

# On the Poincaré recurrence theorem on IF-sets

Jaroslav Považan

Faculty of Natural Sciences, Matej Bel University

Tajovského 40, Banská Bystrica, Slovakia

e-mail: jaroslav.povazan@umb.sk

**Abstract:** The Recurrence theorem by Poincaré is one of basic results of the standard ergodic theory. In classical sense the main structure is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of sets and the measure-preserving maps are represented by preimages of classical maps. In this article we change the  $\sigma$ -algebra by a family  $\mathcal{F}$  of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets (IF-sets), which were introduced by Krassimir T. Atanassov, and the probability by an IF-state.

**Keywords:** recurrence theorem, IF-sets, s-preserving mappings, IF-state.

**AMS Classification:** 03E72.

## 1 Introduction

In a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, P)$ , we say that  $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  is P-preserving, iff it holds

$$(\forall A \in \mathcal{S}) (P(T^{-1}(A)) = P(A)) .$$

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, P)$  be a probability space,  $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  a measure preserving map. Consider  $A \in \mathcal{S}$ . The classical Poincaré recurrence theorem tells that almost every point  $x$  of  $A$  returns to  $A$  infinitely many times. Hence to any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $n \geq k$  such that  $T^n(x) \in A$ , i.e.  $x \in T^{-n}(A)$ . Hence we have for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$P\left(A \setminus \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} T^{-n}(A)\right) = 0.$$

In the article we are going to use IF-sets instead of sets, IF-states instead of a probability, and s-preserving maps instead of measure preserving maps.

IF-sets are ordered pairs of fuzzy sets. Elements of pair can be represented as measure of agreement and disagreement with some statement. Concept of IF-sets was introduced by K.T.

Atanassov in the monograph [1]. It is a good model for those statements or events, for which we cannot exactly set measure of truth.

I was inspired by Beloslav Riečan, who has shown and proved some variations of the recurrence theorem on some other structures in the article [9].

## 2 IF-sets

**Definition 1.** Let  $\Omega$  be a nonempty set. A mapping  $A : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]^2$  is called an IF-subset of the set  $\Omega$  iff:

$$(\forall x \in \Omega) (A(x) = (f(x), g(x)) \Rightarrow f(x) + g(x) \leq 1).$$

On the system  $\mathbb{IF}$  of all IF-subsets of the set  $\Omega$  we define the partial ordering  $\leq_{IF}$  by

$$(f, g) \leq_{IF} (h, k) \Leftrightarrow f \leq h \ \& \ g \geq k$$

and the operations  $\oplus, \odot, \neg$  by

$$\begin{aligned} (f, g) \oplus (h, k) &= (\min(f + h, 1_\Omega), \max(g + k - 1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)) \\ (f, g) \odot (h, k) &= (\max(f + h - 1_\Omega, 0_\Omega), \min(g + k, 1_\Omega)) \\ \neg(f, g) &= (g, f). \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 2.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a system of IF-subsets of a nonempty set  $\Omega$  closed under the operations  $\oplus, \odot, \neg$ . A mapping  $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called an IF-state iff:

- $(\forall (0_\Omega, g) \in \mathcal{F}) (m((0_\Omega, g)) = 0),$
- $(\forall A, B \in \mathcal{F}) (A \leq_{IF} B \Rightarrow m(A) \leq m(B)),$
- $(m(A_i \odot A_j) = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j) \Rightarrow \left( (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n m(A_i) \leq 1 \right) \right)$

**Definition 3.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a system of IF-subsets of a nonempty set  $\Omega$  closed under the operations  $\oplus, \odot, \neg$ . Let  $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function on  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $T : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  be a mapping. Then  $T$  is called  $m$ -preserving iff:

- $(\forall (0_\Omega, g) \in \mathcal{F}) (T((0_\Omega, g)) = (0_\Omega, g)),$
- $(\forall A, B \in \mathcal{F}) (A \leq_{IF} B \Rightarrow T(A) \leq_{IF} T(B)),$
- $(\forall A, B \in \mathcal{F}) (T(A \odot B) = T(A) \odot T(B)),$
- $(\forall A \in \mathcal{F}) (m(T(A)) = m(A))$

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the system of IF-subsets of a nonempty set  $\Omega$  closed under the operations  $\oplus, \odot, \neg$ . Let  $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be an IF-state. Let  $T : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  be an  $m$ -preserving mapping. Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$m \left( A \odot \bigwedge_{j=k}^{\infty} \bigodot_{i=k}^j T^i(\neg A) \right) = 0.$$

*Proof.* First, we show that for arbitrary  $C \in \mathcal{F}$  there holds

$$m(C \odot \neg C) = 0.$$

Let  $C = (f, g)$ . Put

$$D = (f, g) \odot \neg(f, g) = (f, g) \odot (g, f) = (\max(f + g - 1_\Omega, 0_\Omega), \min(g + f, 1_\Omega)).$$

But  $C \in \mathcal{F}$ , hence

$$D = (0_\Omega, f + g) \in \mathcal{F}, \text{ hence } m(D) = 0.$$

Put  $B = A \odot \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigodot_{i=1}^n T^i(\neg A)$ . Hence

$$B \leq_{IF} T^n(\neg A) \text{ and also } T^n(B) \leq_{IF} T^n(A).$$

From that for all positive integer  $n$ , there is

$$B \odot T^n(B) \leq_{IF} T^n(\neg A) \odot T^n(A) = T((0_\Omega, h)) = (0_\Omega, h).$$

Hence

$$m(B \odot T^n(B)) = 0 \text{ and also } m(T^i(B) \odot T^j(B)) = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j.$$

From weak additivity of the function  $m$  and from the fact that  $T$  is  $m$ -preserving, we get

$$nm(B) = \sum_{i=1}^n m(T^i(B)) \leq 1.$$

From that necessarily  $m(B) = 0$ .

If we change  $T$  by  $T^k$ , we get

$$m\left(A \odot \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigodot_{i=1}^n T^{ik}(\neg A)\right) = 0.$$

But

$$A \odot \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigodot_{i=1}^n T^{ik}(\neg A) \geq A \odot \bigwedge_{n=k}^{\infty} \bigodot_{i=k}^n T^i(\neg A).$$

Hence

$$0 \leq m\left(A \odot \bigwedge_{n=k}^{\infty} \bigodot_{i=k}^n T^i(\neg A)\right) \leq m\left(A \odot \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigodot_{i=1}^n T^{ik}(\neg A)\right) = 0.$$

Hence the proof. □

### 3 Conclusions

This article showed variation of recurrence theorem in language of IF-sets. In [9] there was proved the theorem for any MV algebra. Any family of IF sets can be embedded to an MV algebra, of course usually  $\neg(f, g) = (1 - f, 1 - g)$  what is not an element of IF sets. Therefore our version of the Poincaré recurrence theorem does not follow from the version stated in [9].

## Acknowledgments

The support of the grant VEGA 1/0120/14 is kindly announced.

## References

- [1] Atanassov, K.T. (1999) *Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets: Theory and Applications*. Springer, Heidelberg.
- [2] Bennett, M. K. & D. J. Foulis (1994) Effect algebras and unsharp quantum logics. *Foundations of Physics*, 24, 1331–1352.
- [3] Dvurečenskij, A. (1976) On some properties of transformation of a logic. *Math. Slovaca*, 26, 131–137.
- [4] Chovanec, F. & F. Kôpka (1994) D-posets. *Math. Slovaca*, 44, 21–34.
- [5] Kôpka, F. (2008) Quasiproduct on Boolean D-posets. *Int. J. Theor. Physics*, 47, 26–35.
- [6] Maličský, P. (2007) Category version of the Poincaré recurrence theorem. *Topology Appl.*, 154, 2709–2713.
- [7] Mundici, D. (2011) *Advanced Lukasiewicz calculus and MV-algebras*. Springer, New York.
- [8] Poincaré, H. (1889) *Les methodes nouvelles de la mecanique classique celeste*, Vol. 3, Gauthiers-Villars, Paris.
- [9] Riečan, B. (2013) Variation on a Poincaré theorem. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 232, 39–45.
- [10] Riečan, B. & D. Mundici (2002) Probability on MV-algebras. In: *Handbook of Measure Theory* (E. Pap. ed.), Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 869– 909.
- [11] Riečan, B. & T. Nebrunn (1997) *Integral, Measure, and Ordering*. Kluwer, Dordrecht.