_{n+1},t)}-1,\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x_{n},x_{n-1},x_{n-1},t)}-1\right) \text{ and } \\ \mathcal{N}(x_{n-1},x_{n+1},x_{n+1},2t) &\geq \min\{\mathcal{N}(x_{n+1},x_{n+1},x_{n},t),\ \mathcal{N}(x_{n},x_{n-1},x_{n-1},t)\} \\ &= \min\{\mathcal{N}(x_{n},x_{n+1},x_{n+1},t),\ \mathcal{N}(x_{n},x_{n-1},x_{n-1},t)\}. \end{split}$$

Proceed as the proof of the Theorem (2.5). Then, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is intuitionistic fuzzy generalized contractive, Thus, by Definition (2.4) is G-Cauchy. Since X is G-complete, $\{x_n\}$ converges to u for some $u \in X$. Instead of (2.5), we find

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}\left(\mathsf{Tu},\mathsf{Tx}_{n},\mathsf{Tx}_{n},t\right)}-1\right) \leq \\ \left\{\alpha\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}\left(\mathsf{u},\mathsf{x}_{n},\mathsf{x}_{n},t\right)}-1\right)+\beta\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}\left(\mathsf{u},\mathsf{Tu},\mathsf{x}_{n},t\right)}-1\right)+\gamma\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}\left(\mathsf{u},\mathsf{Tx}_{n},\mathsf{Tx}_{n},t\right)}-1\right)+\right\} \\ \delta\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}\left(\mathsf{x}_{n},\mathsf{Tx}_{n},\mathsf{Tx}_{n},2t\right)}+\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}\left(\mathsf{Tu},\mathsf{Tx}_{n},\mathsf{x}_{n},2t\right)}-2\right) \\ \mathcal{N}(\mathsf{Tu},\mathsf{Tx}_{n},\mathsf{Tx}_{n},t) \geq & \left\{\alpha\,\mathcal{N}\left(\mathsf{u},\mathsf{x}_{n},\mathsf{x}_{n},t\right)+\beta\,\mathcal{N}\left(\mathsf{u},\mathsf{Tu},\mathsf{x}_{n},t\right)+\gamma\,\mathcal{N}\left(\mathsf{u},\mathsf{Tx}_{n},\mathsf{Tx}_{n},t\right)+\right\} \\ \delta\left(\mathcal{N}\left(\mathsf{x}_{n},\mathsf{Tx}_{n},\mathsf{Tx}_{n},2t\right)+\mathcal{N}\left(\mathsf{Tu},\mathsf{Tx}_{n},\mathsf{x}_{n},2t\right)\right) \\ \end{array} \right\}.$$

Taking the limit as $n \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}\left(\mathsf{Tu},\mathsf{u},\mathsf{u},\mathsf{t}\right)}-1\right)$$

$$\leq \left\{\beta\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}\left(\mathsf{u},\mathsf{Tu},\mathsf{u},t\right)}-1\right)+\delta\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}\left(\mathsf{Tu},\mathsf{u},\mathsf{u},2t\right)}-1\right)\right\} \leq k\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}\left(\mathsf{u},\mathsf{u},\mathsf{Tu},t\right)}-1\right),$$

$$\mathcal{N}(Tu,u,u,t) \geq \left\{\beta\,\mathcal{N}\left(\mathsf{u},\mathsf{Tu},\mathsf{u},t\right)+\delta\,\mathcal{N}\left(\mathsf{Tu},\mathsf{u},\mathsf{u},2t\right)\right\} \geq k\,\mathcal{N}(u,u,Tu,t).$$

Since k < 1, we have $\mathcal{M}(u, u, Tu, t) = 1$ and $\mathcal{N}(Tu, u, u, t) = 0$.

Thus Tu = u. It is found that fixed point is unique.

Remark 2.7. A similar proof, it is found that the generalized contraction condition (2.5.1) and (2.5.2) are equivalent to following:

П

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(\mathsf{Tx},\mathsf{Ty},\mathsf{Tz},\mathsf{t})}-1\right) \leq k \max \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x,y,z,t)}-1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x,\mathsf{Tx},z,\mathsf{t})}-1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x,\mathsf{Ty},\mathsf{Tz},\mathsf{t})}-1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x,\mathsf{Ty},\mathsf{Tz},\mathsf{t})}-1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x,\mathsf{Ty},\mathsf{Tz},\mathsf{t})}-1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(\mathsf{Tx},\mathsf{Ty},\mathsf{Tz},\mathsf{t})}-1 \right) \leq k \max \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x,y,z,t)}-1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x,\mathsf{Tx},z,\mathsf{t})}-1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x,\mathsf{Ty},\mathsf{Tz},\mathsf{t})}-1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x,\mathsf{Ty},\mathsf{Tz},\mathsf{t}$$

Respectively, where $k \in [0, 1]$.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to the editor and the anonymous referees for their valuable comments and useful suggestions in improving the article.

References

- [1] Atanassov, K. T. (1986) Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy sets and Systems, 20(1), 87–96.
- [2] George, A., & Veeramani, P. (1994) On some results in fuzzy metric space, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 64, 395–399.
- [3] Heilpern, S. (1981) Fuzzy mappings and fixed point theorem, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 83, 566–569.
- [4] Jiao, Z. (2012) On fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 2012, Article ID 474983, 9 pages.
- [5] Kramosil, I., & Michalek, J. (1975) Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, *Kybernetica*, 11, 326–334.
- [6] Mihet, D. (2004) A Banach Contraction theorem in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 144, 431–439.
- [7] Muthuraj, R., Sornavalli, M., & Jeyaraman, M. (2017) Common coupled fixed point theorems in generalized intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*, 23(1), 57–69.
- [8] Park, J. H. (2004) Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, 22, 1039–1046.
- [9] Rafi, M., & Noorani, M. S. M. (2006) Fixed point theorems on intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, 3, 23–29.
- [10] Saadati, R., Sedgi, S., & Shobe, N. (2008) Modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric space and somefixed point theorems, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, 38, 36–47.
- [11] Veerapandi, T., Jeyaraman, M., & Paul Raj Joseph, J. (2009) Some fixed point and coincident point theorem in generalized M-fuzzy metric space, *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*, 3, 627–635.
- [12] Sintunavarat, W., & Kumam, P. (2011) Fixed Point Theorems for a Generalized Intuitionistic Fuzzy Contraction Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces, *Thai Journal of Mathematics*, 10(1), 123–135.
- [13] Onsod, W., & Kumar, P. (2016) Common fixed point results for (ϕ, ψ) -weak contraction mappings via f- α -admissible mappings in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *Communications in Mathematics and Applications*, 7(3), 167–178.
- [14] Zadeh, L. A. (1965) Fuzzy sets, Information and Control, 8, 338–353.