

(t,s)-intuitionistic fuzzy subgroups

Zhang Cheng

Department of mathematics, Dalian University 116622, P. R. China

Abstract: In this paper, we give concept of intuitionistic fuzzy point (IFP), and give two kinds of definitions of intuitionistic fuzzy subgroups (IFSG) by the use of t-norm and s-norm, and discuss their properties, we also introduce normal IFSG of a group G , and build intuitionistic fuzzy quotient group of a group.

Keywords: Intuitionistic fuzzy set, group, IFP, IFSG.

1. Preliminary

Definition 1.1^[1]. Let a set E be fixed, An IFS A in E is an object having the form

$$A^* = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in E \}$$

where the function $\mu_A: E \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\nu_A: E \rightarrow [0, 1]$ define the degree of membership and degree of nonmembership of the element $x \in E$ to the set A which is subset of E , and for every $x \in E$: $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \leq 1$.

Definition 1.2. Let A be a IFS over E . If IFS B satisfies:

$$\mu_B(x') = \begin{cases} \lambda & x' = x \\ 0, & x' \neq x \end{cases}, \nu_B(x') = \begin{cases} \mu & x' = x \\ 1 & x' \neq x \end{cases}$$

then B is called as an intuitionistic fuzzy point (IFP), it is denoted as $x_{(\lambda, \mu)}$. If $\mu_A(x) \geq \lambda$, $\nu_A(x) \leq \mu$, then we say that $x_{(\lambda, \mu)}$ belong to A , it is denoted as $x_{(\lambda, \mu)} \in A$.

Definition 1.3. A mapping $T: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a t-norm if for all $\lambda, \mu, \xi, \eta \in [0, 1]$, we have

- (1) $T(\lambda, 0) = 0, T(\lambda, 1) = \lambda;$
- (2) $T(\lambda, \mu) = T(\mu, \lambda);$
- (3) $\lambda \leq \xi, \mu \leq \eta \Rightarrow T(\lambda, \mu) \leq T(\xi, \eta);$
- (4) $T(\lambda, T(\mu, \xi)) = T(T(\lambda, \mu), \xi).$

Definition 1.4. A mapping $S: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a s-norm if for all $\lambda, \mu, \xi, \eta \in [0, 1]$, we have

- (1) $S(\lambda, 0) = \lambda, S(\lambda, 1) = 1;$
- (2) $S(\lambda, \mu) = S(\mu, \lambda);$
- (3) $\lambda \leq \xi, \mu \leq \eta \Rightarrow S(\lambda, \mu) \leq S(\xi, \eta);$
- (4) $S(\lambda, S(\mu, \xi)) = S(S(\lambda, \mu), \xi).$

Let T be a t -norm and $S(a,b)=1-T(1-a,1-b)$, $\forall a,b \in [0,1]$. Then S is a s -norm and is said to be a derived s -norm by T .

2. (t,s) —intuitionistic fuzzy subgroups

Definition 2.1 Let A be an IFS of group G . A is said to be an (t,s) -intuitionistic fuzzy subgroups of G ((t,s) -IFSG) if for any $x,y \in G$ and $\lambda,\mu,t,r \in [0,1]$, we have

$$(1) x_{(\lambda,t)}, y_{(\mu,r)} \in A \Rightarrow (xy)_{(T(\lambda,\mu), S(t,r))} \in A; (2) x_{(\lambda,t)} \in A \Rightarrow x_{(\lambda,t)}^{-1} \in A.$$

where T is a t -norm, S is a derived s -norm by T , i.e. $S(a,b)=1-T(1-a,1-b)$, $\forall a,b \in [0,1]$.

Theorem 2.1 Let G be a group and A be a IFS over G , then A is an (t,s) -IFSG of G , if and only if

- (i) $\mu_A(xy) \geq T(\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)), v_A(xy) \leq S(v_A(x), v_A(y)), \forall x,y \in G;$
- (ii) $\mu_A(x^{-1}) \geq \mu_A(x), v_A(x^{-1}) \leq v_A(x), \forall x \in G.$

where T is a t -norm, S is a derived s -norm by T .

proof: " $\Rightarrow$ " (i) For any $x,y \in G, x_{(\mu_A(x), v_A(x))} \in A, y_{(\mu_A(y), v_A(y))} \in A$.

Since A is a (t,s) -IFSG of G , so $(xy)_{(T(\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)), S(v_A(x), v_A(y)))} \in A$ and consequently $\mu_A(xy) \geq T(\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)), v_A(xy) \leq S(v_A(x), v_A(y))$.

(ii) is clear.

" $\Rightarrow$ " (1) Let $x_{(\lambda,t)}, y_{(\mu,r)} \in A$. Then $\mu_A(x) \geq \lambda, \mu_A(y) \geq \mu, v_A(x) \leq t, v_A(y) \leq r$ and consequently $\mu_A(xy) \geq T(\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)) \geq T(\lambda, \mu), v_A(xy) \leq S(v_A(x), v_A(y)) \leq S(t, r)$. By $\lambda + t \leq 1, \mu + r \leq 1$, we have

$$T(\lambda, \mu) + S(t, r) = T(\lambda, \mu) + (1 - T(1-t, 1-r)) \leq T(1-t, 1-r) + (1 - T(1-t, 1-r)) = 1, \text{ therefore } (xy)_{(T(\lambda,\mu), S(t,r))} \in A.$$

(2) is clear.

Theorem 2.2, Let A be a IFS of a group G , e is identity of group G . If

$$T(\mu_A(x), \mu_A(e)) \geq \mu_A(x), S(v_A(x), v_A(e)) \leq v_A(x), \forall x \in G.$$

then A is a (t,s) -IFSG of group G if and only if

$$\mu_A(xy^{-1}) \geq T(\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)), v_A(xy^{-1}) \leq S(v_A(x), v_A(y)).$$

where T is a t -norm and S is a derived s -norm by T .

proof: " $\Rightarrow$ " Let A be an IFS of G , then

$$\mu_A(xy^{-1}) \geq T(\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y^{-1})) \geq T(\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)), v_A(xy^{-1}) \leq S(v_A(x), v_A(y^{-1})) \leq S(v_A(x), v_A(y)).$$

" " $\Leftarrow$ Since $v_A(xy^{-1}) \leq S(v_A(e), v_A(y)) \leq v_A(y)$, it follows that

$$v_A(xy) = v_A(x(y^{-1})^{-1}) \leq S(v_A(x), v_A(y^{-1})) \leq S(v_A(x), v_A(y)),$$

$\mu_A(xy) \geq T(\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y))$ and $\mu_A(x^{-1}) \geq \mu_A(x)$ are proved analogously.

So A is a (t,s) -IFSG of G .

Definition 2.2 Let X, Y be sets, $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping. A is an IFS of X , B is an IFS of Y . We define: $f^{-1}(B)$ and $f(A)$ are IFSs of X and Y respectively. where $\mu_{f^{-1}(B)}(x) = \mu_B(f(x)), v_{f^{-1}(B)}(x) = v_B(f(x)), \mu_{f(A)}(y) = \sup_{f(x)=y} \{\mu_A(x)\}, v_{f(A)}(y) = \inf_{f(x)=y} \{v_A(x)\}$ and appoint

$$\sup\{\lambda|\lambda\in\emptyset\}=0, \inf\{\lambda|\lambda\in\emptyset\}=1.$$

Remark : $\mu_{f(A)}(y) + v_{f(A)}(y) \leq 1 \ (\forall y \in Y).$

Definition 2.3 Let f be a mapping from set X to set Y , f is said to be accessible if for any IFS A of X , we have $\sup_{f(x)=y} \{\mu_A(x)\} = \max_{f(x)=y} \{\mu_A(x)\}$, $\inf_{f(x)=y} \{v_A(x)\} = \min_{f(x)=y} \{v_A(x)\}$.

Theorem 2.3 Let G and G' be groups. $F:G \rightarrow G'$ is a homomorphism,

(1) If A is a (t,s) -IFSG of G and f is accessible, then $f(A)$ is a (t,s) -IFSG of G' ,

(2) Let B be a (t,s) -IFSG of G' then $f^{-1}(B)$ is a (t,s) -IFSG of G .

proof : (1) Let A be (t,s) -IFSG of G , since f is accessible, for any $y_1, y_2 \in G'$, there exist $x_1^0, x_2^0 \in G$ such that $\mu_{f(A)}(y_i) = \mu_A(x_i^0)$, and $f(x_i^0) = y_i (i=1,2)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{f(A)}(y_1 y_2) &= \sup_{f(x)=y_1 y_2} \{\mu_A(x)\} \geq \sup_{f(x_i)=y_i} \{\mu_A(x_1 x_2)\} \geq \sup_{f(x_i)=y_i} \{T(\mu_A(x_1), \mu_A(x_2))\} \\ &\geq T(\mu_A(x_1^0), \mu_A(x_2^0)) = T(\mu_{f(A)}(y_1), \mu_{f(A)}(y_2)) \ (i=1,2). \end{aligned}$$

$v_{f(A)}(y_1 y_2) \leq S(v_{f(A)}(y_1), v_{f(A)}(y_2))$ is proved analogously.

For any $y \in G'$, $\mu_{f(A)}(y^{-1}) = \sup_{f(x)=y^{-1}} \{\mu_A(x)\} = \sup_{f(x^{-1})=y} \{\mu_A((x^{-1})^{-1})\} \geq \sup_{f(x^{-1})=y} \{\mu_A(x^{-1})\} = \sup_{f(x)=y} \{\mu_A(x)\} = \mu_{f(A)}(y)$. $v_{f(A)}(y^{-1}) \leq v_{f(A)}(y)$ is proved analogously.

Therefore $f(A)$ is a (t,s) -IFSG of G' .

(2) is clear.

3. Normal (t,s) -intuitionistic fuzzy subgroups

Definition 3.1 Let A be an (t,s) -IFSG of group G . If $x_{(\lambda,\mu)} \in A$, then $(y^{-1}xy)_{(\lambda,\mu)} \in A$, $\forall x, y \in G$, then A is called as a normal (t,s) -IFSG of G . ((t,s) -NIFSG).

Definition 3.2 Let A be an IFS of G , For $a \in G$ we define:

$$aA = \{ \langle x, \mu_{aA}(x), v_{aA}(x) \rangle | x \in G \}, Aa = \{ \langle x, \mu_{Aa}(x), v_{Aa}(x) \rangle | x \in G \},$$

where $\mu_{aA}(x) = \mu_A(a^{-1}x)$, $v_{aA}(x) = v_A(a^{-1}x)$, $\mu_{Aa}(x) = \mu_A(xa^{-1})$, $v_{Aa}(x) = v_A(xa^{-1})$.

Clearly we have

Theorem 3.1 Let A be an (t,s) -IFSG of group G . Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) A is a (t,s) -NIFSG of G ;
- (2) $\mu_A(x^{-1}yx) \geq \mu_A(y)$, $v_A(x^{-1}yx) \leq v_A(y)$, $\forall x, y \in G$;
- (3) $\mu_A(xy) = \mu_A(yx)$, $v_A(xy) = v_A(yx)$, $\forall x, y \in G$;
- (4) $xA = Ax$, $\forall x \in G$.

Theorem 3.2 Let G and G' be groups. $f:G \rightarrow G'$ is a group homomorphism,

(1) If A is a (t,s) -NIFSG of G and f is accessible, then $f(A)$ is a (t,s) -NIFSG of G' .

(2) If B is a (t,s) -NIFSG of G' , then $f^{-1}(B)$ is a (t,s) -NIFSG of G .

proof : By theorem 2, we know that $f(A)$ and $f^{-1}(B)$ are (t,s) -IFSG.

(1) For any $y_1, y \in G'$

$$\mu_{f(A)}(y_1^{-1}yy_1) = \sup_{f(x)=y_1^{-1}yy_1} \mu_A(x) \geq \sup_{\substack{f(x)=y \\ f(x_1)=y_1}} \mu_A(x_1^{-1}xx_1) \geq \sup_{f(x)=y} \{\mu_A(x)\} = \mu_{f(A)}(y).$$

$v_{f(A)}(y_1^{-1}yy_1) \leq v_{f(A)}(y)$ is proved analogously.

Therefore $f(A)$ is a (t,s) -NIFSG of G' .

(2) For any $x, y \in G$

$$\mu_{f^{-1}(B)}(x^{-1}yx) = \mu_B(f(x^{-1}yx)) = \mu_B(f(x)^{-1}f(y)f(x)) \geq \mu_B(f(y)) = \mu_{f^{-1}(B)}(y)$$

$$\mu_{f^{-1}(B)}(x^{-1}yx) \leq \mu_{f^{-1}(B)}(y) \text{ is proved analogously.}$$

Therefore $f^{-1}(B)$ is a (t, s) -NIFSG of G .

Definition 3.3 Let A, B be IFS of G , we define:

$$AB = \{ \langle x, \mu_{AB}(x), \nu_{AB}(x) \rangle \mid x \in G \}.$$

$$\text{where } \mu_{AB}(x) = \sup_{a \in G} T(\mu_A(a), \mu_B(a^{-1}x)), \nu_{AB}(x) = \inf_{a \in G} S(\nu_A(a), \nu_B(a^{-1}x));$$

$$A^{-1} = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x^{-1}), \nu_A(x^{-1}) \rangle \mid x \in G \}.$$

Proposition: $\mu_{AB}(x) + \nu_{AB}(x) \leq 1$, for $\forall x \in G$.

Theorem 3.3 Let A be a (t, s) -NIFSG of group G , and for any $x \in G$.

$$T(\mu_A(x), \mu_A(e)) \geq \mu_A(x), S(\nu_A(x), \nu_A(e)) \leq \nu_A(x),$$

then (1) $(xA)(yA) = (xy)A, (Ax)(Ay) = A(xy)$;

$$(2) (xA)^{-1} = x^{-1}A;$$

(3) $G/A = \{xA \mid x \in G\}$ is a group (G/A is called (t, s) -intuitionistic fuzzy quotient group).

proof (1) For any $z \in G$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{(xA)(yA)}(z) &= \sup_{a \in G} T(\mu_{xA}(a), \mu_{yA}(a^{-1}z)) \geq T(\mu_{xA}(x), \mu_{yA}(x^{-1}z)) \\ &= T(\mu_A(e), \mu_A(y^{-1}x^{-1}z)) \geq \mu_A(y^{-1}x^{-1}z) = \mu_A((xy)^{-1}z) = \mu_{(xy)A}(z). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{(xy)A}(z) &= \mu_A((xy)^{-1}z) = \mu_A(y^{-1}x^{-1}ayy^{-1}a^{-1}z) \geq T(\mu_A(y^{-1}x^{-1}ay), \mu_A(y^{-1}a^{-1}z)) = \\ &T(\mu_{yAy^{-1}}(x^{-1}a), \mu_A(y^{-1}a^{-1}z)) \geq T(\mu_A(x^{-1}a), \mu_A(y^{-1}a^{-1}z)) = T(\mu_{xA}(a), \mu_{yA}(a^{-1}z)). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } \mu_{(xy)A}(z) \geq \sup_{a \in G} T(\mu_{xA}(a), \mu_{yA}(a^{-1}z)) = \mu_{(xA)(yA)}(z).$$

Therefore $\mu_{(xA)(yA)}(z) = \mu_{(xy)A}(z)$. $\nu_{(xA)(yA)}(z) = \nu_{xyA}(z)$ is proved analogously.

(2) and (3) are clear.

References

1. K. Atanassov. Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Fuzzy sets and systems, 20(1986):87~96.
2. J. M. Anthony and H. Sherwood Fuzzy Groups Redefined. J. Math. Anal Appl, 69 (1979)124~130.