

On properties of some IFS operators and operations

Qionsun Liu, Cui Ma*, Xiandong Zhou

*College of Mathematics and Physics, Chongqing University, Chongqing 400044,
PR China*

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to point out and correct some errors of two theorems for IFSs in Atanassov (Two theorems for intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 2000) and bring forward a new theorem for IFSs. In the end, some elementary, but non-standard equalities between IFSs were formulated.

Keywords: Fuzzy sets; Intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS); Operator; Operation

Intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) introduced by Atanassov [1] has become a popular topic of investigation in the fuzzy set community [11,12,13]. There exists a large amount of literature involving IFS theory and applications [7-10]. The aim of this paper is to point out and correct some errors in Atanassov [6] and bring forward a new theorem for IFSs. In the end, we formulate some elementary, but non-standard equalities between IFSs.

Definition 1 (see Atanassov [1]). Let E denote a universe of discourse. Then an intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) defined on E is given as follows:

$$A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in E \}$$

where the functions $\mu_A(x) : E \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\nu_A(x) : E \rightarrow [0, 1]$ define, respectively, the degree of membership and the degree of non-membership of the element $x \in E$ to the set A , which is a subset of E , and for every $x \in E$, $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \leq 1$.

*Corresponding author. No.174, Shazheng Road, Shapingba District, Chongqing, China. Tel:+8602365122872.

E-mail address: macui4956@163.com (Cui Ma)

Furthermore, we call $\pi_A(x) = 1 - \mu_A(x) - \nu_A(x)$ the intuitionistic index of x in A . It is a hesitancy degree of x to A . It is obvious that $0 \leq \pi_A(x) \leq 1$, for each $x \in E$.

Definition 2 (See Atanassov [1]). Let $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in E \}$ and $B = \{ \langle x, \mu_B(x), \nu_B(x) \rangle \mid x \in E \}$ be two IFSs. We define the following relations:

- (1) $A \subset B$ iff $(\forall x \in E) \mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x)$ and $\nu_A(x) \geq \nu_B(x)$;
- (2) $A = B$ iff $(\forall x \in E) \mu_A(x) = \mu_B(x)$ and $\nu_A(x) = \nu_B(x)$.

Atanassov [1, 2, 3] introduced the following IFS operators. For every IFS A

$$C(A) = \{ \langle x, K, L \rangle \mid x \in E \}$$

where

$$K = \max_{x \in E} \mu_A(x), \quad L = \min_{x \in E} \nu_A(x),$$

$$I(A) = \{ \langle x, k, l \rangle \mid x \in E \}$$

where

$$k = \max_{x \in E} \mu_A(x), \quad l = \min_{x \in E} \nu_A(x).$$

Let $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ be fixed numbers. The following operators are defined and their properties are studied in [4]:

$$D_\alpha(A) = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x) + \alpha \cdot \pi_A(x), \nu_A(x) + (1 - \alpha) \cdot \pi_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in E \};$$

$$F_{\alpha, \beta}(A) = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x) + \alpha \cdot \pi_A(x), \nu_A(x) + \beta \cdot \pi_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in E \}, \text{ for } \alpha + \beta \leq 1;$$

$$H_{\alpha, \beta}(A) = \{ \langle x, \alpha \cdot \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) + \beta \cdot \pi_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in E \};$$

$$J_{\alpha, \beta}(A) = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x) + \alpha \cdot \pi_A(x), \beta \cdot \nu_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in E \};$$

$$H_{\alpha, \beta}^*(A) = \{ \langle x, \alpha \cdot \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) + \beta \cdot (1 - \alpha \cdot \mu_A(x) - \nu_A(x)) \rangle \mid x \in E \};$$

$$J_{\alpha, \beta}^*(A) = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x) + \alpha \cdot (1 - \mu_A(x) - \beta \cdot \nu_A(x)), \beta \cdot \nu_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in E \};$$

$$P_{\alpha, \beta}(A) = \{ \langle x, \max(\alpha, \mu_A(x)), \min(\beta, \nu_A(x)) \rangle \mid x \in E \}, \text{ for } \alpha + \beta \leq 1;$$

$$Q_{\alpha, \beta}(A) = \{ \langle x, \min(\alpha, \mu_A(x)), \max(\beta, \nu_A(x)) \rangle \mid x \in E \}, \text{ for } \alpha + \beta \leq 1.$$

Definition 3 (See Atanassov [6]). Let the IFS A over the universe E be called essential, if there exists at least one $x \in E$ for which $\pi_A(x) > 0$.

Theorem 1 (See Atanassov [6]). Let A, B be two essential IFSs, for which there are $y, z \in E$ such that $\mu_A(y) > 0$ and $\mu_B(z) > 0$. If $C(A) \subset I(B)$, then there are real numbers $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in [0, 1]$, such that $J_{\alpha, \beta}(A) \subset H_{\gamma, \delta}(B)$.

In the process of the proof to the theorem in paper [6], the author let

$$a = \max_{x \in E} \pi_A(x) > 0$$

and

$$b = \max_{x \in E} \pi_B(x) > 0,$$

then constructed

$$\alpha = \frac{k - K}{2a}, \quad \beta = \frac{L + l}{2L}, \quad \gamma = \frac{K + k}{2k}, \quad \delta = \frac{L - l}{2b}.$$

It is noted that definition of α and δ in paper [6] is not correct, because it does not ensure that $\alpha, \delta \in [0, 1]$, so the process of the proof does not make sense, too.

Example 1. Let the universe $U = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, the two IFSs

$$A = \{ \langle x_1, 0.5, 0.5 \rangle, \langle x_2, 0.1, 0.8 \rangle, \langle x_3, 0.4, 0.5 \rangle \}$$

$$B = \{ \langle x_1, 0.8, 0.2 \rangle, \langle x_2, 0.8, 0.1 \rangle, \langle x_3, 0.9, 0.1 \rangle \}$$

thus, we have,

$$K = \max_{x \in E} \mu_A(x) = 0.5, \quad k = \min_{x \in E} \mu_B(x) = 0.8, \quad l = \max_{x \in E} \nu_B(x) = 0.2,$$

$$L = \min_{x \in E} \nu_A(x) = 0.5, \quad a = \max_{x \in E} \pi_A(x) = 0.1, \quad b = \max_{x \in E} \pi_B(x) = 0.1.$$

It is obvious that

$$\alpha = \frac{k - K}{2a} = \frac{0.8 - 0.5}{2 \times 0.1} = 1.5 > 1$$

and

$$\delta = \frac{L - l}{2b} = \frac{0.5 - 0.2}{2 \times 0.1} = 1.5 > 1.$$

which does not ensure $\alpha, \delta \in [0, 1]$, it shows that the process of the proof is not correct, too.

We modify α, δ as the following forms:

$$\alpha = \frac{k - K}{2}, \quad \delta = \frac{L - l}{2},$$

then

$$J_{\frac{k-K}{2}, \frac{L+l}{2L}}(A) = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x) + \frac{k-K}{2} \pi_A(x), \frac{L+l}{2L} \nu_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in E \},$$

$$H_{\frac{k+K}{2k}, \frac{L-l}{2}}(B) = \{ \langle x, \frac{k+K}{2k} \mu_B(x), \nu_B(x) + \frac{L-l}{2} \pi_B(x) \rangle \mid x \in E \}.$$

From

$$\mu_A(x) + \frac{k-K}{2} \pi_A(x) \leq K + \frac{k-K}{2} \cdot 1 = \frac{k+K}{2} \leq \frac{k+K}{2k} \mu_B(x)$$

and

$$\nu_B(x) + \frac{L-l}{2}\pi_B(x) \leq l + \frac{L-l}{2} \cdot 1 = \frac{L+l}{2} \leq \frac{L+l}{2L}\nu_A(x)$$

It follows that $J_{\alpha,\beta}(A) \subset H_{\gamma,\delta}(B)$. \square

Corollary 1. Let A, B be two essential IFSs, for which there are $y, z \in E$ such that $\mu_A(y) > 0$ and $\mu_B(z) > 0$. If $C(A) \subset I(B)$, then there are real numbers $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in [0, 1]$, such that $J_{\alpha,\beta}^*(A) \subset H_{\gamma,\delta}^*(B)$.

Proof. Similar to Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. Let A, B be two essential IFSs, for which there are $y, z \in E$ such that $\mu_A(y) > 0$ and $\mu_B(z) > 0$. If $C(A) \subset I(B)$, then there are real numbers $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in [0, 1]$ such that $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$ and $F_{\alpha,\beta}(A) \subset H_{\gamma,\delta}(B)$.

Proof. Let $C(A) \subset I(B)$. Therefore,

$$0 < \mu_A(y) \leq \max_{x \in E} \mu_A(x) = K \leq k = \min_{x \in E} \mu_B(x)$$

and

$$0 < \mu_B(z) \leq \max_{x \in E} \nu_B(x) = l \leq L = \min_{x \in E} \nu_A(x).$$

Let

$$\alpha = \frac{k-K}{2}, \quad \forall \beta, 0 \leq \beta \leq 1 - \alpha, \quad \gamma = \frac{K+k}{2k}, \quad \delta = \frac{L-l}{2},$$

then

$$F_{\frac{k-K}{2}, \beta}(A) = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x) + \frac{k-K}{2}\pi_A(x), \nu_A(x) + \beta \cdot \pi_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in E \},$$

$$H_{\frac{k+K}{2k}, \frac{L-l}{2}}(B) = \{ \langle x, \frac{k+K}{2k}\mu_B(x), \nu_B(x) + \frac{L-l}{2}\pi_B(x) \rangle \mid x \in E \}.$$

From

$$\mu_A(x) + \frac{k-K}{2}\pi_A(x) \leq K + \frac{k-K}{2} \cdot 1 = \frac{k+K}{2} \leq \frac{k+K}{2k}\mu_B(x)$$

and

$$\nu_B(x) + \frac{L-l}{2}\pi_B(x) \leq l + \frac{L-l}{2} \cdot 1 = \frac{L+l}{2} \leq \frac{L+l}{2L}\nu_A(x) \leq \nu_A(x) \leq \nu_A(x) + \beta \cdot \pi_A(x)$$

It follows that $F_{\alpha,\beta}(A) \subset H_{\gamma,\delta}(B)$. \square

It is easily seen that with the same hypotheses, if $\beta = 1 - \alpha$, then $D_\alpha(A) \subset H_{\gamma,\delta}(B)$.

Corollary 2. Let A, B be two essential IFSs, for which there are $y, z \in E$ such that $\mu_A(y) > 0$ and $\mu_B(z) > 0$. If $C(A) \subset I(B)$, then there are real numbers $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in [0, 1]$, such that $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$ and $J_{\alpha,\beta}(A) \subset F_{\gamma,\delta}(B)$.

Proof. Let

$$\alpha = \frac{k - K}{2}, \quad \beta = \frac{L + l}{2L}, \quad \delta = \frac{L - l}{2}, \quad \forall \gamma, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1 - \delta.$$

Then it is similar to Theorem 2.

It is easily seen that with the same hypotheses, if $\gamma = 1 - \delta$, then $J_{\alpha, \beta}(A) \subset D_\delta(B)$.

Theorem 3. For every two IFSs A and B , $C(A) \subset I(B)$, iff there exist two real numbers $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$, such that $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$ and $P_{\alpha, \beta}(A) = Q_{\alpha, \beta}(B)$.

It is noted that conclusion in paper [6] is $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$ and $P_{\alpha, \beta}(A) \subset Q_{\alpha, \beta}(B)$, now we illuminate that the conclusion is $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$ and $P_{\alpha, \beta}(A) = Q_{\alpha, \beta}(B)$.

Proof. The necessity of the proof to the proposition is the same as paper [6]. Actually, we just need to construct α and β as any real numbers satisfying $\alpha \in [K, k]$ and $\beta \in [l, L]$, respectively. We demonstrate that $P_{\alpha, \beta}(A) \subset Q_{\alpha, \beta}(B)$ is $P_{\alpha, \beta}(A) = Q_{\alpha, \beta}(B)$ virtually.

Let there be $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ such that $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$ and let $P_{\alpha, \beta}(A) = Q_{\alpha, \beta}(B)$. Then, $(\forall x \in E)$

$$\max(\mu_A(x), \alpha) = \min(\mu_B(x), \alpha) \quad (1)$$

and

$$\min(\nu_A(x), \beta) = \max(\nu_B(x), \beta) \quad (2)$$

Therefore, $(\forall x \in E)$

if $\alpha < \mu_A(x)$, then $\max(\mu_A(x), \alpha) = \mu_A(x)$.

Under the condition of $\alpha < \mu_A(x)$, if $\alpha \leq \mu_B(x)$, then $\min(\mu_B(x), \alpha) = \alpha$, which contradicts formula (1). On the other hand, if $\alpha > \mu_B(x)$, then $\min(\mu_B(x), \alpha) = \mu_B(x)$, which contradicts (1), too. So we have $\alpha \geq \mu_A(x)$, that is $\max(\mu_A(x), \alpha) = \alpha$.

Under the condition of $\alpha \geq \mu_A(x)$, if $\alpha > \mu_B(x)$, then $\min(\mu_B(x), \alpha) = \mu_B(x)$, which contradicts formula (1). So $\alpha \leq \mu_B(x)$, that is $\min(\mu_B(x), \alpha) = \alpha$. Based on the above analysis, it is seen that (1) is equivalent to $\mu_A(x) \leq \alpha \leq \mu_B(x)$, $(\forall x \in E)$.

By the same way, (2) is equivalent to $\nu_B(x) \leq \beta \leq \nu_A(x)$, $(\forall x \in E)$.

Consequently, the conclusion of “ There be $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ such that $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$ and $P_{\alpha, \beta}(A) \subset Q_{\alpha, \beta}(B)$ ” is equivalent to $\alpha \in [K, k]$ and $\beta \in [l, L]$, which is $C(A) \subset I(B)$ equivalently. Therefore, the conclusion is there be $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ such that $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$ and $P_{\alpha, \beta}(A) = Q_{\alpha, \beta}(B)$. \square

On two IFSs A and B , the following (and other) operations are defined (See Atanassov [1, 5]):

$$\begin{aligned}
A \cap B &= \{ \langle x, \min(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)), \max(\nu_A(x), \nu_B(x)) \rangle \mid x \in E \}; \\
A \cup B &= \{ \langle x, \max(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)), \min(\nu_A(x), \nu_B(x)) \rangle \mid x \in E \}; \\
A + B &= \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x) + \mu_B(x) - \mu_A(x)\mu_B(x), \nu_A(x)\nu_B(x) \rangle \mid x \in E \}; \\
A \cdot B &= \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x)\mu_B(x), \nu_A(x) + \nu_B(x) - \nu_A(x)\nu_B(x) \rangle \mid x \in E \}; \\
A @ B &= \{ \langle x, \frac{\mu_A(x) + \mu_B(x)}{2}, \frac{\nu_A(x) + \nu_B(x)}{2} \rangle \mid x \in E \}; \\
A \$ B &= \{ \langle x, \sqrt{\mu_A(x)\mu_B(x)}, \sqrt{\nu_A(x)\nu_B(x)} \rangle \mid x \in E \}; \\
A \# B &= \{ \langle x, \frac{2\mu_A(x)\mu_B(x)}{\mu_A(x) + \mu_B(x)}, \frac{2\nu_A(x)\nu_B(x)}{\nu_A(x) + \nu_B(x)} \rangle \mid x \in E \};
\end{aligned}$$

for which we shall accept that if $\mu_A(x) = \mu_B(x) = 0$, then

$$\frac{\mu_A(x)\mu_B(x)}{\mu_A(x) + \mu_B(x)} = 0$$

and if $\nu_A(x) = \nu_B(x) = 0$, then

$$\frac{\nu_A(x)\nu_B(x)}{\nu_A(x) + \nu_B(x)} = 0.$$

Here we shall formulate some elementary, but non-standard equality between IFSs.

Theorem 4. For every two IFSs A and B , then

- (1) $(A @ B) \$ (A \# B) = A \$ B$;
- (2) $(A + B) \cap (A \cdot B) = A \cdot B$, $(A + B) \cup (A \cdot B) = A + B$;
- (3) $(A + B) \cap (A @ B) = A @ B$, $(A + B) \cup (A @ B) = A + B$;
- (4) $(A \cdot B) \cap (A @ B) = A \cdot B$, $(A \cdot B) \cup (A @ B) = A @ B$;
- (5) $(A + B) \cap (A \$ B) = A \$ B$, $(A + B) \cup (A \$ B) = A + B$;
- (6) $(A \cdot B) \cap (A \$ B) = A \cdot B$, $(A \cdot B) \cup (A \$ B) = A \$ B$;
- (7) $(A + B) \cap (A \# B) = A \# B$, $(A + B) \cup (A \# B) = A + B$;
- (8) $(A \cdot B) \cap (A \# B) = A \cdot B$, $(A \cdot B) \cup (A \# B) = A \# B$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad & (A @ B) \$ (A \# B) \\
&= \{ \langle x, \frac{\mu_A(x) + \mu_B(x)}{2}, \frac{\nu_A(x) + \nu_B(x)}{2} \rangle \mid x \in E \} \$
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \{ < x, \frac{2\mu_A(x)\mu_B(x)}{(\mu_A(x) + \mu_B(x))}, \frac{2\nu_A(x)\nu_B(x)}{\nu_A(x) + \nu_B(x)} > | x \in E \} \\
& = \{ < x, \sqrt{\mu_A(x)\mu_B(x)}, \sqrt{\nu_A(x)\nu_B(x)} > | x \in E \} \\
& = A\$B
\end{aligned}$$

(2) From

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_A(x) + \mu_B(x) & \geq 2\sqrt{\mu_A(x)\mu_B(x)} \geq 2\mu_A(x)\mu_B(x) \\
\mu_A(x) + \mu_B(x) - \mu_A(x)\mu_B(x) & \geq \mu_A(x)\mu_B(x)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\nu_A(x) + \nu_B(x) - \nu_A(x)\nu_B(x) \geq \nu_A(x)\nu_B(x)$$

It follows that

$$(A + B) \cap (A \cdot B) = A \cdot B, \quad (A + B) \cup (A \cdot B) = A + B.$$

Proofs of (3) - (4) are similar to (2).

(5) From

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mu_A(x) + \mu_B(x) - \mu_A(x)\mu_B(x) - \sqrt{\mu_A(x)\mu_B(x)} \\
& \geq 2\sqrt{\mu_A(x)\mu_B(x)} - \mu_A(x)\mu_B(x) - \sqrt{\mu_A(x)\mu_B(x)} \\
& = \sqrt{\mu_A(x)\mu_B(x)} - \mu_A(x)\mu_B(x) \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sqrt{\nu_A(x)\nu_B(x)} - \nu_A(x)\nu_B(x) \geq 0$$

It follows that $(A + B) \cap (A\$B) = A\B , $(A + B) \cup (A\$B) = A + B$.

(6) Similar to (5).

$$\begin{aligned}
(7) \quad & \mu_A(x) + \mu_B(x) - \mu_A(x)\mu_B(x) - \frac{2\mu_A(x)\mu_B(x)}{(\mu_A(x) + \mu_B(x))} \\
& = \frac{(\mu_A(x) + \mu_B(x))^2 - \mu_A(x)\mu_B(x)(\mu_A(x) + \mu_B(x)) - 2\mu_A(x)\mu_B(x)}{\mu_A(x) + \mu_B(x)} \\
& = \frac{(\mu_A(x))^2 + 2\mu_A(x)\mu_B(x) + (\mu_B(x))^2 - (\mu_A(x))^2\mu_B(x) - \mu_A(x)(\mu_B(x))^2 - 2\mu_A(x)\mu_B(x)}{\mu_A(x) + \mu_B(x)} \\
& = \frac{(\mu_A(x))^2(1 - \mu_B(x)) + (\mu_B(x))^2(1 - \mu_A(x))}{\mu_A(x) + \mu_B(x)} \geq 0; \\
& \frac{2\nu_A(x)\nu_B(x)}{\nu_A(x) + \nu_B(x)} - \nu_A(x)\nu_B(x) \\
& = \frac{\nu_A(x)\nu_B(x)[2 - (\nu_A(x) + \nu_B(x))]}{\nu_A(x) + \nu_B(x)} \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

(8) Similar to (7). \square

References

- [1] K. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 20(1986) 87-96.
- [2] K. Atanassov, More on intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 33(1) (1989) 37-46.
- [3] K. Atanassov, New operations defined over the intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 61(2) (1994) 137-142.
- [4] K. Atanassov, Remarks on the intuitionistic fuzzy sets-III, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 75(1995) 401-402.
- [5] K. Atanassov, An equality between intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 79 (1996) 257-258.
- [6] K. Atanassov, Two theorems for intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 110 (2000) 267-269.
- [7] Supriya Kumar De, Ranjit Biswas, Akhil Ranjan Roy, Some operations on intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 114 (2000) 477-484.
- [8] Dengfeng, Li, Chuntian, Chen, New similarity measures of intuitionistic fuzzy sets and application to pattern recognitions. *Pattern Recognition Letters*. 23 (2002) 221-225.
- [9] Wen-Liang Hung, Miinshen Yang. Similarity measures of intuitionistic fuzzy sets based on Hausdorff distance, *Pattern Recognition Letters* 25 (2004) 1603-1611.
- [10] Weiqiong Wang, Xiaolong Xin. Distance measure between intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Pattern Recognition Letters* 26 (2005) 2063-2069.
- [11] P. Grzegorzewski, E. Mrowka. Some notes on (Atanassov's) intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 156 (2005) 492-495.
- [12] K. Atanassov, Answer to D. Dubois, S. Gottwald, P. Hajek, J. Kacprzyk and H.Prade's paper " Terminological difficulties in fuzzy set theory-the case of " Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets " ", *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 156 (2005) 496-499.
- [13] D. Dubois, S. Gottwald, P. Hajek, J. Kacprzyk, H. Prade, Are (Atanassov's) intuitionistic fuzzy sets intuitionistic, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, to appear.