

Convex intuitionistic fuzzy sets

A. I. BAN

*Department of Mathematics, University of Oradea,
Str. Armatei Romane 5, 3700 Oradea, Romania*

Abstract

In this paper the concept of convexity for intuitionistic fuzzy sets is introduced. A series of basic results are established.

1 Introduction

Convexity of fuzzy sets is studied and applied in several papers (for example [6], [7], [8]). In [8], M. Mashinchi has introduced a general convexity (concavity) by using the L t -norms and L t -conorms, where L is a complete lattice with the least element and the greatest element. In Section 2, we quote the results obtained in [8], for particular case $L = [0, 1]$.

In Section 3, we introduce and study the properties of convex (concave) intuitionistic fuzzy sets (*IFS*) and in Section 4 we characterize the convexity of *IFS* by (α, β) -level sets generated by an *IFS*.

2 Basic definitions and results

In this section we recall some definitions and results (for details, see [1], [3], [4], [5], [8]).

Let $f : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $f(x, y) = f(x, y)$
- (2) $f(x, f(y, z)) = f(f(x, y), z)$
- (3) if $x \leq y$, then $f(x, z) \leq f(y, z)$
- (4) $f(x, 1) = x$ or (4)' $f(x, 0) = x$.

f is called a t -norm (written \top) iff it satisfies the conditions (1) – (4). f is called a t -conorm (written \perp) iff it satisfies the conditions (1) – (3) and (4)'.

Theorem 1 Let f be a t -norm (t -conorm). We define $f^c : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, by $f^c(x, y) = 1 - f(1 - x, 1 - y)$. Then f^c is a t -conorm (t -norm), which is called to be associated with f , or the dual of f .

Definition 2 ([8]) Let f, g be t -norms or t -conorms. Then we say

- (1) g is stronger than f , denoted by $f \leq g$, if and only if $f(x, y) \leq g(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in [0, 1]$.
- (2) g dominate f , denoted by $f \ll g$, if and only if $f(g(x, y), g(z, w)) \leq g(f(x, z), f(y, w))$ for all $x, y, z, w \in [0, 1]$.

Lemma 3 (see [8]) Let \top and \perp be t -norm and t -conorm, respectively.

Then

- (1) $\top \leq \wedge \leq \vee \leq \perp$
- (2) $\top \leq \wedge \leq \vee \leq \top^c$
- (3) $\top \ll \wedge$
- (4) $\vee \ll \perp$
- (5) $\vee \ll \wedge$

where $\wedge(x, y) = \min(x, y)$ and $\vee = \wedge^c$.

Lemma 4 (see [8]) Let f, g be both t -norms or t -conorms. Then

- (1) $f \leq g$ if and only if $g^c \leq f^c$
- (2) $f \ll g$ if and only if $g^c \ll f^c$.

The Example 2.8 and the Definition 2.6 on [8] implies

Lemma 5 Every continuous t -norm or t -conorm has the properties

- (1) $\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Omega'} f(x_\alpha, y_\beta) \leq f(\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Omega} x_\alpha, \bigwedge_{\beta \in \Omega'} y_\beta)$
- (2) $f(\bigvee_{\alpha \in \Omega} x_\alpha, \bigvee_{\beta \in \Omega'} y_\beta) \leq \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Omega'} f(x_\alpha, y_\beta)$

if $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Omega}, \{y_\beta\}_{\beta \in \Omega'} \subseteq [0, 1]$.

Let X be a non-empty set and fix

$$FS(X) = \{\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]\}.$$

An intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) A in X is an object having the form

$$A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in X\}$$

where $\mu_A, \nu_A \in FS(X)$ define the degree of membership and the degree of non-membership of the element $x \in X$ to the set $A \subseteq X$, and for every $x \in X$,

$$0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \leq 1.$$

For every $A \in IFS(X)$, $A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in X\}$ the following operators are valid (for details, see[1], [3], [4])

$$A^C = \{\langle x, \nu_A(x), \mu_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in X\}$$

$$\square A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), 1 - \mu_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in X\}$$

$$\diamond A = \{\langle x, 1 - \nu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in X\}$$

$$CA = \{\langle x, K, L \rangle \mid x \in X\}, \text{ where } K = \bigvee_{x \in X} \mu_A(x), L = \bigwedge_{x \in X} \nu_A(x)$$

$$IA = \{\langle x, k, l \rangle \mid x \in X\}, \text{ where } k = \bigwedge_{x \in X} \mu_A(x), l = \bigvee_{x \in X} \nu_A(x)$$

$$P_{\alpha, \beta}(A) = \{\langle x, \bigvee(\alpha, \mu_A(x)), \bigwedge(\beta, \nu_A(x)) \rangle \mid x \in X\}$$

$$Q_{\alpha, \beta}(A) = \{\langle x, \bigwedge(\alpha, \mu_A(x)), \bigvee(\beta, \nu_A(x)) \rangle \mid x \in X\}$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ and $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$.

Also, if h is a t -norm or a t -conorm and $B \in IFS(X)$, $B = \{\langle x, \mu_B(x), \nu_B(x) \rangle \mid x \in X\}$ we can define the expression (see [5])

$$A \tilde{h} B = \{\langle x, h(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)), h^C(\nu_A(x), \nu_B(x)) \rangle \mid x \in X\}.$$

For any h, \tilde{h} is an operation over the IFS because

$$h(x, y) + h^C(z, t) \leq h(1 - z, 1 - t) + 1 - h(1 - z, 1 - t) = 1$$

for every $x, y, z, t \in [0, 1]$ with $x + z \leq 1$ and $y + t \leq 1$.

If $h = \wedge$ or $h = \vee$ we obtain the operations \cap and \cup , respectively. If

$h(x, y) = x + y - xy$ or $h(x, y) = xy$ we obtain the operations $+$ and \cdot , respectively (see [4]).

In a recent paper ([8]), M. Mashinchi introduced a general fuzzy convexity (concavity) by using the t -norms.

We assume that X and Y are real vector spaces and $I = [0, 1]$.

Definition 6 Let $\mu \in FS(X)$ and f be a t -norm or t -conorm. μ is called to be

- (1) f -convex in X if $\mu(kx + (1 - k)y) \geq f(\mu(x), \mu(y))$, $\forall x, y \in X$, $\forall k \in I$.
- (2) f -concave in X if $\mu(kx + (1 - k)y) \leq f(\mu(x), \mu(y))$, $\forall x, y \in X$, $\forall k \in I$.

Theorem 7 ([8]) Let $\mu \in FS(X)$ and f be a t -norm or t -conorm. μ is f -convex in X if and only if μ^C is f^C -concave in X , where $\mu^C \in FS(X)$, $\mu^C(x) = 1 - \mu(x) \quad \forall x \in X$.

Theorem 8 ([8]) Let f, g and h be t -norms or t -conorms and $\mu, \eta \in FS(X)$.

- (1) If μ is f -convex in X , η is g -convex in X , $f \leq g$ and $f \ll h$, then $h(\mu, \eta)$ is f -convex in X .
- (2) If μ is f -concave in X , η is g -concave in X , $g \leq f$ and $h \ll f$, then $h(\mu, \eta)$ is f -concave in X ,

where $h(\mu, \eta)(x) = h(\mu(x), \eta(x)) \quad \forall x \in X$.

Theorem 9 (see [8]) Let $F : X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear map and f be a t -norm or t -conorm. If $\mu \in FS(Y)$ is f -convex (f -concave) in Y , then $F^{-1}(\mu) \in FS(X)$ is f -convex (f -concave) in X .

By Lemma 4.15 from [8] and Lemma 5 we obtain

Theorem 10 Let $F : X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear map and f be a continuous t -norm. If $\lambda \in FS(X)$ is f -convex in X , then $F(\lambda) \in FS(Y)$ is f -convex in Y .

3 Convex intuitionistic fuzzy sets

Definition 11 Let $A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in X\} \in IFS(X)$ and f be a t -norm or t -conorm. A is called to be

- (1) f -convex in X if μ_A is f -convex in X and ν_A is f^C -concave in X .
- (2) f -concave in X if μ_A is f -concave in X and ν_A is f^C -convex in X .

We call convex a IFS which is \wedge -convex.

Theorem 12 *Let $A \in IFS(X)$ and f be a t -norm or t -conorm.*

- (1) *A is f -convex if and only if A^C is f^C -concave.*
- (2) *If A is f -convex(f -concave) then $\square A$ and $\diamond A$ are f -convex (f -concave).*

Proof. Follows from Theorem 7, Definition 11 and the equality $(f^C)^C = f$ ■

We denote by $\alpha - \beta$ the constant intuitionistic fuzzy set $\{\langle x, \alpha, \beta \rangle \mid x \in X\}$ with $\alpha, \beta \in I, \alpha + \beta \leq 1$.

Lemma 13 (1) *If f is a t -norm, then $\alpha - \beta$ is f -convex.*

(2) *If f is a t -conorm, then $\alpha - \beta$ is f -concave.*

Proof. (1) Because f is a t -norm, $f(\alpha, 1) = \alpha$ and $f^C(\beta, 0) = \beta$. Then $\alpha = f(\alpha, 1) \geq f(\alpha, \alpha)$ and $\beta = f^C(\beta, 0) \leq f^C(\beta, \beta)$ therefore $\alpha - \beta$ is f -convex.

(2) Analogous with (1) ■

Theorem 14 *If f is a t -norm (t -conorm), then CA and IA are f -convex (f -concave).*

Proof. Follows from Lemma 13 ■

Theorem 15 *Let f, g and h be three t -norms (or three t -conorms) and $A, B \in IFS(X)$.*

- (1) *If $f \leq g, f \ll h, A$ is f -convex in X and B is g -convex in X , then $A\tilde{h}B$ is f -convex in X .*
- (2) *If $g \leq f, h \ll f, A$ is f -concave in X and B is g -concave in X , then $A\tilde{h}B$ is f -concave in X .*

Proof. Let $A, B \in IFS(X), A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in X\}$ and $B = \{\langle x, \mu_B(x), \nu_B(x) \rangle \mid x \in X\}$.

(1) Because μ_A is f -convex and μ_B is g -convex, $f \leq g$ and $f \ll h$ we obtain (from Theorem 8, (1)) that $h(\mu_A, \mu_B)$ is f -convex. Because ν_A is f^C -concave, ν_B is g^C -concave, $g^C \leq f^C$ and $h^C \ll f^C$ (see Lemma 4) we

obtain (from Theorem 8, (2)) that $h^C(\nu_A, \nu_B)$ is f^C -concave. Therefore $A\tilde{h}B$ is f -convex.

(2) Is similar ■

On Lemma 3 and Theorem 15 the following corollaries are immediate.

Corollary 16 (1) *If f and \top are t -norms and $f \ll \top$, A and B are f -convex, then $A\tilde{\top}B$ is f -convex.*

(2) *If g and \perp are t -conorms and $\perp \ll g$, A and B are f -concave, then $A\tilde{\perp}B$ is f -concave.*

Corollary 17 (1) *If \top is t -norm, $A, B \in IFS(X)$ are \top -convex, then $A \cap B$ is \top -convex.*

(2) *If \perp is t -conorm, $A, B \in IFS(X)$ are \perp -concave, then $A \cup B$ is \perp -concave.*

Proof. (1) We take $f = g = \top$ and $h = \wedge$ in Theorem 15, (1).

(2) We take $f = g = \perp$ and $h = \vee$ in Theorem 15, (2) ■

Choosing $\top = \wedge$ in Corollary 17 we obtain immediately

Corollary 18 *An arbitrary intersection of convex IFS is again convex. An arbitrary reunion of \vee -concave IFS is \vee -concave.*

Because for each $A \in IFS(X)$ and for $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$, $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$, $P_{\alpha, \beta}(A) = A \cup (\alpha - \beta)$ and $Q_{\alpha, \beta} = A \cap (\alpha - \beta)$ (see [3]), we have

Theorem 19 (1) *If A is \top -convex, then $Q_{\alpha, \beta}(A)$ is \top -convex.*

(2) *If A is \perp -concave then $P_{\alpha, \beta}(A)$ is \perp -concave.*

Proof. Follows from Lemma 13 and Corollary 17 ■

Now, let us consider two sets X and Y and a function $F : X \rightarrow Y$.

Definition 20 *The direct image map is*

$$F : IFS(X) \rightarrow IFS(Y)$$

defined by

$$F(A) = \{ \langle y, \overline{F}\mu_A(y), \underline{F}\nu_A(y) \rangle \mid y \in Y \},$$

where

$$\overline{F}\mu_A(y) = \begin{cases} \sup_{F(x)=y} \mu_A(x) & \text{if } y \in F(X) \\ 0 & \text{if } y \in Y \setminus F(X) \end{cases}$$

$$\underline{F}\nu_A(y) = \begin{cases} \inf_{F(x)=y} \nu_A(x) & \text{if } y \in F(X) \\ 1 & \text{if } y \in Y \setminus F(X) \end{cases}$$

if $A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in X\} \in IFS(X)$.

The inverse image map is

$$F^{-1} : IFS(Y) \rightarrow IFS(X)$$

defined by

$$F^{-1}(B)(x) = \{\langle x, F^{-1}\mu_B(x), F^{-1}\nu_B(x) \rangle \mid x \in X\}$$

where

$$F^{-1}\mu_B(x) = \mu_B(F(x))$$

$$F^{-1}\nu_B(x) = \nu_B(F(x))$$

if $B = \{\langle y, \mu_B(y), \nu_B(y) \rangle \mid y \in Y\} \in IFS(Y)$.

Remark. $F^{-1}(B) \in IFS(X)$

because

$$(F^{-1}\mu_B)(x) + (F^{-1}\nu_B)(x) = \mu_B(F(x)) + \nu_B(F(x)) \leq 1$$

for all $x \in X$.

If $y \notin F(X)$ then $\overline{F}\mu_A(y) + \underline{F}\nu_A(y) = 1$. If $y \in F(X)$ let $\alpha = \inf_{F(x)=y} \nu_A(x)$.

Then

$$\alpha \leq \nu_A(x) \leq 1 - \mu_A(x) \text{ for all } x \in F^{-1}(y),$$

hence

$$\sup_{F(x)=y} \mu_A(x) \leq 1 - \alpha$$

Therefore $F(A) \in IFS(Y)$.

In fact $\overline{F}\mu_A \equiv F\mu_A$ (see [9], p.16).

Now we assume that X and Y are real vector spaces.

Theorem 21 *Let $F : X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear map and f be a t -norm. If $B \in IFS(Y)$ is f -convex in Y , then $F^{-1}(B) \in IFS(X)$ is f -convex in X .*

Proof. Using Theorem 9 ■

Lemma 22 *If $F : X \rightarrow Y$ and $A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in X\} \in IFS(X)$ then*

$$F(A) = \left\{ \langle y, F\mu_A(y), (F\nu_A^C)^C(y) \rangle \mid y \in Y \right\}.$$

Proof. We prove that $(\underline{F}\nu_A)^C = F(\nu_A^C)$.

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{F}\nu_A)^C(y) &= 1 - \underline{F}\nu_A(y) = 1 - \begin{cases} \inf_{F(x)=y} \nu_A(x) & \text{if } y \in F(X) \\ 1 & \text{else} \end{cases} = \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 - \inf_{F(x)=y} \nu_A(x) & \text{if } y \in F(X) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \sup_{F(x)=y} (1 - \nu_A(x)) & \text{if } y \in F(X) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \\ &= \overline{F}(\nu_A^C)(y) = F(\nu_A^C)(y) \text{ for all } y \in Y \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 23 *Let $F : X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear map and f be a continuous t -norm. If $A \in IFS(X)$ is f -convex, then $F(A)$ is f -convex in Y .*

Proof. $A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in X\}$ f -convex implies that μ_A is f -convex so that $F\mu_A$ is f -convex in Y (from Theorem 10) and ν_A^C is f -convex in X (from Theorem 7) so that $F\nu_A^C$ is f -convex in Y (also Theorem 10). Using Lemma 22 and Theorem 7 we have that $F(A)$ is f -convex ■

4 The characterized of the convexity of IFS by (α, β) -level sets

In this section X is a real vector space and $I = [0, 1]$. In the following we will denote also by μ the restriction of $\mu \in FS(X)$ at $Y \subseteq X$. Its immediate the next lemma

Lemma 24 Let f be a t -norm or t -conorm and Y a convex subset of X .

- (1) If $\mu \in FS(X)$ is f -convex (f -concave) in X , then μ is f -convex (f -concave) in Y .
- (2) If $A \in IFS(X)$ is f -convex (f -concave) in X , then A is f -convex (f -concave) in Y .

Following the idea of a fuzzy set from α -level, in [2] is introduced

$$N_{\alpha,\beta}(A) = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in X, \mu_A(x) \geq \alpha, \nu_A(x) \leq \beta\}$$

a set from (α, β) -level generated by the $A \in IFS(X)$, where $\alpha, \beta \in I$ are fixed numbers for which $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$.

Also we call the set

$$N_\alpha(A) = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in X, \mu_A(x) \geq \alpha\}$$

a set of level of membership α , generated by A , and the set

$$N^\alpha(A) = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in X, \nu_A(x) \leq \alpha\}$$

a set of level of nonmembership α , generated by A .

Theorem 25 ([2]) $N_\alpha(A), N^\alpha(A) \in IFS(X)$ for every $A \in IFS(X)$, $\alpha \in I$ and for every $\alpha, \beta \in I$,

$$N_{\alpha,\beta}(A) = N_\alpha(A) \cap N^\beta(A).$$

We obtain the next result for convexity of these IFS .

Lemma 26 (1) Let \perp be a t -conorm. If $A \in IFS(X)$ is \perp -convex, then $N_\alpha(A)$ and $N^\alpha(A)$ are \perp -convex for all $\alpha \in I$.

(2) If A is convex, then $N_\alpha(A)$ and $N^\alpha(A)$ are convex for all $\alpha \in I$.

Proof. We suppose that $A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in X\}$ and we denote

$$Y = \{x \in X \mid \mu_A(x) \geq \alpha\}, \quad Z = \{x \in X \mid \nu_A(x) \leq \alpha\}$$

for a certain $\alpha \in I$.

(1) If $x, y \in Y$ then

$$\mu_A(kx + (1 - k)y) \geq \perp(\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)) \geq \perp(\alpha, \alpha) \geq \perp(\alpha, 0) = \alpha,$$

for all $k \in I$.

If $x, y \in Z$ then

$$\nu_A(kx + (1 - k)y) \leq \perp^C(\nu_A(x), \nu_A(y)) \leq \perp^C(\alpha, \alpha) \leq \perp^C(\alpha, 1) = \alpha,$$

for all $k \in I$.

Therefore Y and Z are convex subsets of X and Lemma 24, (2) implies that $\{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in Y\} = N_\alpha(A)$ and $\{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in Z\} = N^\alpha(A)$ are f -convex.

(2) Is similar because

$$\mu_A(kx + (1 - k)y) \geq \wedge(\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)) \geq \alpha$$

and

$$\nu_A(kx + (1 - k)y) \leq \vee(\nu_A(x), \nu_A(y)) \leq \alpha$$

for all $k \in I$ if $x, y \in Y$ or Z , respectively ■

The convexity can be characterized in a simple way by (α, β) -level sets

Theorem 27 For $A \in IFS(X)$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) A is convex
- (2) $N_{\alpha, \beta}(A)$ is convex for all $\alpha, \beta \in I$ with $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$.

Proof. The affirmation (2) implies (1) because $N_{0,1}(A) = A$.

If A is convex, then $N_\alpha(A)$ and $N^\beta(A)$ are convex for all $\alpha, \beta \in I$ (from Lemma 26, (2)). Using the Corollary 18 we have that $N_\alpha(A) \cap N^\beta(A) = N_{\alpha, \beta}(A)$ is convex for all $\alpha, \beta \in I$ (see and Theorem 25), hence (1) implies (2) ■

References

- [1] Atanassov, K.T., Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 20(1986), 87-96.
- [2] Atanassov, K.T., More on intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 33(1989), 37-45.

- [3] Atanassov, K.T., New operators over the intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Comptes rendus de l 'Academie bulgare des Sciences*, Tome 46(1993), No.11, 5-7.
- [4] Atanassov, K.T., New operations defined over the intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 61(1994), 137-142.
- [5] Burillo, P., Bustince, H., Two operators on interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets: part II, *Comptes rendus de l 'Academie bulgare des Sciences*, Tome 48(1995), No.1, 17-20.
- [6] Das, P., Fuzzy vector spaces under triangular norms, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 25(1988), 73-85.
- [7] Lowen, R., Convex fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 3(1980), 291-310.
- [8] Mashinchi, M., On convexity of fuzzy sets, *The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, Vol.2, No.3(1994), 655-669.
- [9] Negoita, C.V., Ralescu, D. A., Applications of fuzzy sets to systems analysis, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1975.