

Intuitionistic fuzzy measures and intuitionistic entropies

A.I. BAN

*Department of Mathematics, University of Oradea,
str. Armatei Române 5, 3700 Oradea, România
e-mails: aiban@hs.uoradea.ro; aban@lego.rdsor.ro*

Abstract: With the help of an abstract integral we first give a family of intuitionistic entropies introduced by Burillo and Bustince in [4]. Second we prove that certain intuitionistic entropies are intuitionistic fuzzy measures.

Keywords: Intuitionistic entropy, intuitionistic norm function, triangular norm, intuitionistic measure.

1 Introduction

Similar with [10] for measures of fuzziness, in Section 2 we give an existence theorem of intuitionistic entropies defined by an abstract integral and we prove some properties of intuitionistic entropies introduced in this way.

In Section 3 we recall the concept of intuitionistic (fuzzy) measure (see [2]), we prove a characterization theorem for intuitionistic entropies more general than one of [4] and using this a result which make a connection between intuitionistic (fuzzy) measures and intuitionistic entropies.

2 Intuitionistic entropies defined by an integral

Let $X \neq \emptyset$ be a given set and we will denote with $IFS(X)$ the set of all the intuitionistic fuzzy sets on X .

The following expressions are defined in [1] for all $A, B \in IFS(X)$,
 $A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$, $B = \{\langle x, \mu_B(x), \nu_B(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$

$A \leq B$ if and only if $\mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x)$ and $\nu_A(x) \geq \nu_B(x)$ for all $x \in X$

$A = B$ if and only if $A \leq B$ and $B \leq A$

$A \preceq B$ if and only if $\mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x)$ and $\nu_A(x) \leq \nu_B(x)$ for all $x \in X$

$A^c = \{\langle x, \nu_A(x), \mu_A(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$

$D_\alpha(A) = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x) + \alpha\pi_A(x), 1 - \mu_A(x) - \alpha\pi_A(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$

where $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $\pi_A(x) = 1 - \mu_A(x) - \nu_A(x), \forall x \in X$.

$A \tilde{f} B = \{\langle x, f(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)), f^c(\nu_A(x), \nu_B(x)) \rangle : x \in X\}$

where f is a triangular norm or a triangular conorm and $f(x, y) = 1 - f(1 - x, 1 - y) \forall x, y \in [0, 1]$.

In [4], P. Burillo and H. Bustince considered the following definition in the case of finite X .

Definition 1 A real function $I : IFS(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ is called an entropy on $IFS(X)$, if I has the following properties:

- (IP1) $I(A) = 0$ if and only if $\mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) = 1$ for all $x \in X$
- (IP2) $I(A) = \text{maximum}$ if and only if $\mu_A(x) = \nu_A(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$
- (IP3) $I(A) = I(A^c)$ for all $A \in IFS(X)$
- (IP4) If $A \preceq B$ then $I(A) \geq I(B)$

Now let $(X, \mathcal{A}, m)$ denote an arbitrary measure space with $0 < m(X) < \infty$ (All measure-theoretic terms and results used here may be found in Halmos's book [8]).

We will say that $A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle : x \in X\} \in IFS(X)$ is $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable if and only if the real functions μ_A and ν_A are $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable. We denote by $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}(X)$ the family of all $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable intuitionistic fuzzy sets on X .

Remark 1 If $A \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}(X)$ then $A^c \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}(X)$ and $D_\alpha(A) \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}(X)$ for every $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

In this general setting, it appears necessary to rewrite the definition of the intuitionistic entropies. In addition we will consider only the normalized intuitionistic entropies, that is $I(A) \leq 1$ for all $A \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}(X)$.

Definition 2 A real function $I : \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ is called an intuitionistic entropy on $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}(X)$, if and only if I has the following properties:

- (IP 1*) $I(A) = 0$ if and only if $\mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) = 1$ almost everywhere
- (IP 2*) $I(A) = 1$ if and only if $\mu_A(x) = \nu_A(x) = 0$ almost everywhere
- (IP 3*) $I(A) = I(A^c)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}(X)$
- (IP 4*) If $A, B \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}(X)$ and $A \preceq B$ then $I(A) \geq I(B)$

Remark 2 The case of Definition 1 is covered by letting X be finite, $\mathcal{A}$ be the Boolean algebra of all subsets of X and $m(\{x\}) = 1$ for every $x \in X$.

Inspired by [4] we introduce a function corresponding to the definition of the intuitionistic entropy.

Definition 3 Let $(X, \mathcal{A}, m)$ be a measure space and we denote $D = \{(\mu, \nu) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1] : \mu + \nu \leq 1\}$. An intuitionistic norm function is a $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable function with respect to the first variable, $\Phi : X \times D \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with the following properties for every element $x \in X$:

- (IN 1) $\Phi(x, \mu, \nu) = 0$ if and only if $\mu + \nu = 1$
- (IN 2) $\Phi(x, \mu, \nu) = 1$ if and only if $\mu = \nu = 0$
- (IN 3) $\Phi(x, \mu, \nu) = \Phi(x, \nu, \mu)$.
- (IN 4) If $\mu \leq \mu'$ and $\nu \leq \nu'$ then $\Phi(x, \mu, \nu) \geq \Phi(x, \mu', \nu')$

If the function Φ verifies (IN 1), (IN 2), (IN 3) and besides the property

(IRN) If $\mu + \nu = \mu' + \nu'$ then $\Phi(x, \mu, \nu) = \Phi(x, \mu', \nu')$
then Φ is called intuitionistic refined norm function.

Now, using the abstract integral we can give the main result of this section

Theorem 4 Let $(X, \mathcal{A}, m)$ denote any measure space with $0 < m(X) < \infty$ and let Φ denote an intuitionistic norm function. Then the function $I_{\Phi} : \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by

$$I_{\Phi}(A) = \frac{1}{m(X)} \int_X \Phi(x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)) dm$$

is an intuitionistic entropy.

Proof. Thanks to (IN 3) and (IN 4) the properties (IP 3*) and (IP 4*) are immediate.

We notice that $A = B$ almost everywhere, that is $\mu_A(x) = \mu_B(x)$ and $\nu_A(x) = \nu_B(x)$ almost everywhere, implies $\Phi(x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)) = \Phi(x, \mu_B(x), \nu_B(x))$ almost everywhere therefore $I_\Phi(A) = I_\Phi(B)$.

Now, if $E = \{\langle x, \mu_E(x), \nu_E(x) \rangle : x \in X\} \in \mathcal{I}_A(X)$ verifies $\mu_E(x) + \nu_E(x) = 1, \forall x \in X$ then

$$I_\Phi(E) = \frac{1}{m(X)} \int_X 0 dm = 0$$

Also, if E verifies $\mu_E(x) = \nu_E(x) = 0, \forall x \in X$ then

$$I_\Phi(E) = \frac{1}{m(X)} \int_X 1 dm = 1$$

thus the inverse implication in (IP 1*) and (IP 2*) are satisfied.

On the other hand, if $A \in \mathcal{I}_A(X)$ is any intuitionistic fuzzy set with $I_\Phi(A) = 0$ then $\Phi(x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)) = 0$ almost everywhere $x \in X$ and (IN 1) implies $\mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) = 1$ almost everywhere $x \in X$. Also, if $I_\Phi(A) = 1$ then $\int_X \Phi(x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)) dm = \int_X 1 dm$ that is $\Phi(x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)) = 1$ almost everywhere $x \in X$. Thanks to (IN 4) we obtain $\mu_A(x) = \nu_A(x) = 0$ almost everywhere $x \in X$ ■

Some simple properties of the intuitionistic entropies introduced by the previous theorem are given by the next theorems.

Theorem 5 *Let $(X, \mathcal{A}, m)$ be a measure space and Φ be an intuitionistic refined norm function. The intuitionistic entropies defined as above enjoys the properties:*

(a) *If f is a continuous triangular norm or a continuous triangular conorm which satisfies $f(x, y) + f^c(x, y) = x + y \forall x, y \in [0, 1]$ then*

$$I_\Phi(A) = I_\Phi(A \tilde{f} A^c) \forall A \in \mathcal{I}_A(X)$$

(b) *If we represent a crisp set C (subset of X) as an intuitionistic fuzzy set through $A = \{\langle x, \chi_C(x), 1 - \chi_C(x) \rangle | x \in X\}$, where χ_C is the indicator function of C , then*

$$I_\Phi(\alpha X) = I_\Phi(\alpha C) \forall \alpha \in [0, 1], \forall C \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Proof. (a) Because

$$\Phi(x, f(\mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)), f^c(\nu_A(x), \mu_A(x))) = \Phi(x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)) \quad \forall x \in X$$

the conclusion is immediate.

(b) $\alpha C = \{\langle x, \alpha \chi_C(x), \alpha - \alpha \chi_C(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$ and $\alpha X = \{\langle x, \alpha, 0 \rangle : x \in X\}$ implies

$$\Phi(x, \mu_{\alpha C}(x), \nu_{\alpha C}(x)) = \Phi(x, \mu_{\alpha X}(x), \nu_{\alpha X}(x)) \quad \forall x \in X \quad \blacksquare$$

Theorem 6 Let $(X, \mathcal{A}, m)$ be a measure space and Φ be an intuitionistic norm function. If Φ is a continuous function with respect to the second and third variables then the intuitionistic entropy $I_\Phi : \mathcal{I}_\mathcal{A}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by

$$I_\Phi(A) = \frac{1}{m(X)} \int_X \Phi(x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)) dm$$

is a continuous function relative to the metric $d : \mathcal{I}_\mathcal{A}(X) \times \mathcal{I}_\mathcal{A}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by

$$d(A, B) = \max \left(\sup_{x \in X} |\mu_A(x) - \mu_B(x)|, \sup_{x \in X} |\nu_A(x) - \nu_B(x)| \right)$$

where $A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$, $B = \{\langle x, \mu_B(x), \nu_B(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$.

Proof. Because $D = \{(\mu, \nu) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1] : \mu + \nu \leq 1\}$ is compact, $\Phi(x, \cdot, \cdot)$ is a uniform continuous function. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. There is $\delta > 0$ so that $x \in X : |\mu_A(x) - \mu_B(x)| < \delta$ and $|\nu_A(x) - \nu_B(x)| < \delta$ implies

$$|\Phi(x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)) - \Phi(x, \mu_B(x), \nu_B(x))| < \varepsilon.$$

But $d(A, B) < \delta$ implies that $|\mu_A(x) - \mu_B(x)| < \delta$ and $|\nu_A(x) - \nu_B(x)| < \delta$ $\forall x \in X$ therefore

$$|\Phi(x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)) - \Phi(x, \mu_B(x), \nu_B(x))| < \varepsilon \quad \forall x \in X.$$

We obtain the existence of a $\delta > 0$ such that $d(A, B) < \delta$ implies

$$\left| \frac{1}{m(X)} \int_X \Phi(x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)) dm - \frac{1}{m(X)} \int_X \Phi(x, \mu_B(x), \nu_B(x)) dm \right| \leq \varepsilon$$

therefore $|I_\Phi(A) - I_\Phi(B)| \leq \varepsilon$ ■

Between all the possible intuitionistic entropies characterized previously, the one obtained by setting $\Phi(x, \mu, \nu) = 1 - \mu - \nu$ that is

$$\bar{I}(A) = \frac{1}{m(X)} \int_X (1 - \mu_A(x) - \nu_A(x)) dm \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{I}_A(X)$$

is very simple. The next properties of this entropy are true (the same with those from the last proposition by [4]).

Theorem 7 *Let $(X, \mathcal{A}, m)$ be a measure space. Then*

- (i) $\bar{I}(A) = 2 \cdot \delta_{IFS(X)}(A, D_\alpha(A))$
- (ii) $\bar{I}(A) = \delta_{IFS(X)}(D_0(A), D_1(A))$
- (iii) $\delta_{IFS(X)}(D_\alpha(A), D_\beta(A)) = (\alpha - \beta) \cdot \bar{I}(A)$ with $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1], \beta \leq \alpha$

where

$$\delta_{IFS(X)}(A, B) = \frac{1}{m(X)} \int_X \frac{|\mu_A(x) - \mu_B(x)| + |\nu_A(x) - \nu_B(x)|}{2} dm$$

is the Hamming distance between A, B belonging to $\mathcal{I}_A(X)$,
 $A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$, $B = \{\langle x, \mu_B(x), \nu_B(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$.

Proof. See [4] ■

3 Intuitionistic entropy and intuitionistic (fuzzy) measure

Definition 8 ([2]) *Let f be a triangular norm or a triangular conorm. A subfamily $\mathcal{I} \subseteq IFS(X)$ which satisfies:*

- (i) $\emptyset = \{\langle x, 0, 1 \rangle | x \in X\} \in \mathcal{I}$
- (ii) $A \in \mathcal{I}$ implies $A^c \in \mathcal{I}$
- (iii) $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ implies $\tilde{f}_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n \in \mathcal{I}$

will be called an intuitionistic f -algebra on X .

The pair $(X, \mathcal{I})$ will be called an intuitionistic f -measurable space.

Definition 9 ([2]) A function $\widetilde{m} : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+, \mathcal{I}$ being an intuitionistic f -algebra on X , which satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\widetilde{m}(\emptyset) = 0$
- (ii) $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$ implies $\widetilde{m}(A \widetilde{f} B) + \widetilde{m}(A \widetilde{f}^c B) = \widetilde{m}(A) + \widetilde{m}(B)$
- (iii) $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$, $A_n \leq A_{n+1}$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n \in \mathcal{I}$ implies
$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{m}(A_n) = \widetilde{m}\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n\right)$$

will be called an intuitionistic f -measure on $\mathcal{I}$.

After the idea from [4] we give the next definition.

Definition 10 Let $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a measure space and $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ a continuous function such that if $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$, then $\varphi(\alpha) + \varphi(\beta) \leq 1$, with $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$. The function $I_\varphi : \mathcal{I}_\mathcal{A}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by

$$I_\varphi(A) = \frac{1}{m(X)} \int_X (1 - \varphi(\mu_A(x)) - \varphi(\nu_A(x))) dm$$

we will call I_φ -function.

Analogous with [4] we obtain a characterization theorem of intuitionistic fuzzy entropies using I_φ -functions

Theorem 11 Let $I : \mathcal{I}_\mathcal{A}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ a continuous function. The function I is an intuitionistic entropy and an I_φ -function if and only if

$$I(A) = \frac{1}{m(X)} \int_X (1 - \varphi(\mu_A(x)) - \varphi(\nu_A(x))) dm$$

where φ satisfies the conditions:

- (i) φ is increasing
- (ii) $\varphi(\alpha) = 0$ if and only if $\alpha = 0$
- (iii) $\varphi(\alpha) + \varphi(\beta) = 1$ if and only if $\alpha + \beta = 1$.

Proof. ($\Leftarrow$) Let us consider $\Phi : X \times D \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $\Phi(x, \mu, \nu) = 1 - \varphi(\mu) - \varphi(\nu)$, where $D = \{(\mu, \nu) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1] : \mu + \nu \leq 1\}$. The function Φ is constant with respect to x therefore it is $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable with respect to the first variable.

We verify (IN 1) – (IN 4) by Definition 3:

(IN 1) $\Phi(x, \mu, \nu) = 1 - (\varphi(\mu) + \varphi(\nu)) = 0$ if and only if $\varphi(\mu) + \varphi(\nu) = 1$ if and only if $\mu + \nu = 1$.

(IN 2) $\Phi(x, \mu, \nu) = 1$ if and only if $\varphi(\mu) + \varphi(\nu) = 0$ if and only if $\varphi(\mu) = \varphi(\nu) = 0$ if and only if $\mu = \nu = 0$.

(IN 3) $\Phi(x, \mu, \nu) = 1 - \varphi(\mu) - \varphi(\nu) = \Phi(x, \nu, \mu)$.

(IN 4) If $\mu \leq \mu'$ and $\nu \leq \nu'$ then $\varphi(\mu) + \varphi(\mu') \leq \varphi(\nu) + \varphi(\nu')$ that is $\Phi(x, \mu, \nu) \geq \Phi(x, \mu', \nu')$.

Φ being an intuitionistic norm function, Theorem 4 implies that I is an intuitionistic entropy.

If $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$ then

$$I(\{\langle x, \alpha, \beta \rangle : x \in X\}) = \frac{1}{m(X)} \int_X (1 - \varphi(\alpha) - \varphi(\beta)) dm = 1 - \varphi(\alpha) - \varphi(\beta) \geq 0$$

implies $\varphi(\alpha) + \varphi(\beta) \leq 1$, therefore I is an I_φ -function.

($\Rightarrow$) We assume that I is an intuitionistic entropy and an I_φ -function.

Being I_φ -function it has the form

$$I(A) = \frac{1}{m(X)} \int_X (1 - \varphi(\mu_A(x)) - \varphi(\nu_A(x))) dm$$

We prove that φ satisfies the conditions (i) – (iii).

(i) If $\alpha \leq \alpha'$ and $\alpha, \alpha' \in [0, 1]$, we construct the following intuitionistic fuzzy sets: $A = \{\langle x, \alpha, 0 \rangle : x \in X\}$, $B = \{\langle x, \alpha', 0 \rangle : x \in X\}$. Because $A \preceq B$, $I(A) \geq I(B)$ that is

$$\int_X (1 - \varphi(\alpha) - \varphi(0)) dm \geq \int_X (1 - \varphi(\alpha') - \varphi(0)) dm$$

and

$$1 - \varphi(\alpha) - \varphi(0) \geq 1 - \varphi(\alpha') - \varphi(0).$$

We obtain $\varphi(\alpha) \leq \varphi(\alpha')$.

(ii) $I(\{\langle x, 0, 0 \rangle : x \in X\}) = 1$ by (IP 2*), Definition 2. But

$$I(\{\langle x, 0, 0 \rangle : x \in X\}) = \frac{1}{m(X)} \int_X (1 - \varphi(0) - \varphi(0)) dm = 1 - 2\varphi(0),$$

therefore $1 = 1 - 2\varphi(0)$ and $\varphi(0) = 0$.

Reciprocally, if $\varphi(\alpha) = 0$, then

$$I(\{\langle x, \alpha, 0 \rangle : x \in X\}) = \frac{1}{m(X)} \int_X (1 - \varphi(\alpha) - \varphi(0)) dm = 1 - \varphi(\alpha) = 1$$

and $(IP 2^*)$ implies $\alpha = 0$.

(iii) If $\alpha + \beta = 1$, we take $A = \{\langle x, \alpha, \beta \rangle : x \in X\}$. We know by $(IP 1^*)$, Definition 2 that $I(A) = 0$. But

$$I(A) = \frac{1}{m(X)} \int_X (1 - \varphi(\alpha) - \varphi(\beta)) dm = 1 - \varphi(\alpha) - \varphi(\beta).$$

We obtain $\varphi(\alpha) + \varphi(\beta) = 1$.

Reciprocally, let $\varphi(\alpha) + \varphi(\beta) = 1$. If we assume that $\alpha + \beta \neq 1$, then two things may occur:

(a) $\alpha + \beta < 1$

Then

$$I(\{\langle x, \alpha, \beta \rangle : x \in X\}) = \frac{1}{m(X)} \int_X (1 - \varphi(\alpha) - \varphi(\beta)) dm = 1 - \varphi(\alpha) - \varphi(\beta) = 0,$$

therefore $\alpha + \beta = 1$ (see $(IP 1^*)$), contradicting the hypothesis.

(b) $\alpha + \beta > 1$

Because $\alpha + (1 - \alpha) = 1$ and $\beta + (1 - \beta) = 1$,

$$\varphi(\alpha) + \varphi(1 - \alpha) = 1$$

and

$$\varphi(\beta) + \varphi(1 - \beta) = 1.$$

For all α, β we can write

$$\varphi(\alpha) + \varphi(\beta) + \varphi(1 - \alpha) + \varphi(1 - \beta) = 2,$$

therefore $\varphi(1 - \alpha) + \varphi(1 - \beta) = 1$.

Knowing that

$$1 - \alpha + 1 - \beta = 2 - (\alpha + \beta) \leq 1$$

we have

$$I(\{\langle x, 1 - \alpha, 1 - \beta \rangle : x \in X\}) = \frac{1}{m(X)} \int_X (1 - \varphi(1 - \alpha) - \varphi(1 - \beta)) dm = 0.$$

Then (IP 1*), Definition 2, implies $1 - \alpha + 1 - \beta = 1$ therefore $\alpha + \beta = 1$, contradiction with the hypothesis.

We obtain the only possibility $\alpha + \beta = 1$ ■

Now, we can establish the next result.

Theorem 12 *Let $(X, \mathcal{A}, m)$ be a measure space. If $I : \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is an intuitionistic entropy and an I_{φ} -function then I is an intuitionistic $\wedge$ -measure on $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}(X)$. Moreover, if φ is additive then I is an intuitionistic f -measure on $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}(X)$ for every triangular norm which verifies $f(x, y) + f^c(x, y) = x + y$ for all $x, y \in [0, 1]$.*

Proof. By the previous theorem we obtain

$$I(\{\langle x, 0, 1 \rangle : x \in X\}) = \frac{1}{m(X)} \int_X (1 - (\varphi(0) + \varphi(1))) dm = 0$$

Let $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}(X)$ be an increasing sequence. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(A_n) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m(X)} \int_X (1 - (\varphi(\mu_{A_n}(x)) + \varphi(\nu_{A_n}(x)))) dm = \\ &= \frac{1}{m(X)} \int_X \left(1 - \left(\varphi\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{A_n}(x)\right) + \varphi\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{A_n}(x)\right) \right) \right) dm = \\ &= I\left(\langle x, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{A_n}(x), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{A_n}(x) \rangle : x \in X\right) = I\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n\right) \end{aligned}$$

because φ is continuous (see and [2]).

If φ is additive and $f(x, y) + f^c(x, y) = x + y$ for all $x, y \in [0, 1]$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &I(A \tilde{f} B) + I(A \tilde{f}^c B) \\ &= \frac{1}{m(X)} \int_X (1 - (\varphi(f(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x))) + \varphi(f^c(\nu_A(x), \nu_B(x)))) dm + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{m(X)} \int_X (1 - (\varphi(f^c(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x))) + \varphi(f(\nu_A(x), \nu_B(x)))) dm \\ &= \frac{1}{m(X)} \int_X (2 - (\varphi(\mu_A(x)) + \varphi(\mu_B(x)) + \varphi(\nu_A(x)) + \varphi(\nu_B(x)))) dm = \\ &= \frac{1}{m(X)} \int_X (1 - (\varphi(\mu_A(x)) + \varphi(\nu_A(x)))) dm + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{m(X)} \int_X (1 - (\varphi(\mu_B(x)) + \varphi(\nu_B(x)))) dm \\ &= I(A) + I(B). \end{aligned}$$

For $f \equiv \wedge$ and $f^c \equiv \vee$ the proof is similar and it is true even if φ is not additive(see [4]) ■

References

- [1] Atanassov, K. T., Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20(1986), 87-96.
- [2] Ban, A.I., The convergence of a sequence of intuitionistic fuzzy sets and intuitionistic (fuzzy) measure, submitted to Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets.
- [3] Burillo, P., Bustince, H., Two operators on interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets:PART II, Comptes rendus de l 'Académie bulgare de Sciences, Tome 48, No 1, 1995, 17-20.
- [4] Burillo, P., Bustince, H., Entropy on intuitionistic fuzzy sets and on interval-valued fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 78(1996), 305-316.
- [5] Bustince, H., Burillo, P., Correlation of interval intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 74(1995), 237-244.
- [6] Butnariu, D., Klement, E. P., Triangular norm based measures and their Markov kernel representation, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 162(1991), 111-143.
- [7] Butnariu, D., Klement, E. P., Triangular norm- Based Measures and Games with Fuzzy Coalitions, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [8] Halmos, P. R., Measure Theory, Van Nostrand, Princeton, New York, 1950.
- [9] Klement, E. P., Characterization of fuzzy measures constructed by means of triangular norms, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 86(1982), 345-358.
- [10] Knopfmacher, J., On measures of fuzziness, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 49(1975), 529-534.