

Related fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces satisfying an implicit relation

Taieb Hamaizia

System Dynamics and Control Laboratory
Department of Mathematics and Informatics
Oum El Bouaghi University, Algeria
e-mail: tayeb042000@yahoo.fr

Received: 12 December 2019

Accepted: 8 September 2020

Abstract: In this paper, we introduce a new class of implicit relation to present an extended version of a fixed point theorem of Popa [23] in the framework of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

Keywords: Common fixed point, Implicit relation, Cauchy sequence, Intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

1 Introduction

The concept of fuzzy sets was introduced initially by Zadeh [31] in 1965. George and Veeramani [10] modified the concept of fuzzy metric space introduced by Kramosil and Michalek [13]. In 1986 Atanassov [6] introduced and studied the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets as a generalization of fuzzy sets [1]. Recently, many authors have proved fixed point theorems involving intuitionistic fuzzy sets (see [7, 11, 14, 17–19, 28, 30] and references therein). Motivated by some work of V. Popa et al. via implicit relation, we have observed that proving fixed point theorems using an implicit relation is a good idea since it covers several contractive conditions rather than one contractive condition. In this paper, we prove a related fixed point theorem for four mappings using an implicit relation. Our theorem generalizes the theorem of Popa [23] and other theorems in literature.

2 Preliminaries

For the terminologies and basic properties of intuitionistic fuzzy metric, we begin with some definitions, as follows.

Definition 1 ([26]). A binary operation $*$: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a continuous t -norm if it satisfies the following conditions:

- 1) $*$ is associative and commutative,
- 2) $*$ is continuous,
- 3) $a * 1 = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$,
- 4) $a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$; for each $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Two typical examples of a continuous t -norm are $a * b = ab$ and $a * b = \min \{a, b\}$.

Definition 2 ([26]). A binary operation \diamond : $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a continuous t -conorm if it satisfies the following conditions:

- 1) \diamond is associative and commutative,
- 2) \diamond is continuous,
- 3) $a \diamond 0 = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$,
- 4) $a \diamond b \leq c \diamond d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$; for each $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 3 ([10]). A 3-tuple $(X, M, *)$ is called a fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary (non-empty) set, $*$ is a continuous t -norm and M is a fuzzy metric on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions for each $x, y, z \in X$ and $t, s > 0$,

- 1) $M(x, y, t) > 0$,
- 2) $M(x, y, t) = 1$ if and only if $x = y$,
- 3) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$,
- 4) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s)$,
- 5) $M(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous.

Definition 4 ([1]). A 5-tuple $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is called an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary (non-empty) set, $*$ is a continuous t -norm, \diamond is a continuous t -conorm and M, N are a fuzzy metric on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions for each $x, y, z \in X$ and $t, s > 0$,

- 1) $M(x, y, t) + N(x, y, t) \leq 1$.
- 2) $M(x, y, t) > 0$,
- 3) $M(x, y, t) = 1$ if and only if $x = y$,
- 4) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$,
- 5) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s)$,
- 6) $M(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous
- 7) $M(x, y, t) > 0$,
- 8) $N(x, y, t) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
- 9) $N(x, y, t) = N(y, x, t)$,
- 10) $N(x, y, t) \diamond N(y, z, s) \geq N(x, z, t + s)$,
- 11) $N(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous.

Then (M, N) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy metric on X . The functions $M(x, y, t)$ and $N(x, y, t)$ respectively denote the degree of nearness and degree of nonnearness between x and y with respect to t .

Remark 1. In intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, $M(x, y, t)$ is non-decreasing and $N(x, y, t)$ is non-increasing for all $x, y \in X$.

Example 1 ([27]). Let (X, d) be a metric space. Define t -norm $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ and t -conorm $a \diamond b = \max\{a, b\}$ and for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$,

$$M(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x, y)}, \quad N(x, y, t) = \frac{d(x, y)}{t + d(x, y)}$$

Then $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space induced by the metric d . It is obvious that $N(x, y, t) = 1 - M(x, y, t)$.

Definition 5 ([1]). Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space (IFM space), then

- 1) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be convergent to a point $x \in X$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_n, x, t) = 0; \forall t > 0$.
- 2) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be a Cauchy sequence if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 0; \forall t > 0$ and $p > 0$.

Definition 6 ([2]). Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent, then $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is said to be a complete fuzzy metric space.

Lemma 1 ([2]). Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence in an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$. If there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $M(u_n, u_{n+1}, kt) \geq M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t)$ and $N(u_n, u_{n+1}, kt) \leq N(u_{n-1}, u_n, t)$ for all $t > 0$, then $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Lemma 2 ([2]). Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space and for all $x, y \in X, t > 0$ and if for a number $k \in (0, 1)$, $M(x, y, kt) \geq M(x, y, t)$ and $N(x, y, kt) \leq N(x, y, t)$ then $x = y$.

The following theorem is proved by V. Popa [23].

Theorem 1. Let (X, d) and (Y, ρ) be complete metric spaces. Let A, B be mappings of X into Y and let S, T be mappings of Y into X satisfying the inequalities:

$$F(d(SAx, TBx'), d(x, x'), d(x, SAx), d(x', TBx'), \rho(Ax, Bx')) \leq 0,$$

$$G(\rho(BSy, ATy'), \rho(y, y'), \rho(y, BSy), \rho(y', ATy'), d(Sy, Ty')) \leq 0,$$

for all x, x' in X and y, y' in Y , where $F, G \in \mathcal{F}_5$. If one of the mappings A, B, T and S is continuous, then SA and TB have a common fixed point z in X and BS and AT have a common fixed point w in Y . Further, $Az = Bz = w$ and $Sw = Tw = z$.

3 Implicit relation

Implicit relations play an important role in establishing of the fixed point theorems (see [3–5, 15, 20, 22]). Our implicit relation can be described as follows: Let Φ be the set of the functions $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \theta_1, \theta_2 : [0, 1]^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that, for every $u, v, w \in (0, 1)$

(H₁) $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \theta_1, \theta_2$ are continuous in each coordinate variable,

(H₂) φ_1, θ_1 are nonincreasing in second and 3rd variables,

(H₃) φ_2, θ_2 is nonincreasing in second and 4th variables,

(H₄) $\varphi_1(u, v, u, v, w) \geq 0 \Rightarrow u \geq \min\{v, w\}$.

(H₅) $\theta_1(u, v, u, v, w) \leq 0 \Rightarrow u \leq \max\{v, w\}$.

(H₆) $\varphi_2(u, v, v, u, w) \geq 0 \Rightarrow u \geq \min\{v, w\}$.

(H₇) $\theta_2(u, v, v, u, w) \leq 0 \Rightarrow u \leq \max\{v, w\}$.

(H₈) $\varphi_1(u, 1, v, 1, 1) \geq 0$ or $\varphi_1(u, u, 1, 1, v) \geq 0 \Rightarrow u \geq v$.

(H₉) $\theta_1(u, 0, v, 0, 0) \leq 0$ or $\theta_1(u, u, 0, 0, v) \leq 0 \Rightarrow u \leq v$.

(H₁₀) $\varphi_2(u, 1, v, 1, 1) \geq 0$ or $\varphi_2(u, v, v, v, 1) \geq 0 \Rightarrow u \geq v$.

(H₁₁) $\theta_2(u, 0, v, 0, 0) \leq 0$ or $\theta_2(u, v, v, v, 0) \leq 0 \Rightarrow u \leq v$.

The above definitions, results and implicit relation motivated us to prove new related fixed point theorems for four mappings on intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces by using implicit relation.

4 Main result

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let $(X, M_1, N_1, *, \diamond)$ and $(Y, M_2, N_2, *, \diamond)$ be a complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces with $M_1(x, x', t) \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x, x' \in X$ and $M_2(y, y', t) \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for all $y, y' \in Y$. Let A, B be mappings of X into Y and let S, T be mappings of Y into X satisfying:*

$$\varphi_1(M_1(SAx, TBx', kt), M_1(x, x', t), M_1(x, SAx, t), M_1(x', TBx', t), M_2(Ax, Bx', t)) \geq 0 \quad (4.1)$$

$$\theta_1(N_1(SAx, TBx', kt), N_1(x, x', t), N_1(x, SAx, t), N_1(x', TBx', t), N_2(Ax, Bx', t)) \leq 0 \quad (4.2)$$

$$\varphi_2(M_2(BSy, ATy', kt), M_2(y, y', t), M_2(y, BSy, t), M_2(y', ATy', t), M_1(Sy, Ty', t)) \geq 0 \quad (4.3)$$

$$\theta_2(N_2(BSy, ATy', kt), N_2(y, y', t), N_2(y, BSy, t), N_2(y', ATy', t), N_1(Sy, Ty', t)) \leq 0 \quad (4.4)$$

for all x, x' in X and y, y' in Y and for all $t > 0$, where $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \Phi$ and $0 < k < 1$. Then, if one of the mappings A, B, T and S is continuous then SA and TB have a unique fixed point z in X and BS and AT have a unique fixed point w in Y . Further, $Az = Bz = w$ and $Sw = Tw = z$.

Proof. Let x be an arbitrary point in X . We define the sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X and Y respectively by:

$$Sy_{2n-1} = x_{2n-1}, Bx_{2n-1} = y_{2n}, Ty_{2n} = x_{2n}, Ax_{2n} = y_{2n+1}.$$

Using the inequality (4.1) and (4.2), we have successively

$$\varphi_1(M_1(SAx_{2n}, TBx_{2n-1}, kt), M_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, t), M_1(x_{2n}, SAx_{2n}, t), M_1(x_{2n-1}, TBx_{2n-1}, t), M_2(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1}, t)) \geq 0,$$

$$\theta_1(N_1(SAx_{2n}, TBx_{2n-1}, kt), N_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, t), N_1(x_{2n}, SAx_{2n}, t), N_1(x_{2n-1}, TBx_{2n-1}, t), N_2(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1}, t)) \leq 0,$$

that is,

$$\varphi_1(M_1(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, kt), M_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, t), M_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t), M_1(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, t), M_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t)) \geq 0.$$

$$\theta_1(N_1(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, kt), N_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, t), N_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t), N_1(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, t), N_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t)) \leq 0.$$

As φ_1 and θ_1 are nonincreasing in the third variable, we get

$$\varphi_1(M_1(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, kt), M_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, t), M_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, kt), M_1(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, t), M_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t)) \geq 0.$$

$$\theta_1(N_1(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, kt), N_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, t), N_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, kt), N_1(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, t), N_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t)) \leq 0.$$

which implies by (H_4) and (H_5) respectively

$$M_1(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, kt) \geq \min \{M_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, t), M_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t)\}. \quad (4.5)$$

$$N_1(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, kt) \leq \max \{N_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, t), N_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t)\}. \quad (4.6)$$

Using inequality (4.1) and (4.2) again, it follows that

$$M_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, t) \geq \min \{M_1(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-2}, t), M_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, t)\}, \quad (4.7)$$

$$N_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, t) \leq \max \{N_1(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-2}, t), N_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, t)\} \quad (4.8)$$

Similarly, using inequality (4.3) and (4.4), we get

$$\varphi_2(M_2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, kt), M_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, t), M_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, t), M_2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t), M_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, t)) \geq 0.$$

$$\theta_2(N_2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, kt), N_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, t), N_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, t), N_2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t), N_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, t)) \leq 0.$$

Since φ_2 and θ_2 are nonincreasing in the fourth variable, we obtain

$$\varphi_2(M_2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, kt), M_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, t), M_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, t), M_2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, kt), M_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, t)) \geq 0.$$

$$\theta_2(M_2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, kt), M_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, t), M_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, t), M_2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, kt), M_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, t)) \leq 0.$$

From (H_6) and (H_7) respectively, we have

$$M_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, kt) \geq \min \{M_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, t), M_2(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t)\}, \quad (4.9)$$

$$N_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, kt) \leq \max \{N_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, t), N_2(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t)\} \quad (4.10)$$

and

$$M_2(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, kt) \geq \min \{M_1(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}, t), M_2(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1}, t)\}. \quad (4.11)$$

$$N_2(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, kt) \leq \max \{N_1(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}, t), N_2(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1}, t)\} \quad (4.12)$$

Using inequalities (4.5), (4.9), and (4.6), (4.10) we have

$$M_1(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, kt) \geq \min \{M_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, t), M_2(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t)\}, \quad (4.13)$$

$$N_1(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, kt) \leq \max \{N_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, t), N_2(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t)\}. \quad (4.14)$$

Similarly, from inequalities (4.7), (4.11) and (4.8), (4.12), we have

$$M_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, t) \geq \min \{M_1(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}, t), M_2(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1}, t)\} \quad (4.15)$$

$$N_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, t) \leq \max \{N_1(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}, t), N_2(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1}, t)\}. \quad (4.16)$$

It now follows from inequalities (4.13), (4.14) and (4.15), (4.16) that

$$M_1(x_{n+1}, x_n, kt) \geq \min \{M_1(x_n, x_{n-1}, t), M_2(y_n, y_{n-1}, t)\} \quad (4.17)$$

$$N_1(x_{n+1}, x_n, kt) \leq \max \{N_1(x_n, x_{n-1}, t), N_2(y_n, y_{n-1}, t)\} \quad (4.18)$$

and

$$M_2(y_{n+1}, y_n, kt) \geq \min \{M_1(x_n, x_{n-1}, t), M_2(y_n, y_{n-1}, t)\} \quad (4.19)$$

$$N_2(y_{n+1}, y_n, kt) \leq \max \{N_1(x_n, x_{n-1}, t), N_2(y_n, y_{n-1}, t)\}. \quad (4.20)$$

It now follows inequalities (4.17), (4.18) and (4.19), (4.20)

$$M_1(x_{n+1}, x_n, kt) \geq M_2(y_n, y_{n-1}, t) \quad (4.21)$$

$$M_2(y_{n+1}, y_n, kt) \geq M_1(x_n, x_{n-1}, t) \quad (4.22)$$

and

$$N_1(x_{n+1}, x_n, kt) \leq N_2(y_n, y_{n-1}, t) \quad (4.23)$$

$$N_2(y_{n+1}, y_n, kt) \leq N_1(x_n, x_{n-1}, t). \quad (4.24)$$

Using (4.21), (4.22) and (4.23), (4.24) we have for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

$$M_1(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) \geq M_2\left(y_n, y_{n-1}, \frac{t}{k^2}\right)$$

$$M_2(y_{n+1}, y_n, t) \geq M_1\left(x_n, x_{n-1}, \frac{t}{k^2}\right)$$

and

$$N_1(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) \leq N_2\left(y_n, y_{n-1}, \frac{t}{k^2}\right)$$

$$N_2(y_{n+1}, y_n, t) \leq N_1\left(x_n, x_{n-1}, \frac{t}{k^2}\right).$$

For $n = 1, 2, \dots$, since $0 \leq k < 1$, from Lemma 2 it follows that $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in X and Y , respectively. Hence, $\{x_n\}$ converges to z in X and $\{y_n\}$ converges to w in Y .

Now suppose that A is continuous. Then

$$\lim Ax_{2n} = Az = \lim y_{2n+1} = w$$

and so $Az = w$. Using inequality (4.1) and (4.2) we get

$$\varphi_1 (M_1(SAz, TBx_{2n-1}, kt), M_1(z, x_{2n-1}, t), M_1(z, SAz, t), M_1(x_{2n-1}, TBx_{2n-1}, t), M_2(Az, Bx_{2n-1}, t)) \geq 0,$$

$$\theta_1 (N_1(SAz, TBx_{2n-1}, kt), N_1(z, x_{2n-1}, t), N_1(z, SAz, t), N_1(x_{2n-1}, TBx_{2n-1}, t), N_2(Az, Bx_{2n-1}, t)) \leq 0,$$

that is,

$$\varphi_1 (M_1(Sw, x_{2n}, kt), M_1(z, x_{2n-1}, t), M_1(z, Sw, t), M_1(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, t), M_2(w, y_{2n}, t)) \geq 0.$$

$$\theta_1 (N_1(Sw, x_{2n}, kt), N_1(z, x_{2n-1}, t), N_1(z, Sw, t), N_1(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, t), N_2(w, y_{2n}, t)) \leq 0.$$

Letting n tend to infinity, we have

$$\varphi_1(M_1(Sw, z, kt), 1, M_1(z, Sw, t), 1, 1) \geq 0,$$

$$\theta_1(N_1(Sw, z, kt), 0, N_1(z, Sw, t), 0, 0) \leq 0$$

from (H_8) and (H_9) , we get

$$M_1(Sw, z, kt) \geq M_1(z, Sw, t),$$

$$N_1(Sw, z, kt) \leq N_1(z, Sw, t),$$

and so $Sw = z = SAz$. On the other hand, using inequality (4.3) and (4.4) we have successively

$$\varphi_2 (M_2(BSw, ATy_{2n}, kt), M_2(w, y_{2n}, t), M_2(w, BSw, t), M_2(y_{2n}, ATy_{2n}, t), M_1(Sw, Ty_{2n}, t)) \geq 0,$$

$$\theta_2 (N_2(BSw, ATy_{2n}, kt), N_2(w, y_{2n}, t), N_2(w, BSw, t), N_2(y_{2n}, ATy_{2n}, t), N_1(Sw, Ty_{2n}, t)) \geq 0,$$

then

$$\varphi_2 (M_2(Bz, y_{2n+1}, kt), M_2(w, y_{2n}, t), M_2(w, Bz, t), M_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t), M_1(z, x_{2n}, t)) \geq 0.$$

$$\theta_2 (N_2(Bz, y_{2n+1}, kt), N_2(w, y_{2n}, t), N_2(w, Bz, t), N_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t), N_1(z, x_{2n}, t)) \leq 0.$$

Letting n tend to infinity, we have

$$\varphi_2(M_2(Bz, w, kt), 1, M_2(w, Bz, t), 1, 1) \geq 0$$

$$\theta_2(N_2(Bz, w, kt), 0, N_2(w, Bz, t), 0, 0) \leq 0$$

Thus, from (H_{10}) and (H_{11}) , we get

$$M_2(Bz, w, kt) \geq M_2(w, Bz, t)$$

$$N_2(Bz, w, kt) \leq N_2(w, Bz, t),$$

and so $w = Bz = BSw$. Using inequalities (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3), (4.4) we have respectively:

$$z = Tw \text{ and } z = Tw = TBz$$

$$w = ATw.$$

The same result holds also if one of the mappings B, S, T is continuous . To prove the uniqueness, suppose that TB and SA have a second distinct common fixed point z' . Then, using inequalities (4.1) and (4.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1 (M_1(SAz, TBz', kt), M_1(z, z', t), M_1(z, SAz, t), M_1(z', TBz', t), M_2(Az, Bz', t)) &\geq 0 \\ \theta_1 (N_1(SAz, TBz', kt), N_1(z, z', t), N_1(z, SAz, t), N_1(z', TBz', t), N_2(Az, Bz', t)) &\leq 0\end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1 (M_1(z, z', kt), M_1(z, z', t), M_1(z, z, t), M_1(z', z', t), M_2(Az, Bz', t)) &\geq 0 \\ \theta_1 (N_1(z, z', kt), N_1(z, z', t), N_1(z, z, t), N_1(z', z', t), N_2(Az, Bz', t)) &\leq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1 (M_1(z, z', kt), M_1(z, z', t), 1, 1, M_2(Az, Bz', t)) &\geq 0 \\ \theta_1 (N_1(z, z', kt), N_1(z, z', t), 0, 0, N_2(Az, Bz', t)) &\leq 0\end{aligned}$$

As φ_1 and θ_1 are nonincreasing in second variable, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1 (M_1(z, z', kt), M_1(z, z', kt), 1, 1, M_2(Az, Bz', t)) &\geq 0 \\ \theta_1 (N_1(z, z', kt), N_1(z, z', kt), 0, 0, N_2(Az, Bz', t)) &\leq 0,\end{aligned}$$

which implies by (H_8) and (H_9)

$$M_1(z, z', kt) \geq M_2(Az, Bz', t) \quad (4.25)$$

$$N_1(z, z', kt) \leq N_2(Az, Bz', t). \quad (4.26)$$

Further, applying inequalities (4.3) and (4.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_2 (M_2(Bz', Az, kt), M_2(Az, Bz', t), M_2(Az, Bz', t), M_2(Bz', Az, t), M_1(z', z, t)) &\geq 0 \\ \theta_2 (N_2(Bz', Az, kt), N_2(Az, y', t), N_2(Az, Bz', t), N_2(Bz', Az, t), N_1(z', z, t)) &\leq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Using (H_{10}) and (H_{11}) , we get

$$M_2(Bz', Az, kt) \geq M_1(z', z, t) \quad (4.27)$$

$$N_2(Bz', Az, kt) \leq N_1(z', z, t). \quad (4.28)$$

By (4.25), (4.26) and (4.27), (4.28)

$$\begin{aligned}M_1(z, z', kt) &\geq M_1(z', z, t) \\ N_1(z, z', kt) &\leq N_1(z', z, t).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, contradiction with Lemma 2, then, the pair TB and SA have a unique common fixed point. The uniqueness of w follows in a similar manner. The proof is complete. \square

References

- [1] Alaca, C., Turkoglu, D., & Yildiz, C. (2006). Fixed points in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 29. 1073–1078.
- [2] Alaca, C., Altun, I., & Turkoglu, D. (2008). On Compatible Mappings of Type (I) and (II) in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces, *Communications of the Korean Mathematical Society*, 23 (3), 427–446.
- [3] Aliouche, A. (2007). Common fixed point theorems via an implicit relation and new properties, *Soochow Journal of Mathematics*, 33 (4), 593–601.
- [4] Aliouche, A., & Popa, V. (2008). Common fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings via implicit relations, *Filomat*, 22 (2), 99–107.
- [5] Altun, I., & Turkoglu D. (2008). Some fixed point theorems on fuzzy metric spaces with implicit relations, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.*, 23, 111–124.
- [6] Atanassov, K. (1986). Intuitionistic Fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and System*, 20 (1), 87–96.
- [7] Beg, I., Gupta, V., & Kanwar, A. (2015). Fixed points on intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces using the $E - A$ property, *J. Nonlinear Funct. Anal.*, 2015, Article ID 20.
- [8] Cho, Y. J. (1997). Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, *J. Fuzzy. Math.*, 5 (4), 949–962.
- [9] Fisher, B. (1981). Fixed point on two metric spaces, *Glasnik Mat.*, 16 (36), 333–337.
- [10] George, A. & Veeramani, P. (1994) On some result in fuzzy metric space, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 64, 395–399.
- [11] Hamaizia, T., & Murthy, P. P. (2017). Common Fixed Point Theorems in Relatively Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces, *Gazi University Journal of Science*, 30 (1), 355–362.
- [12] Kaleva, O., & Seikkala, S. (1984). On fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 12, 215–229.
- [13] Kramosil, O., & Michalek, J. (1975) Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, *Kybernetika*, 11, 326–334.
- [14] Kumar, S., Bhatia, S. S., & Manro, S. (2012). Common Fixed Point Theorems for Weakly Maps Satisfying E.A. Property, in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces Using Implicit Relation. *Global Journal of Science Frontier Research Mathematics and Decision Sciences*, 12.
- [15] Kumar, S., & Fisher, B. (2010). A common fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric space using property (E.A) and implicit relation, *Thai J. Math.*, (3), 439–446.
- [16] Menger, K. (1942). Statistical metrics, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, 28, 535–537.

- [17] Manro, S. (2015). A common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible maps satisfying common property (E. A.) and implicit relation in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.*, 6 (1), 1–8.
- [18] Manro, S., Bouharjera, H., & Singh, S. (2010). A Common fixed point theorem in Intuitionistic fuzzy metric Space by using Sub-Compatible maps, *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences*, 5 (55), 2699–2707.
- [19] Manro, S., Bhatia, S. S., Kumar, S., & Mishra, R. (2011). Common Fixed Point Theorems in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces, *International Mathematical Forum*, 6 (64), 3189–3198.
- [20] Manro, S., Kumar, S. & Bhatia, S. S. (2012). Common fixed point theorem in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces using common (E. A.) property and implicit relation, *Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology*, 3 (3), 60–68.
- [21] Park, J. H. (2004). Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 22, 1039–1046.
- [22] Pathak, H. K., Tiwari, R., & Khan, M. S. (2007). A common fixed point theorem satisfying integral type implicit relation, *Applied Mathematics E-notes*, 7, 222–228.
- [23] Popa, V. (2005). A general fixed point theorem for two pairs of mappings on two metric spaces, *Novi Sad J. Math.*, 35 (2), 79–83.
- [24] Popa, V. (1999). Some fixed point theorems for compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation, *Demonstratio Math.*, 32, 157–163.
- [25] Rodriguez, L. J., & Ramaguera, S. (2004). The Hausdorff fuzzy metric on compact sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 147, 273–283.
- [26] Schweizer, B., & Sklar, A. (1960). Statistical metric spaces, *Pacific J. Math.*, 10, 313–334.
- [27] Sedghi, S., Altun, I., & Shobe, N. (2010). Coupled fixed point theorems for contractions in fuzzy metric spaces, *Non linear Anal.*, 1298–1304.
- [28] Sharma, S., Kutukcu, S., & Pathak, A. (2009). Common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *J. Fuzzy Math.*, 17, 225–240.
- [29] Telci, M. (2001). Fixed points on two complete and compact metric spaces, *Applied Mathematics and Mechanics*, 22 (5), 564–568.
- [30] Turkoglu, D., Alaca, C., & Yildiz, C. (2006). Compatible maps and Compatible maps of type (α) and (β) in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *Demonstratio Math.*, 39 (3), 671–684.
- [31] Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy sets, *Inform and Control*, 8, 338–353.